Santa Rosa District Schools



District Administrative

System

Dr. Karen Barber Superintendent of Schools School Board Approved June 2015 Updated August 2021

District 1

District 2

Carol Boston

District 3

District 4

Charles Elliott

District 5 Wei Ueberschaer

Linda Sanborn

Elizabeth Hewey

1

Santa Rosa District Schools Administrative Evaluation System Table of Contents

		<u>Page</u>
Explanatio	on of Terms	. 3
Overview	of System	6
Attachme	nts:	
A:	Santa Rosa County School District Leaders Core Practices	13
В:	Data Collection and Feedback Protocol	15
C:	Framework Crosswalk	34
D:	Proficiency Ratings" Tables 1-4	61
E:	Evaluation Process Diagram	64
F:	Studer Group Information	65
G:	Leadership Standards Crosswalk with Survey Questions	68
H:	Parent Surveys	74
I:	Teacher Surveys	77
J:	Principal Surveys	79
К:	Grade Level Assessment/Percentage for Student Performance	81
L:	Professional Improvement Plan	100
М:	References	103
Administra	ative Evaluation Instrument	105
Sample Ev	aluation Instrument	116

Administrative Evaluation System

Explanation of Terms

Assessment – measurement of student achievement.

Deliberate Practice – means the research-based <u>cause and effect relationships</u> between specific professional learning behaviors and highly effective performance, which are measured during an evaluation period.

Domain – There are four domain groupings of the ten Leadership Standards; domain 1 -standards 1 and 2; domain 2 – standards 3, 4, and 5; domain 3 – standards 6, 7, 8, and 9; domain 4 – standard 10. The broad distinguishable areas of professional knowledge and responsibility that are priorities for a performance evaluation.

Effective – a final overall evaluation category just below "highly effective." This rating would qualify for performance pay. The "Effective" level describes leadership performance that has local impact (i.e., within the school) and meets organizational needs. It is adequate, necessary, and clearly makes a significant contribution to the school. The majority of the leadership workforce will be in the effective area once they have a clear understanding of what the FPLS require and have made the adjustments and growth necessary to upgrade performance. The previous rating system of "satisfactory" and "unsatisfactory" does not provide any guidance as to where those who repeat past performance levels will fall in the shift to research and standards-based assessments. Both school leaders and evaluators should reflect on performance based on the new FPLS and the rubrics of the FSLA.

Evaluation – an assessment of an individual's performance over a period of time based on evidence from multiple measures that reflect the performance level of the individual's work on student learning, practice, and job responsibilities.

Evidence – the employee's observed practices, behaviors, and data and of those impacted by the employee's work performance that represents an individual's performance on the measures and indicators in the evaluation system.

Feedback – means the timely information, specific to the evaluation system's rubrics and indicators that is provided about evidence collected in the evaluation system, which serves to improve the quality of future actions or depth of understanding on performance expectations.

Florida Alternative Assessment (FAA) – the test used to measure learning gains with our most challenged ESE students. The results from this test will be used to measure our ESE teachers.

Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) – the major test used to measure student performance in the State of Florida core area classes.

Florida Multidimensional Leadership Assessment System – provides the philosophical and research basis of the new administrative evaluation system.

Florida Principal Leadership Standards – developed by the Florida Department of Education. These standards identify the standards required of a highly effective school administrator. One of the goals of the Administrative Evaluation System is to measure each administrator's ability to demonstrate their mastery of these standards.

Florida School Leader Assessment (FSLA) – This is the name given to the administrative evaluation adopted by the Florida DOE.

High Effect Size Strategies – means the research-based instructional and school leadership strategies that have a significantly higher probability of impact on student learning growth than other practices. They are components within the core standards and expectations described in the FEAPs (Rule 6A-5.065, F.A.C) and FPLS (Rule 6A-5.080, F.A.C)

Highly Effective – the highest category on the overall administrative evaluation system. This rating would qualify for performance pay. The "Highly Effective" level is reserved for truly outstanding leadership as described by very demanding criteria. Performance at this level is dramatically superior to "Effective" in its impact on students, staff members, parents, and the school district. Highly effective leadership results from recurring engagement with "deliberate practice." In brief, the "Highly Effective" leader helps every other element within the organization become as good as they are. In normal distributions, some leaders will be rated highly effective on some indicators, but very few leaders will be rated highly effective as a summative performance level.

Indicators – the descriptions of the expectations for quality practice that are included in observation and evaluation instruments.

Needs Improvement – a final overall evaluation rating. It is above "unsatisfactory" but below "effective." It does not qualify for performance pay. For teachers at Level III – V, this rating replaces "developing" as a rating. The "Needs Improvement" level describes principals who understand what is required for success, are willing to work toward that goal, and, with coaching and support, can become proficient. Needs improvement rating will occur where expectations have been raised and standards made more focused and specific. Professional behavior and focused professional learning will guide school leaders toward increasingly effective performance.

Newly Hired – the first year in which instructional personnel are employed by the district in a full-time instructional position. Such personnel are "newly hired" for their first year of employment in a district regardless of their prior work experience elsewhere.

Observation – the monitoring actions in evaluation systems that contribute evidence on performance or the impact of performance on others. Evidence collected through observation is used for formative feedback and contribute to the summative evaluation rating. Observations may be formal or informal, and announced or unannounced. Observations may be contributed by supervisors, mentors, or peers, and through walkthroughs, conferences, collegial professional learning processes, and artifacts or records relevant to evaluation element.

Peer Assistant Program – The district provides a mentor (trained veteran administrator) to help an administrator work on their Professional Improvement Plan.

Performance Levels – The summative ratings of performance over the evaluation period based on accumulated evidence of proficiency in each of the criteria of the evaluation system. There are four performance levels: highly effective; effective; needs improvement and unsatisfactory.

Potential School Leaders (PSL) – Class designed and taught by Santa Rosa District Schools that introduces teachers to the Florida Principal Leadership Standards and begins to prepare them for a career in School Administration.

Proficiency Levels – The formative judgments of performance on indicators or clusters of indicators in the instructional or leadership practice component of an evaluation process.

Professional Development Plan (PDP) – All administrators complete a PDP each year and completion of the plan will count fifteen percent of their overall evaluation. The plan should consider student learning goals, the school improvement plan, and focus on the teacher's professional development.

Professional Improvement Plan (PIP) – a document that guides the improvement of an administrator who has received an evaluation of "needs improvement" or "unsatisfactory." It specifies the area to be improved and defines a time period for completion.

Proficiency Level – refers to student results on state wide assessments where learning gains are not measured (e.g., FCAT Science).

Reeves, Douglas – A leading authority in the field of Administrative Evaluation System. His work with the Multidimensional Leadership Assessment System is endorsed by the Florida Department of Education.

Rubric – a set of criteria used to distinguish between performances of proficiency levels.

Studer Group – Research group that supports the Santa Rosa School District in various ways including conducting and interpreting surveys and providing leadership training.

Unsatisfactory – This is the lowest overall rating on the teacher evaluation system. It does not qualify for performance pay. Performance at the "Unsatisfactory" level describe leaders who do not understand what is required for proficiency or who have demonstrated through their actions and/or inactions that they choose not to become proficient on the strategies, knowledge bases, and skills sets needed for student learning to improve and faculties to develop.

UWF – University of West Florida provides our evaluation system in an electronic format, they work with us to incorporate the student assessment data to complete teacher evaluations.



In school year 2010-2011, the State of Florida and Santa Rosa District Schools received the Race to the Top grant which required major revisions of the Instructional and Administrative Evaluation Systems.

The Race to the Top grant also required that a district administrative system be developed to be implemented in the 2014-15 school year. This document is the result of the Santa Rosa District Schools effort to comply with this requirement.

In preparation for this project, the Florida Department of Education sponsored regional training sessions, provided materials, and technical support from "The Leadership and Learning Center". The District consulted with the Studer Group in the development of stakeholder surveys and leadership development throughout the development of the district evaluation systems.

To complete the writing and construction of this new District Administrator Evaluation System the Santa Rosa District Schools appointed a committee of administrators. This committee included:

Vickie Beagle, Director of In-service and Instructional Technology Conni Carnley, Director of Employee Evaluations & Accountability David Gunter, Middle School Director Charlin Knight, Director of Workforce Education Patti Peacher, Coordinator of Virtual Education

In addition to attending training and reviewing the materials provided, this committee met numerous times to produce a document that met the requirements of the state as well as the needs of the district. This document was presented to the Santa Rosa School Board for approval in May 2014 before being submitted to the Department of Education for approval in June 2014. Training for district administrators took place August of 2014 with implementation beginning in the school year 2014-15. Training will become part of our regular training schedule. This committee will meet annually to make revisions to the system as needed.

School Principal Preparation Program

In order to properly describe the evaluation system used in Santa Rosa District Schools for District Administrators, it is necessary to briefly describe the process used to identify and select those candidates. Individuals who aspire to become district administrators in the Santa Rosa District Schools must meet the following requirements: Master's degree or higher from an accredited institution, currently hold or be eligible for a Florida teaching certificate and certification in Educational Leadership, Administration and Supervision, or school principal. The individual must also have a minimum of eight years' experience in public school education, three of which must have been in administration, the past_two administrative performance evaluations must have an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective and the Leadership

Domain must also be rated Effective or Highly Effective for the past two years, as well as a recommendation from their immediate supervisor.

After a candidate is selected, he/she begins a succession mentoring/coaching program for a period of time to be determined upon appointment where he/she is supported by the current district administrator and the Assistant Superintendent who supervises the candidate. Operational documents that provide guidance for the newly appointed district administrators are reviewed.

Statement of Purpose

The focus of the new district administrator evaluation system is to increase student achievement growth by improving the proficiency of instructional, administrative, and supervisory staff. Santa Rosa District Schools have a long history of high student performance. We believe this is due in part to the high expectations set by our district administrators. Consistent leadership has played a factor in this success. Santa Rosa District Schools have an administrative staff dedicated to high expectations on the part of teachers and students. We also have tremendous support from parents and our communities in these efforts. As we redesigned our District Administrative Evaluation we included surveys from students, teachers, and parents.

The Santa Rosa District Schools District Administrator Evaluation System addresses in its core practices the Florida Educator Accomplished Practices, the Florida School Leaders Assessment, Florida Statutes, the Race to the Top MOU, and relevant research. A cross walk has been provided (Attachment "B") that shows the relationship of these elements and the core practices. The District Administrator Evaluation Plan does include a Professional Development Plan which incorporates deliberate practice and reflection. A Professional Improvement Plan for administrators is also included as **Attachment S**. This evaluation system is based on contemporary research that reveals educational leadership behaviors that, when effectively implemented have a positive impact on student learning faculty development, and school/district administrator development. It is aligned with the Florida Principal Leadership Standards – a State Board of Education rule that sets expectations for principal performance (SBE Rule 6A-5.080).

Evaluation Process

All Administrators will be evaluated by their immediate supervisor annually: Assistant Superintendents are responsible for evaluating Directors and Coordinators and the Superintendent is responsible for evaluating Assistant Superintendents. Training will be provided to all supervisors in the fall of each year on an annual basis. This training will be followed up periodically at Administrator meetings held once a month during the school year. As part of this training Administrators will cover topics including the evaluation purpose, research-base, coaching and feedback, and use of forms and timelines. This Evaluation System will be reviewed annually and the District Administrator Evaluation Committee will make recommendations for adjustments as needed. The evaluation process for administrators begins each year with an annual orientation and update of the evaluation system. This update will be conducted each summer at the annual administrative conference attended by all district and school administrators. This update is followed by an initial meeting between the administrator and their supervisor where the district administrator will have completed a self-assessment. During this initial meeting the administrator and supervisor will decide on two or three indicators which the administrator will focus on during the upcoming school year. This initial meeting will take place during the first nine weeks of the school year. This meeting will be followed by three follow-up observations conducted by the supervisor where feedback will be given after each observation. One observation should take place during each of the remaining three quarters of the school year. This evaluation process will conclude with a final meeting which will take place during the summer session where the supervisor will review the administrator's final evaluation.

Evaluation Instrument Organization

The Administrative Evaluation instrument is organized into six sections. These sections are described below including the assigned percentages for each and a timeline for each section. Each section will be evaluated on a four point scale: (4) Highly Effective; (3) Effective; (2) Needs improvement; and (1) Unsatisfactory.

Section I – Self Assessment

In this section, the district administrator will complete a self-assessment and review their assessment with their supervisor indicating two or three areas (indicators) or need.

Section II—Surveys

District level administrators (Coordinators, Directors, and Assistant Superintendents) will use parent, teacher, and principal surveys only. This section will count 15% of the total evaluation for all administrators. The Santa Rosa District Schools have worked with the Studer Group in the construction, administration and reporting of the results of these surveys. The Studer Group also provides leadership training to our administration throughout the school year. More information on the Studer Group is provided in **Attachment K**.

The surveys will be given twice during the year: once in September and once in May. The September scores will be for informational purposes. The May scores will be used in the Administrator's Evaluation. This section should be completed by June 1 of each school year. Copies of the surveys are included as attachments: Parent survey—**Attachment N**; Teacher survey—**Attachment O**; Principal survey—**Attachment P**.

The Studer Group's research with surveys is based on a 5.0 scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 2 = Disagree, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Agree, 5 = Strongly Agree. The Studer Group believes changing this scale would not support the research conducted on surveys. The State of Florida's evaluation system is based on a 4.0 scale:

1 = Unsatisfactory, 2 = Needs Improvement, 3 = Effective and 4 = Highly Effective. In order to use the results of the Studer surveys (parent, employee, and principal) we will use the following conversion scale:

<u>Studer Group Survey Results</u> 4.00 - 5.00 2.75 - 3.99 2.00 - 2.74 Below 2.00 Evaluation Score Highly Effective (4.0) Effective (3.0) Needs Improvement (2.0) Unsatisfactory (1.0)

A crosswalk has been developed with the help of the Studer Group which aligns the survey questions used on the student, parent and faculty surveys with the Florida Principal Leadership Standards. This crosswalk is included as **Attachment L**.

Section III—Professional Development Plan

Santa Rosa District Schools have decided to include an administrator's Professional Development Plan as part of their evaluation which includes a Deliberate Practice/reflection section. Every district administrator in Santa Rosa District Schools is required to complete a Professional Development Plan. The Professional development goal will reflect disaggregated student data for the current school year, Improvement Plan needs for the area the district administrator supervises as well as District Strategic Plan needs. Each Professional Development Plan will provide an outcome statement at the end of each year that will identify areas of improvement and needs not met. Data from the outcome statements will be used in a continuous cycle to formulate new goals and identify areas of needed improvement for each following year's Improvement Plan, District Strategic Plan, and individual goal statement. These plans are initiated by the end of the first quarter of the school year. A space on the PDP is provided at the top of the second page for supervisors to document ongoing support and monitoring of progress relative to the goal. This section should be completed by June 1 of each school year. The Professional Development Plan will count 15% of the total evaluation for district level administrators. A rubric has been developed and included as part of the Professional Development Plan that will help the supervisor and administrator in determining the final evaluation of the plan. In order to receive a rating of "highly effective" or "effective" the administrator must complete the required professional development and include appropriate artifacts and demonstrate evidence of professional growth. See Section II of the evaluation instrument for a copy of the Professional Development Plan.

Section IV—Supervisor's Evaluation of the District leader Core Practices

This section of the evaluation is where the supervisor measures the District Administrator Core Practices.-**Attachment B** is a detailed rubric for rating the District Administrator Core Practices. Supervisors will evaluate administrators by rating each core practice Supervisors will mark each indicator as supporting documentation of the core practice rating. Supervisors will conduct a minimum of three informal observations of the district administrator during the course of a school year. Supervisors will provide the administrator feedback after each of these observations. Observations may include scheduled and unscheduled events, walk through, meetings, and examination of materials that reflect the administrator's work or the impact of the administrator's work on others. This section should be completed by June 1 of each school year. It will count 35% of the total evaluation for all administrators.

Section V—Student Performance

This section will count 35% of the total administrator evaluation. This section will be completed within two weeks of the date the district compiles the data. If there is a delay in meeting this date, information will be provided as to the reason and new projected completion date. Local assessments will be given and administrators will receive either a school- or district-wide average based on their position.

Section VI—Overall Annual Evaluation Rating

This section totals the previous four sections and identifies an overall annual evaluation rating. This section will be completed within two weeks of the date the district receives student performance data from the state. If there is a delay in meeting this date, information will be provided for the reason and new projected completion date. The supervisor must send a copy of the evaluation to the administrator within 10 days of the completion of the evaluation. The supervisor must discuss the overall evaluation with the administrator. The administrator shall have the right to initiate a written response to the evaluation and the response shall become a permanent attachment to his or her personnel file. The supervisor may amend an evaluation based upon assessment data from the current school year if the data becomes available 90 days after the close of the school year.

To determine the overall evaluation, each section will be totaled and weighted using the assigned percentages. The total evaluation will use the following ratings to determine the overall evaluation:

Highly Effective	4.00 – 3.50
Effective	3.49 – 2.25
Needs Improvement	2.24 – 1.75
Unsatisfactory	Below 1.75

Training

SRDS administrators conduct an administrative conference once each year in late July or early August. This conference lasts two or three full days and attendance is mandatory for all district level and school administrators. Topics include new policies/procedures, or changes to existing policies/procedures. The evaluation process for administrators, instructional personnel, and educational support personnel is reviewed at this time. We also have monthly meetings after the conference during the school year. Principals and district level administrators meet on the first Thursday of each month and Assistant Principals meet on the third Wednesday of each month. Policies and issues are reviewed and updated during these meetings. These meetings provide a major delivery for training administrators and creating a systematic and continuous reinforcement of the evaluation indicators. In addition to these meetings, administrative training takes place throughout the year online and through face to face sessions held at our Professional Development Center. A major objective of the training is to achieve inter-rater reliability – similar ratings and feedback consistent across the district.

Professional Improvement Plan

Any returning district level administrator who receives an overall rating of needs improvement or unsatisfactory the previous year will be placed on a Professional Improvement Plan and receive assistance through our Peer Assistance Program. This improvement plan will spell out the deficiency in performance and outline a plan for improvement. The administrator needing assistance will be assigned a mentor by the Assistant Superintendent for Human Resources. The peer mentor will not be involved in the evaluation process but will help the administrator meet the goals of the Professional Improvement Plan. District level administrators who are identified by the Supervisor as in need of a Professional Improvement Plan may be placed on one at any time during the school year. These plans should be specific in the nature of the area of improvement and should be limited to less than the length of a school year. See **Attachment S** for a copy of the Professional Improvement Plan.

Annual Review

The District Level Administrative Evaluation Committee will meet each summer prior to the Administrative Conference to review and revise the District Level Administrative Evaluation System. They will review recent legislation on the subject, review data collected from the previous year's evaluations, and prepare the annual report on the status of the evaluation implementation. The results of this review will be used in the writing of the district improvement plans, professional development planning (district master in-service plan), and the continuous improvement process.

Posting of This Document

Within thirty days of approval of this document by the Florida Department of Education it will be posted on the Santa Rosa District Schools website at <u>www.santarosa.k12.fl.us</u>.

Attachment A

Santa Rosa County District Leader Core Practices

Santa Rosa County Schools District Leadership			
Core Practices			

Core Practice #1: Addressing the Proficiency of District Administrators in Monitoring, Supporting, and Evaluating the Effectiveness of School Principals and their Impact on Faculty Development and Student Achievement

Core Practice #2: Continuous Improvement of Teaching and Learning Through Use of Data

Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Services which Build School Leaders' Efficacy for School Improvement and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession

Core Practice #4: Harnessing Family and Community Energies for School Improvement

Core Practice #5: Engaging in Professional Learning to Improve Leadership Practices and Student Learning Outcomes

Attachment B

Data Collection Form and Feedback Protocol

District Leadership Core Practices Rubrics

Core Practice #1: Addressing the Proficiency of District Administrators in Monitoring, Supporting, and Evaluating the Effectiveness of School Principals and their Impact on Faculty Development and Student Achievement

Narrative: Core Practice #1 focuses on the district office administrator's leadership behaviors and monitoring practices that influence the effectiveness of school principals in improving faculty development and student learning growth and achievement. Using data analysis, corrective feedback, and recognition/praise to improve the performance of school principals, the district administrator should ensure that monitoring processes include the school principal's use of strategies by contemporary research standards. Additionally, feedback processes should serve to deepen the school principal's understanding of his or her impact on faculty development, school operations, and high-effect size leadership practices that impact the quality of the learning environment and student results.

Rating: Rubric

Highly Effective:	Effective:	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Evaluation Focus: The	Evaluation Focus: The	Evaluation Focus: The district	Evaluation Focus: The
district administrator uses	district administrator uses	administrator is inconsistent	district administrator never
school leader evaluation	school leader evaluation	in using school leader	uses school leader
indicators and monitoring	indicators and monitoring	evaluation indicators and	evaluation indicators and
processes to measure the	processes to measure the	monitoring processes to	monitoring processes to
effectiveness of school	effectiveness of school	measure the effectiveness of	measure the effectiveness of
principals in promoting	principals in promoting	school principals in promoting	school principals in
faculty development and	faculty development and	faculty development and	promoting faculty
improving the learning	improving the learning	improving the learning	development and improving
environment, resulting in	environment, resulting in	environment, resulting in	the learning environment,
student achievement results	student achievement	student achievement results	resulting in student
that consistently exceed	results that consistently	that are inconsistent in	achievement results that do
expectations.	exceed expectations.	meeting expectations.	not meet expectations.
Attributes of the highly	Attributes of the	Attributes of the district	Attributes of the district
effective district	effective district	administrator needing	administrator
administrator include:	administrator include:	improvement include:	unsatisfactory include:
1.1 The district administrator consistently ensures that principal meetings and staff development forums are	The district administrator ensures that principal meetings and staff development forums are	The district administrator seldom ensures that principal meetings and staff development forums are	The district administrator never ensures that principal meetings and staff development forums are
focused on student	focused on student	focused on student	focused on student
achievement on Florida's	achievement on Florida's	achievement on Florida's	achievement on Florida's
academic standards,	academic standards,	academic standards, including	academic standards,
including periodic reviews of	including periodic reviews	periodic reviews of educator	including periodic reviews of
educator and student work.	of educator and student work.	and student work.	educator and student work.

1.2. The district administrator consistently documents specific examples of decisions impacting teaching, curriculum and assessment alignment with state standards, professional development aligned to evaluation results, and interventions that have been made on the basis of problem solving using data analysis to inform decision making.	The district administrator documents specific examples of decisions impacting teaching, curriculum and assessment alignment with state standards, professional development aligned to evaluation results, and interventions that have been made on the basis of problem solving using data analysis to inform decision making.	The district administrator seldom documents specific examples of decisions impacting teaching, curriculum and assessment alignment with state standards, professional development aligned to evaluation results, and interventions that have been made on the basis of problem solving using data analysis to inform decision making.	The district administrator never documents specific examples of decisions impacting teaching, curriculum and assessment alignment with state standards, professional development aligned to evaluation results, and interventions that have been made on the basis of problem solving using data analysis to inform decision making.
1.3. The district administrator consistently provides evidence that reveals a consistent record of improved student achievement in all sub groups, using multiple indicators of student success.	The district administrator provides evidence that reveals a consistent record of improved student achievement in all sub groups, using multiple indicators of student success.	The district administrator seldom provides evidence that reveals a consistent record of improved student achievement in all sub groups, using multiple indicators of student success.	The district administrator never provides evidence that reveals a consistent record of improved student achievement in all sub groups, using multiple indicators of student success.
1:4. Where students are not successful on core instruction, the district administrator consistently identifies and implements targets supplemental supports for school leaders, including data based interventions and progress monitoring.	Where students are not successful on core instruction, the district administrator identifies and implements targets supplemental supports for school leaders, including data based interventions and progress monitoring.	Where students are not successful on core instruction, the district administrator seldom identifies and implements targets supplemental supports for school leaders, including data based interventions and progress monitoring.	Where students are not successful on core instruction, the district administrator never identifies and implements targets supplemental supports for school leaders, including data based interventions and progress monitoring.
1.5. The district administrator consistently monitors the school's implementation of student achievement initiatives and shares effective practices and impacts with other district and school leaders.	The district administrator monitors the school's implementation of student achievement initiatives and shares effective practices and impacts with other district and school leaders.	The district administrator seldom monitors the school's implementation of student achievement initiatives and shares effective practices and impacts with other district and school leaders.	The district administrator never monitors the school's implementation of student achievement initiatives and shares effective practices and impacts with other district and school leaders.
1.6. The district administrator consistently provides positive and corrective feedback, creating a clear vision of the priority instructional goals for the school.	1.6. The district administrator provides positive and corrective feedback, creating a clear vision of the priority instructional goals for the school.	1.6. The district administrator seldom provides positive and corrective feedback, creating a clear vision of the priority instructional goals for the school.	1.6. The district administrator never provides positive and corrective feedback, creating a clear vision of the priority instructional goals for the school.
1.7. The district administrator consistently balances individual recognition with organization- wide and team recognition.	1.7. The district administrator balances individual recognition with organization-wide and team recognition.	1.7. The district administrator seldom balances individual recognition with organization-wide and team recognition.	1.7. The district administrator never balances individual recognition with organization-wide and team recognition.

 Leadership Evidence of proficiency on this core practice may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to, the following: The district administrator has provided support and feedback to ensure the following: Agendas, memoranda, and notes reflect leader's communications to principals of the role of state standards in curriculum, lesson planning, and tracking student progress. Presentations to principals provide recurring 	 Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions of the faculty, staff, students and /or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to, the following: The district administrator has provided support and feedback to ensure the following: Principals document that the district administrator initiated professional development focused on issues arising from leadership effectiveness monitoring. Principals' meeting records verify recurring review
 updates on the status of plan implementation and progress toward goals. Statistical analyses on a wide range of student performance assessments are in routine use by the leader, including the identification of academic needs of sub-group members. Schedules for school visits document monitoring of principals on school effectiveness and instructional issues. Rubrics that distinguish among proficiency levels on evaluation are used by the district administrator to focus feedback on needed improvements in instructional practice and growth in proficiency on the FPLS. Notes from follow-up conferences regarding feedback on formal or informal observations reflect attention to research-based practices and leadership actions. Feedback describes ways to enhance performance and reach the next level of proficiency. 	 of progress on state standard. Principals use performance data to make instructional decisions. Principals and teachers make presentations to colleagues on uses of performance data to modify instructional practices. Principal meeting agendas or memoranda reflect follow-up actions based on feedback from the district administrator's monitoring on FEAPS. Feedback to principals is based on multiple sources of information (e.g. observations, walkthroughs, and assessment data). The percentage of effective and highly effective teachers and principals increases. Principals' record reveal data-based interventions and progress monitoring. Principals, faculty teams, departments, grade levels who have worked together on student success are recognized.
Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient to rate cu level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If r () Highly Effective () Effective ()	not being rated at this time, leave blank:) Needs Improvement () Unsatisfactory

Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this core practice). The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected.):

Reflection Questions for Core Practice #1

How do you verify that all principals/faculty have sufficient grasp of the significance of student performance data to formulate rational improvement plans?

What are some of the strategies you are employing that help you help you be aware of where the greatest problems are in terms of instructional proficiency?

How do you disaggregate data about teacher proficiencies on instructional practices to stimulate dialogue about what changes in instruction are needed in order to improve student performance?

How do you improve you conferencing skills so that your feedback to principals is specific enough to be helpful, moving them to higher levels of proficiency?

How do you restructure your use of time so that you spend enough time on monitoring the proficiency of leadership practices and on providing feedback to be an effective support for school principals?

How do you improve your own grasp of what the FPLS, FEAPs and high-effect size practices require so that your monitoring has a useful focus?

District Leadership Core Practices Rubrics

Core Practice #2: Continuous Improvement of Teaching and Learning through Use of Data

Narrative: Core practice #2 focuses on helping principals and teachers systematically collect high quality data and use their data to understand the implications of evidence for their improvement efforts along with applying the evidence to focus on continuous improvement of teaching and learning or what the district office leader does to what the school level educators are learning. Professional learning on the job is an essential aspect of effective schools. District administrators who manage the school system in ways that support both individual and collegial professional learning get better outcomes than those who do not. The leader's person participation in professional learning plays a major role in making professional learning efforts pay off. This core practice addresses the district administrator's role in using data to promote continuous improvement as well as using high quality professional development to be a leader of learners.

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective:	Effective:	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Evaluation Focus:	Evaluation Focus:	Evaluation Focus: There is	Evaluation Focus: There is not
Florida's problem solving	Florida's problem solving	inconsistent use of Florida's	priority attention to use of
methods are employed	methods are employed	problem solving methods	Florida's problem solving
with data collection and	with data collection and	employing data collection and	methods employing data
analysis used to guide	analysis used to guide	analysis used to guide quality	collection and analysis used to
quality decision making.	quality decision making.	decision making on district	guide quality decision making on
The district administrator's	The district	priorities. The district	district priorities. The district
actions that result in	administrator's actions	administrator's quality and	administrator's quality and
professional learning	that result in professional	frequency of engagement	frequency of engagement where
cultures in the school	learning cultures in the	where professional learning	professional learning cultures in
supervised where 90% of	school supervised where	cultures in the schools	the schools supervised have
all faculties are routinely	75% of all faculties are	supervised have under 75%	under 50% of all faculties
engaged in collegial team	routinely engaged in	of all faculties routinely	routinely engaged in collegial
learning processes and	collegial team learning	engaged in collegial team	team learning processes and
deliberate focused on the	processes and deliberate	learning processes and	deliberate focused on the FEAPs
FEAPs or FPLS. The	focused on the FEAPs or	deliberate focused on the	or FPLS. The leaders in schools
district administrator and	FPLS. The district	FEAPs or FPLS. The district	supervised are Seldom being
the leaders in schools	administrator and the	administrator and/or the	engaged by the district
supervised all employ data	leaders in schools	leaders in schools supervised	administrator in employing data
based problem solving to	supervised all employ	are inconsistent or not yet	based problem solving on major
generate continuous	data based problem	effective at employing data	improvement priorities to
improvement. Attributes of	solving to generate	based problem solving to	generate continuous
the highly effective district	continuous improvement.	generate continuous	improvement. Attributes of the
administrator on	Attributes of the highly	improvement. Attributes of	district administrator needing
this core practice include:	effective district	the district administrator	improvement on this core
	administrator on this core	needing improvement on this	practice include:
	practice include:	core practice include:	

	Santa Rosa County District Schools District Leader Evaluation Plan				
Attributes of the highly effective district administrator include:	Attributes of the effective district administrator include:	Attributes of the district administrator needing improvement include:	Attributes of the district administrator unsatisfactory include:		
2.1. Conflicts over competing priorities for use of school personnel time and resources are consistently resolved in favor of priorities that impact capacity to support student learning.	Conflicts over competing priorities for use of school personnel time and resources are generally resolved in favor of priorities that impact capacity to support student learning.	Conflicts over competing priorities for use of school personnel time and resources are often unresolved and often not in favor of priorities that impact capacity to support student learning.	Conflicts over competing priorities for use of school personnel time and resources are frequently resolved in ways that negatively impact capacity to support student learning.		
2.2. The district administrator continually contributes time and attention to focusing district provided professional development capacities on high quality professional development practices.	The district administrator contributes time and attention to focusing district provided professional development capacities on high quality professional development practices.	The district administrator seldom contributes time and attention to focusing district provided professional development capacities on high quality professional development practices.	The district administrator does not contribute time and attention to focusing district provided professional development capacities on high quality professional development practices.		
2.3. The district administrator always participates either as a learner or provider in professional growth processes for school leaders and /or teacher focused on district priorities.	The district administrator often participates either as a learner or provider in professional growth processes for school leaders and /or teacher focused on district priorities.	The district administrator rarely participates either as a learner or provider in professional growth processes for school leaders and /or teachers focused on district priorities.	The district administrator does not participate either as a learner or provider in professional growth processes for school leaders and /or teachers focused on district priorities.		
2.4. The district administrator consistently uses problem solving strategies using data and monitors the problem solving/data practices at all schools supervised	The district administrator uses problem solving strategies using data and monitors the problem solving/data practices at all schools supervised.	The district administrator seldom uses problem solving strategies using data and monitors the problem solving/data practices at all schools supervised.	The district administrator consistently does not use problem solving strategies using data and monitors the problem solving/data practices at all schools supervised.		

2.5. The district	The district administrator	The district administrator has	The district administrator has
administrator frequently	coaches administrators in	coached administrators in	not coached administrators
coaches administrators in	schools to improve their data	schools to improve their data	in schools to improve their
schools to improve their data	analysis skills and to inform	analysis skills and to inform	data analysis skills and to
analysis skills and to inform	instructional decision making.	instructional decision making.	inform instructional decision
instructional decision	A consistent record of	A consistent record of	making. A consistent record
making. A consistent record	improved student	improved student	of improved student
of improved student	achievement exists on	achievement exists on	achievement exists on
achievement exists on	multiple indicators of student	multiple indicators of student	multiple indicators of student
multiple indicators of student	success. Formative	success. Formative	success. Formative
success. Formative	assessments are part of the	assessments are part of the	assessments are part of the
assessments are an integral	district culture and interim	district culture but interim	district culture but interim
part of the district culture	assessment data is routinely	assessment data is seldom	assessment data is not used
and interim assessment data			
	used to review and adapt	used to review and adapt	to review and adapt plans
is routinely used to review	plans and priorities.	plans and priorities.	and priorities.
and adapt plans and			
priorities.			

Leadership Evidence of Proficiency on this core practice may be seen in the leader's behaviors or actions.

<u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include, but are not limited to the following.

The district administrator has provided support and feedback to ensure the following:

- The district administrator is able to produce samples of multiple forms of communication (i.e., meeting agendas, emails, professional development documents, etc.) sent out to school principals communicating the district's agenda for student learning.
- The district administrator can produce several forms of documentation (I, e, teacher and school/leader self-assessment data, observational data, etc.) depicting the degree to which teachers and school level leaders are implementing the professional development provided to develop the capacities they need to accomplish the district's student learning agenda.
- The district administrator is able to provide multiple forms of evidence of their data gathering efforts indicating how well district policies are working at the school level and how they used that data to inform district leadership practice.
- Data files and analyses on a wide range of student performance assessments are in routine use by the district administrator.

 Impact Evidence of leadership proficiency may be seen in the behaviors or actions or actions of the faculty, staff, students and/or community. <u>Illustrative examples</u> of such evidence may include , but are not limited to the following:
 The district administrator has provided support and feedback to ensure the following:

- Survey data from school leaders reflect a majority agreeing with the statement that district administrators clearly communicate the district's agenda for student learning.
- Teachers and school leaders track their progress toward "Effective" and higher implementation of prioritized professional development offerings.
- Survey data from school leaders reflect a majority agreeing with the statement that district administrators have detailed plan for improving instruction across the district
- Survey data from school leaders reflect a majority agreeing with the statement that district administrators provide increased opportunities for administrators to collaborate on common work Principals and teachers use performance data to make instructional decisions.
- Principals identify changes in practice within their schools based on performance data analyses.
- Students in all subgroups express a belief that the school responds to their needs and is a positive influence on their future well-being.

 Analyses of trends and patterns in student performance over time are reflected in presentations to principals and teachers on instructional improvement needs. Analyses of trends and patterns in evaluation feedback on school proficiencies and professional learning needs are reflected in presentations to principals on instructional improvement needs. Collaborative work system' (e.g., data teams, professional learning communities) agendas and minutes reflect recurring engagements with interim and formative assessment data. Principals meeting agendas and minutes reflect attention to formative and interim assessment processes. IP evaluation data reveals routine use of formative assessment practices in the classrooms. Assessment rubrics are being used in the district. Written goals are developed and provided to principals that focus on reducing or eliminating achievement gaps for student in under-performing sub-groups and for students with disabilities. 	
Evidence Log (specifically, what has been observed that reflects current p	proficiency on this core practice) The examples
above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive	e list of what is expected.
Reflection Questions for Core Practice #2	
$_{\odot}$ $$ In what ways might you further extend you reach within the district	
and skill in establishing and maintaining a school climate that supp	
 What data other than end of year state assessments would be help 	pful in understanding student progress at least
every 3-4 weeks?	
 How might you engage other school leaders in sharing quality examinatorial according to the school leaders in sharing quality examinatorial according to the school leaders in sharing quality examples the school leaders in schol leaders in school leaders in school leaders in school lead	mples or formative assessment and use of
 interim assessment data? How have you shared professional learning success stories as well 	as misstens with schools, departments, district
 How have you shared professional learning success stories as well and organizations to help them achieve similar levels of leadership 	
 What practices have you engaged in to increase professional know 	•
school system regarding your efforts to ensure the creation and ma	
to successful teaching and learning for all?	
 What are one or two key strategies you have effectively utilized to 	support principals, particularly those new to the
district or school, in providing aligned forms of leadership distributi	
 To what extent do learning goals presented to the students reflect 	
standards and the assignments and activities students are given?	
 How are you providing a rage of professional development opportu- 	unities for teachers and school-level leaders to
develop their capacity to accomplish the district's student-learning	
Whet have you done to descent your understanding of the second	the ball of the testing the state of

 What have you done to deepen your understanding of the connection between the instructional strategies of learning goals and tracking student progress?

• Why do sub-groups students like those in you district not perform as well as similar groups in other districts?

DISTRICT LEADERSHIP CORE PRACTICES RUBRICS

Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Services which Build School Leader's Efficacy for School Improvement and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession

Narrative: Research shows that for schools to meet their learning goals on rigorous state standards, they must have consistent, quality, coordinated and differentiated support from all departments at the district office. District administrators must understand the unique characteristics and challenges of each school and act in ways that contribute to the effective operations, organization and school-wide improvement of teaching and learning. Principal efficacy provides a crucial link between district initiatives, school conditions and student learning. School Leaders who possess strong efficacy beliefs will be more likely to undertake and persist in school-improvement projects. Through succession planning, district leaders recruit superior employees, develop their knowledge, skills and abilities to prepare them for advancement into more challenging roles as they become available.

Rating Rubric Highly Effective: Unsatisfactory: Effective: Needs Improvement: Evaluation Focus: The **Evaluation Focus: The Evaluation Focus:** Evaluation Focus: The district administrator's influence district administrator's The district administrator's district administrator's influence enables school distracts school leaders from influence interferes with influence maximizes time and capacities on school leaders to prioritize time consistent attention to school school leaders' consistent improvement priorities, attention to school and capacities on school improvement priorities, demands high energy positive attention by all improvement priorities, generates inconsistent results improvement priorities, demands high energy in achieving high energy generates inconsistent school leaders and prepares positive attention by most positive attention by a results with the absence of school leaders and majority of those leaders to plans to change those viable leadership successors. prepares viable leadership school improvement priorities conditions resulting in few successors. and inadequately prepares viable leadership successors. viable leadership successors. Attributes of the highly Attributes of the Attributes of the district Attributes of the district effective district effective district administrator needing administrator administrator on this administrator on this improvement on this core unsatisfactory on this core practice include: core practice include: practice include: core practice include: 3.1 The district administrator The district administrator The district administrator The district administrator effectively communicates communicates their ineffectively communicates does not communicate their their expectations for school expectations for school their expectations for school expectations for school sites, sites, establishes practical sites, establishes practical sites, establishes practical establishes practical priorities, coordinates due priorities, coordinates due priorities, coordinates due priorities, coordinates due dates, and adjusts district dates, and adjusts district dates, and adjusts district dates, and adjusts district expectations to expectations to accommodate expectations to expectations to accommodate teacher and accommodate teacher and accommodate teacher and teacher and principal learning principal learning priorities at principal learning priorities priorities at the school site. principal learning priorities at the school site. at the school site. the school site. 3.2 The district administrator The district administrator The district administrator The district administrator consistently shares ideas, shares ideas, coaches and inconsistently shares ideas, does not share ideas, coach coaches and provides provides technical coaches and provides or provide technical technical assistance to assistance to implement technical assistance to assistance to implement implement successful new successful new initiatives implement successful new successful new initiatives initiatives supported by supported by quality initiatives supported by supported by quality planning and goal setting planning and goal setting to quality planning and goal quality planning and goal setting to support school to support school leaders' setting to support school support school leaders' leaders' efforts in teaching leaders' efforts in teaching efforts in teaching and efforts in teaching and and learning. learning. and learning. learning.

3.3. The district administrator consistently utilizes an effective system of monitoring, which holds principals accountable for implementing and following up on what is learned during district sponsored professional development.	The district administrator utilizes an effective system of monitoring, which holds principals accountable for implementing and following up on what is learned during district sponsored professional development.	The district administrator ineffectively utilizes a system of monitoring, which holds principals accountable for implementing and following up on what is learned during district sponsored professional development	The district administrator fails to monitor and hold principals accountable for implementing and following up on what is learned during district sponsored professional development.
3.4 The district administrator always provides individualized support for principals, depending upon the challenges they face in their school.	The district administrator provides individualized support for principals, depending upon the challenges they face in their school.	The district administrator seldom provides individualized support for principals, depending upon the challenges they face in their school.	The district administrator does not provide individualized support for principals, depending upon the challenges they face in their school.
3.5 The district administrator systematically evaluates the effectiveness of the succession program, making adjustments as needed and engaging other leaders in succession management processes in their own areas of responsibility.	The district administrator evaluates the effectiveness of the succession program, making adjustments as needed and engaging other leaders in succession management processes in their own areas of responsibility.	The district administrator seldom evaluates the effectiveness of the succession program, makes adjustment or engages other leaders in succession management processes in their own areas of responsibility.	The district administrator fails to evaluate the effectiveness of the succession program, make needed adjustments or engages other leaders in succession management processes in their own areas of responsibility.
3.6 The district administrator consistently provides support to school leader preparation programs and takes initiative in sharing effective succession planning practices with other district leaders throughout the system.	The district administrator provides support to school leader preparation programs and takes initiative in sharing effective succession planning practices with other district leaders throughout the system.	The district administrator seldom provides support to school leader preparation programs and rarely takes initiative in sharing effective succession planning practices with other district leaders throughout the system.	The district administrator does not provide support to school leader preparation programs and never takes initiative in sharing effective succession planning practices with other district leaders throughout the system.

en in
nd/or
ay
back
rict
rove
-

- School walkthrough's, conference notes, written feedback and presentations reflect that the district administrator anticipates and responds to school needs.
- Meeting minutes, data reports and presentations reflect that the district administrator communicates, cooperates, and collaborates with other departments in analyzing data to monitor and improve support services to schools
- Principal self-assessment and/or observational data demonstrating the degree to which principal are implementing district-sponsored professional development
- Budget and staffing documents provide evidence they are adequately supporting schools in achieving district-established directions
- The district administrator can document that school improvement plans are submitted by all schools and that school goals are submitted by all schools and that school goals are clear and aligned with state and district standards
- Professional development records demonstrate building instructional leadership capacity
- Documents generated at the direction of the district administrator give attention to individual professional development that addresses succession management
- The district administrator utilizes processes to monitor potential school leader departures.
- The district administrator accesses district applicant pools to review options as soon as district processes permit.
- Informal dialogues with school leaders routinely explore their interests in expanded involvement and future leadership roles.
- The district administrator has documents or processes to inform potential leaders of the tasks and qualifications involved in moving into leadership.
- The district administrator accesses the district succession management plan that identifies succession problems, key and hard to fill positions for which critical competencies have been identified and key contacts within the school community.
- Other leadership evidence of proficiency on this indicator.

cafeteria routines, financial management, and bus arrival and departures.

- Principal and teacher practice have changed as result of the feedback from district office visits and feedback for growth.
- Survey data from school leaders reflect a majority agreeing with the statement that district administrators provide a clear sense of direction through establishment of achievement standards and provision of district-wide curriculum and /or programs.
- Survey data reflects that district administrators provide human and financial resources to assist schools in achieving district-established directions.
- Teachers and school leaders track their progress toward "effective" and higher implementation of prioritized professional development offerings
- Survey data reflecting that district administrators involve principals and teachers in district-wide decisions that have a direct impact on their work
- Survey data from school leaders reflect that district administrators help build the instructional leadership capacity of school principals.
- District/school leaders report that the district administrator has identified various competency levels needed for key or hard-to- fill leadership positions.
- District/school leaders describe providing the district administrator feedback as to gaps in their personal competency for which the administrator has developed professional learning experiences.
- District/school leaders understand the district's succession plan and can describe transparent processes for being considered for leadership positions with the district.
- Sub-ordinate leaders engage other faculty in competency building tasks that prepare them for future leadership roles.
- Other impact evidence of proficiency on this indicator.

 Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this core practice, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank:

 () Highly Effective
 () Effective
 () Needs Improvement
 () Unsatisfactory

 Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this core practice? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected.):

Reflection Questions for Core Practice #3

How would you routinely share professional learning success stories as well as missteps to avoid with other schools departments, districts, and organizations to help them achieve similar levels of leadership impact?

What strategies could you pursue to provide guidance to other leaders outside your system so that they too can deliver a wide range of professional development opportunities to help build the instructional leadership capacities of principals?

In what strategies might you engage that would encourage principals to develop improvement plans in all schools that are aligned with state and district standards, but with little discretion left to the school to determine the paths to goal achievement?

What strategies might you consider that would help you encourage teamwork and professional community by including both principals and teachers in district wide decisions that directly impact their work?

In what ways would a plan for succession management be helpful to you as you move to replace key and hard to fill positions in the district? What have you prepared to assist your successor when the time comes?

DISTRICT LEADERSHIP CORE PRACTICES RUBRICS

Core Practice #4: Harnessing Family and Community Energies for School Improvement

Narrative: This core practice focuses on the actions of district level administrators which lead to greater engagement and participation of the community in the schools. District leaders need to focus more sharply and energetically on collective leadership by engaging teachers, administrators, parents, and community members in ongoing, reflective discussions of what each party can and should contribute to students' learning. District administrators support quality decisions of school leaders engaged in implementing district and state mandates and assist in communication to stakeholders the rationale for such decisions. District administrators balance support for school leaders with consistent monitoring of the effectiveness of processes that allow school site leaders to understand and respond in a timely manner to community interests and concerns.

Rating Rubric:

Highly Effective:	Effective:	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Evaluation focus:	Evaluation focus:	Evaluation focus:	Evaluation focus:
Communications to	Communications to	Communication to	Communications to
stakeholders provide	stakeholders provide	stakeholders provide	stakeholders provide infrequent
frequent opportunities in	recurring opportunities in	occasional opportunities for	or ineffective opportunities for
multiple forms for active	multiple forms for active	passive information focused	sharing information focused on
engagement in deepening	engagement in deepening	on understanding of priority	understanding of priority school
understanding of school	understanding of school	school needs and priority	needs and priority improvement
needs and improvement	needs and improvement	improvement initiative and	initiatives and few or no
initiatives and engagement	initiatives and engagement	limited opportunities for	opportunities for engagement in
in support for their success.	in support for their success.	engagement in support for	support for their success.
Attributes of the highly	Attributes of the effective	their success. Attributes of	Attributes of the district
effective district	district administrator on this	the district administrator	administrator unsatisfactory on
administrator on this core	core practice include:	needing improvement on	this core practice include:
practice include:		this core practice include:	
Attributes of the highly	Attributes of the	Attributes of the district	Attributes of the district
effective district	effective district	administrator needing	administrator
administrator include:	administrator include:	improvement include:	unsatisfactory include:
4.1 The district	The district administrator	The district administrator	The district administrator does
administrator consistently	commonly utilizes a system	sometimes utilizes a system	not or rarely utilizes a system of
utilizes a system of open	of open communication and	of open communication and	open communication and
communication and access	access to his/her office	access to his /her office	access to his/her office through
to his /her office through	through multiple methods.	through via more than two	one or two methods. The
multiple methods. The	The district administrator	methods. The district	district administrator does not
district administrator	responds and provides	administrator inconsistently	respond and provide timely,
consistently responds and	timely, responsible sharing	responds and provides	responsible sharing of
provides timely, responsible	of information with the	timely, responsible sharing of information with the	information with the schools
sharing of information with	schools and the community		and the community or uses only
the schools and the	and uses a variety of	schools and the community	one or two formats to engage
community and uses a	formats in multiple ways	and /or uses only on or two	the school community.
variety of format in multiple	through different	formats to engage the	
ways through different media/technology in order	media/technology in order to	school community.	
	maximize engagement of the		
to maximize engagement of	school community.		
the school community.			

Santa Rosa County Distric	t Schools Dist	rict Leader	Evaluation Plan
---------------------------	----------------	-------------	-----------------

Salita K	Usa county District School	S DISTICT LEAVEL EVALUATI			
 4.2 The district administrator is consistently visible in the schools and community focusing attention on improving student achievement and the importance of family and community engagement with the schools. 4.3. The district administrator consistently shares examples of specific 	The district administrator is commonly visible in the schools and community focusing attention on improving student achievement and the importance of family and community engagement with the schools. The district administrator commonly shares examples of specific leadership practices	of specific leadership examples of specific			
leadership practices and differentiated support services that have been effective in helping school leaders and teachers engage their communities in improving student learning.	and differentiated support services that have been effective in helping school leaders and teachers engage their communities in improving student learning.	practices and differentiated support services that have been effective in helping school leaders and teachers engage their communities in improving student learning. leaders and teachers in improving student learning.			
 may be seen in the leader's be Illustrative examples of si are not limited to the following: The district administrator has p to ensure the following: Evidence of visibility an of meetings, newsletted appointment book, etce Evidence of formal and communication that in: (e.g., written, oral) in media (e.g., newsletted family and community schools and the district The district administration two or more work days classrooms interacting parents on various initiachievement. E-mail exchanges with and other stakeholders Participation in commution Established routines an principals, faculty, and to their office. 	uch evidence may include, but provided support and feedback and accessibility (e.g., agendas ers, e-mail correspondence,) is provided. d informal systems of clude a variety of formats multiple ways through different r, electronic) used to improve engagement in the work of t. tor's work schedule reflects s a week in schools and with principals, teachers, and iatives to improve student principals, teachers, parents s. unity events. and procedures that inform parents on how to get access	in the behaviors or actions of t and /or community. <u>Illustrat</u> evidence may include, but are The district administrator has p feedback to ensure the followin o Parent survey results of priority goals of their s o Parents' communication understanding of the g apply to their children o PTSA/Booster club ope addresses support for o School principals have procedures for routing appropriate parties for o School leaders' involve where they actively er members to the work o "User friendly" process determining needs of o Principals, teachers, an	he faculty, staff, students ive examples of such not limited to the following: provided support and ng: reflect understanding of the school and the district. ons to the schools reflect goals and expectations that erations and participation school academic goals. enacted effective parents and stakeholders to assistance. ement in community events list families and community or their school. ses for greeting and visitors. nd parents anecdotal cess to their principals and		
 Monitors office staff in routines and procedure 	plementation of access				

Scale Levels: (choose one) Where there is sufficient evidence to rate current proficiency on this core practice, assign a proficiency level by checking one of the four proficiency levels below. If not being rated at this time, leave blank: () Needs Improvement () Highly Effective () Effective () Unsatisfactory Evidence Log (Specifically, what has been observed that reflects current proficiency on this core practice? The examples above are illustrative and do not reflect an exclusive list of what is expected.) Reflection Questions for Core Practice #4 What additional strategies have you established to diffuse your practices on improving family and community engagement among you colleagues across the school system? How does feedback from key stakeholder groups inform the work of your office? What uses can you make of modern technology to deepen community engagement and expand you're your accessibility to all? How might you articulate to school principals and teachers the benefits that could be gained by the school if parents and community members were meaningfully engaged in the work of the school to raise student achievement? Knowing that some teachers and parents are reluctant to initiate conversations with school leaders, what strategies have you employed or considered in which you-as the leader - would initiate communication on priority goals and expectations? What work habits would you need to change to be more visible in the schools and community? What are your priority goals for family and community engagement in schools and the district?

DISTRICT LEADERSHIP CORE PRACTICES
RUBRICS
Core Practice #5: Engaging in Professional Learning to Improve Leadership Practices and Student
Learning Outcomes

Narrative: The district administrator engages in professional learning that improves professional practice in alignment with the needs of the district and schools, and demonstrates explicit improvement in specific performance area based on previous evaluations and formative feedback. The focus of this Core Practice is on the impact of the administrator's professional learning – does the administrator's learning result in continuous improvement in their performance? The district administrator practices and models deliberate practice by concentrating on a very few professional growth goals or targets in a set time period where the administrator strives for deep learning and personal mastery of a few "thin slices."

Rating Rubric

Highly Effective:	Effective:	Needs Improvement:	Unsatisfactory:
Evaluation Focus: The	Evaluation Focus: The	Evaluation Focus: The district	Evaluation Focus: The
district administrator's	district administrator	administrator engagement in	district administrator
engagement in	engagement in deliberate	deliberate practice growth	engagement in deliberate
multidimensional deliberate	practice growth targets	targets directly related to job	practice growth targets
practice growth targets	directly related to capacity	responsibilities but has limited	directly related to job
directly related to capacity to	to support improvements in	focus on improvements in the	responsibilities is
support improvements in the	the schools supervised	schools supervised	consistently below
school supervised consistently	consistently meets	inconsistently meets	expectations.
exceeds expectations.	expectations.	expectations.	
Attributes of the highly	Attributes of the	Attribute of the district	Attributes of the
effective district	effective district	administrator needing	district administrator
administrator include:	administrator include:	improvement include:	unsatisfactory include:
5.1. The district administrator	The district administrator	The district administrator rarely	The district administrator
consistently models deliberate	models deliberate practice	models deliberate practice	does not model deliberate
practice consistently engaging	consistently engaging in	consistently engaging in	practice consistently
in deliberate practice to	deliberate practice to	deliberate practice to develop	engaging in deliberate
develop personal mastery of	develop personal mastery	personal mastery of job related	practice to develop
job related competencies.	of job related	competencies.	personal mastery of job
	competencies.		related competencies.
5.2. As a result of attending	The district administrator	The district administrator	The district administrator
professional learning,	personally attends and	attends professional learning	does not attend
knowledge and skills are	actively participates in the	for principals but fails to	professional learning
shared throughout the	professional learning	actively engage becoming	required of principals.
organization and with other	required of principals.	distracted with other	
departments in the district.		responsibilities.	

Santa Rosa County District Schools District Leader Evaluation Plan
--

5.3. The district administrator	The district administrator	The district administrator is	The district	
implements prioritized research-	implements prioritized research-	aware of the research on	administrator is not	
based high effect size practices and	based high effect size practices	high effect size practices,	aware of research	
seeks feedback from school leaders	to improve personal leadership	but has yet to apply them	based high effect size	
to differentiate and prioritize levels	effectiveness.	to improve their personal	practices.	
of support needed.		leadership effectiveness.		
5.4. The district administrator	The district administrator seeks	The district administrator	The district	
consistently seeks out and utilizes	out and utilizes feedback and	seeks out feedback from	administrator does	
feedback and data obtained from	data obtained from school	principals, but may or may	not seek out	
school leaders to differentiate and	leaders to differentiate and	not regularly use the data	feedback from	
prioritize levels of support needed	prioritize levels of support	to differentiate their	principals to improve	
using district supported research-	needed using district supported	leadership for individual	their own practices.	
based high effect size practices.	research-based high effect size	schools.		
bused high check size produces.	practices.	5610015.		
		The second Field and a file densities	C.i	
Leadership Evidence of proficiency on a leader's behaviors or actions.	this core practice may be seen in the	Impact Evidence of leadership		
Illustrative examples of such evidence	may include, but are not limited to	in the behavior or actions of the and /or community. Illustrativ		
the following:	may include, but are not innited to	evidence may include, but are n		
The district administrator has provide	d support and feedback to ensure	The district administrator has		
the following:		feedback to ensure the follow		
 PDP Deliberate Practice 			•	
	inked to district peode			
 Professional learning targets 		administrator's support for and participation		
 Professional development rec 		in professional learning	•	
 Evidence of applied lessons le 		 Evidence principal leaders are engaged in 		
	o district application of concepts	professional learning		
learned			rowth data, discipline	
	in professional learning provided		listrict administrator's	
by professional organizations		professional develop		
•	rning is shared with other district	 Principals can articula 	ate professional	
and school leaders		learning shared by th	e district administrator	
o other		after the professional	l learning was	
		implemented		
		 Evidence of successful 	ul development and	
		implementation of th the PDP	e deliberate practice on	
			back data from district	
		•	pacts their leadership	
		practices		
		o other		
Scala Louglas (Chasses and) Without	a thora is sufficient avidance to until	a current proficiency on the sec	o proctico, accier o	
	e there is sufficient evidence to rate cy level by checking one of the four		e practice, assign a	
() Highly Effective		Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
		•	.,	
2	as been observed that reflects curre ustrative and do not reflect an exclu	,	ctice? The examples	

Reflection Questions for Core Practice #5						
What has been most effective in creating a focus on professional learning?						
How have you used this information to encourage the growth of others?						
How do you identify PD focus areas and whether or not they are impacting student achievement and the district as a whole?						
How do you make adjustment if needed?						
How are you investing your PD and applying your learning to your daily work with school leaders?						
How do you apply this learning in multiple leadership venues?						
What steps can you take to participate in PD focused on district goals with your principals?						
What steps can you take to begin to apply PD to your daily work?						

Attachment C

Framework Crosswalk

- District Administrator Core Practice (The Leadership and Learning Center)
- Research
- RTTT MOU
- Florida Statutes
- Florida School Leader Assessment
- Florida Educator Accomplished practices
- Santa Rosa District Leader Core Practice

District Office Core Practice #1: Getting Results

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Improving student achievement by focusing on leadership behaviors that influence the desired student results.	SREB p. 2, Strategy 3 – Provide instructional coherence and support. DM pp. 7, 17, 18, 25 LET p. 4 #1 – District leaders have a shared agreement on instructional leadership. LET p. 4 #3 – A shared understanding of instructional leadership drives day-to-day work. LET p. 5 #5 – A shared understanding of instructional leadership drives evaluation. LET p. 5 #7 – District/Charter management organization and school leaders' focus on continuous improvement in their practice. HON, pp. vi & ix ROB pp. 635-669	MOU (D) (2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance (ii) bullet #4 pp. 13-14	Statute 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Regional professional development academies Statute 1012.986 William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders.	FSLA Domain 1 – Indicator 1.4 Academic Standards FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 3.6 Faculty Effectiveness	FEAPs 1-6	Core Practice # 1

Santa Rosa County Distric	t Schools I	District Leader	Evaluation Plan
---------------------------	-------------	-----------------	-----------------

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHE D PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Improving the percentage of effective and highly effective principals and teachers in the district focusing on whether the accumulated impact of the district leader's actions result in positive trend lines on principal and teacher effectiveness.	DM pp. 5, 7, 18 HON, pp. 33 & 121 ZAV, p. 284	MOU (D) (2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance (ii) bullet #2 p. 13	Statute 1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.7 Actual Improvement FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 3.6 Faculty Effectiveness	FEAPs 1-6	Core Practices 1 & 3

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHE D PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
capacity to develop the			Statue 1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria Statue 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Professional academies Statue 1012.986 Cecil Golden Development School Leaders Statue 1003.02 School board and control of public K-13 education within the school district. Statue 1003.03 Maximum Class size			Core Practice 2

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Building consensus about core expectations for professional practice (Florida College and Career Ready Standards, NGSSS, teaching, leadership).	SREB p. iv #3 – Develop tools and processes that principals and teachers can use to ensure that instruction for all groups of students is aligned with college-and career readiness standards. SREB p. 1, Strategy 1 – Establish a clear focus and a strategic framework of core beliefs, effective practices and goals for improving student achievement.		Statute 1003.32 Authority of teacher; responsibility for control of students; district school board and principal duties Statute 1013.12 Casualty, safety, sanitation, and fire-safety standards and inspection of property. Statute 1008.31 Florida's K-20 Education Performance Accountability System; legislative Intent; mission, goals, and system- wide measures; data quality improvements Statute 1003.41 Next Generation Sunshine State Standards	FSLA Domain 2 – All Indicators under Proficiency Area 4 Faculty Development 4.1 through 4.7 FSLA Domain 3 – Indicators 6.1 Prioritization Practices, 6.2 Problem Solving, 6.3 Quality Control FSLA Domain 4 – Indicator 10.4 Professional Conduct	FEAPs 1-6	Core Practice 2
DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
--	---	--	---	--	---	---
Directing energy, influence, and resources toward data analysis for instructional improvement, development and implementation of quality standards- based curricula to achieve the district's learning goals.	HON, p. ix ZAV, p. 284 SREB p. v #6 – Give school principals real authority in the areas of staff selection, school scheduling, instructional programs, and use of and redirection of new and existing resources. SREB p. 2, Strategy 5 – Provide high-quality data that link student achievement to school and classroom practices, and assist schools to use data effectively. SREB p. 2, Strategy 6 – Optimize the use of resources to improve student learning. DM p. 5 HON, p. ix	MOU (C) (3) (ii) Professional development on use of data pp. 8-9	Statute 1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria Statute 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Regional professional development academies Statute 1012.986 William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders	FSLA Domain 1 – All Indicators under Proficiency Area 1 Student Learning Results 1.1 through 1.4 FSLA Domain 3 – Indicator 6.1 Prioritization Practices	FEAPs Quality Instruction # 4 and Continuous Improvement 1	Core Practice 2

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Differentiating support to principals in relation to evidence of compliance and skill in implementing the expectations, with flexibility for school- based innovation.	ZAV, pp. 284-285 ROB p. 661 – Establishing goals and expectations ROB p. 661 – Resourcing strategically ROB pp. 661-663 – Planning, coordinating, and evaluating teaching and the curriculum. SREB p. 2, Strategy 2 – Organize and engage the school board and district office in support of each school. SREB p. 2, Strategy 6 – Optimize the use of resources to improve student learning. SREB p. 21 DM p. 30 LET p. 6 #3 – Instructional Leadership Directors differentiate support for principals.	MOU (D) (2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance p. 10 MOU (D) (2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance (iii) Bullet #4 (iv) (a) p. 14	Statute 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Regional professional development academies Statute 1012.986 William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders.	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 4.4 Instructional Initiatives, 4.5 Facilitating and Leading Professional Learning, 4.6 Faculty Development		Core Practice 2

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE
Setting clear expectations for school leadership practices and establish leadership development systems to select, train, and assist principals and teacher leaders consistent with district expectations.	LET p. 7 #6 – Central office provides support for Instructional Leadership Director to meet the differentiated needs of principals. LET p. 10 #4 – Central office provides customized support to schools. HON, p. Dimension 1 & pp. vi & ix SEA, p. 197 SREB pp. 1-2, Strategy 1 – Establish a clear focus and a strategic framework of core beliefs, effective practices and goals for improving student achievement. SREB p. 2, Strategy 2- Organize and engage the school board and district office in support of each school. SREB p. 35	MOU (D) (2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance (ii) Bullet #2, #2 p. 13	Statute 1012.28 Public school personnel; duties of school principal Statute 1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria Statute 1012.55 Positions for which certificates required. Statute 1012.56 Educator certification requirements Statute 1003.32 Authority	FSLA Domain 2 Indicators 4.1 Recruitment and Retention, 4.4 Instructional Initiatives, 4.5 Facilitating and Leading Professional Learning, 4.6 Faculty Development Alignments		Core Practice 3

*									
DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE			
	LET p. 4 #1 – District leaders have a shared agreement of instructional leadership. HON, p. 121 ROB p. 659-661 – Establishing goals and expectations SEA, p. 197		of teacher; responsibility for control of students; district school board and principal duties Statute 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Regional professional development academies Statute 1012.986 William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders						
Providing organized opportunities for teachers and principals to engage in school-to-school communication, focusing on the challenges of improving student learning and program implementation.	SREB p. 28 DM p. 22 LET p. 7 #4 – Central office leaders' lead principal networks. HON – Principal Networks, p. 47		Statute 1008.345 Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 2.1 Learning Organization, 2.2 School Climate, 2.3 High Expectations	FEAPs Continuous Improvement # 1 Continuous Professional Improvement	Core Practice 2			

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Developing and model strategies and norms for	and participating in teacher learning and development ZAV p. 287 SEA, p. 197 SREB p. 2, Strategy 3 –		Statute 1008,345	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 2.1	FEAPs Continuous Improvement #1	Core Practice 2
local inquiry into challenges related to student learning and program implementation.	Provide instructional coherence and support. DM p. 22 HON p. vi		Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability	Learning Organization, 2.2 School Climate, 2.3 High Expectations	Continuous Professional Improvement	
Coordinating district support for school improvement across organizational units (e.g., supervision, curriculum and instruction, staff development, human resources) in relation to district priorities, expectations for professional practice, and a shared understanding of the goals and needs of specific schools.	SREB p. 2, Strategy 6 – Optimize the use of resources to improve student learning. SREB pp. 17, 19, 37, 40 LET p. 6 #2 – Instructional Leadership Directors focus on developing principals.	MOU (D) (2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance (iii) Bullet #4 (iv) (a) Use evaluations to inform professional development p. 14	Statute 1008.345 Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability	FSLA Domain 2 – All indicators under Proficiency Area 3 Instructional Plan Implementation 3.1 – through 3.6	FEAPs Continuous Improvement # 1 Continuous Professional Improvement	Core Practice 2

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
	LET p. 7 #5 – Instructional Leadership Directors collaborate with other central office departments on principal professional development. LET p. 9 #3 – Central office functions as a service center for schools. HON, p. vi ZAV, p. 287 SEA, p. 197					

District Office Core Practice #3: Building School Leaders' Sense of Efficacy for School Improvement									
How does your district of	office evaluation system	n communicate	e, monitor, and ev	aluate expectations for					
DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE			
Establishing and maintaining a district- wide focus on student achievement and instruction.	SREB p. iv #4 – Invest in high-quality professional development for the district staff, school principals and teachers. SREB p. 2, Strategy 4 – Invest heavily in instruction-related professional learning for principals, teacher- leaders and district staff. SREB pp. 27, 28 DM p. 5 LET p. 1 HON p. vi & 30-32 ROB pp. 657-658 ZAV p. 284-285 SEA, p. 148	MOU (D) (5) Providing effective support to teachers and principals p. 19	Statute 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Regional professional development academies Statute 1012.986 William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 3.1 FEAPs, 3.2 Standards- Based Instruction, 3.5 Quality Assessments, 4.3 High-Effect Size Strategies, 4.5 Facilitating and Leading Professional Learning	FEAPs Continuous Improvement # 1 Continuous Professional Improvement	Core Practice 3			

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Encouraging teamwork and professional community by including both principals and teachers in district- wide decisions that directly impact their work.	HON p. vi ZAV p. 287 SEA, p. 148		Statute 1008.345 Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability. Statute 1008.38 Articulation accountability process.	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.6 Faculty Development Alignments		Core Practice 3
Aiming to provide stable district leadership as a contribution to principal efficacy.	SREB p. iv #4 – Invest in high-quality professional development for the district staff, school principals and teachers. SREB p. 2, Strategy 4 – Invest heavily in instruction-related professional learning for principals, teacher-leaders and district staff. SREB p. 27 DM p. 5 HON, Throughout ROB p. 655 SEA, p. 148		Statute 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Regional professional development academies Statute 1012.986 William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders	FSLA Domain 2 – All Indicators in Proficiency Area 4 Faculty Development, 4.1 through 4.7	FEAPs Continuous Improvement #1 Continuous Professional Improvement	Core Practice 3

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Hiring policies that allow principals to select teachers they believe to be outstanding choices for their own school contexts.	DM p. 5 LET p. 5 #4 – A shared understanding of instructional leadership drives the hiring process. HON p. 118 ZAV p. 284 SEA, p. 148		Statute 1012.05 Teacher recruitment and retention. Statute 1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria. Statute 1012.55 Positions for which certificates required Statute 1012.56 Educator certification requirements.	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.1, Recruitment and Retention	FEAPs Continuous Improvement # 2 Professional Responsibility and Ethical Conduct	Core Practice 3
Requiring the development of improvement plans in all schools, with improvement goals expected to be clear and aligned with state and district standards, but with considerable discretion left to the school to determine the paths to goal achievement.	SREB pp. 11 & 28 DM p. 34 HON p. vi, 118 & Principal Networks SEA, p. 148	MOU (D) (2) (iii) Bullet #4 (iv) (a) Use evaluations to inform professional development Bullet #3 p. 15	Statute 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Regional professional development academies Statute 1012.986 William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders.	FSLA Domain 1 – Indicator 2.1, Learning Organizations FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 4.2 Feedback Practices, 4.3 High Effect Size Practices, 4.5 Facilitating and Leading Professional Development FSLA Domain 4 – Indicator 10.2 Professional Learning FSLA Deliberate Practice Plan	FEAPs Continuous Improvement # 1 Continuous Professional Improvement, Continuous Improvement # 2 Professional Responsibility and Ethical Conduct	Core Practices 2 & 3

District Office Core Practice #4: Using Data as a Problem Solving Strategy at the District and School Level

How does your district office evaluation system communicate, monitor, and evaluate expectations for the following research-based best practices:

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR COREPRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Helping principals and teachers use their data and transform the data not only into actionable evidence, but also to help principals understand the implications of such evidence for their improvement efforts.	SREB p. v #5 – Lead schools to analyze a variety of data – beyond test scores – and discover the root causes behind student failure of dropping out. SREB p. 2, Strategy 5 – Provide high-quality data that link student achievement to school and classroom practices, and assist schools to use data effectively. SREB p. 32 DM pp. 5, 22, 24, 30 LET p. 5 #7 – District/Charter management organization and school leaders' focus on continuous improvement in their practice.		Statute 1008.345 Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability	FSLA Domain 1 – Indicators 1.1 Academic Standards, 1.2 Performance Data, FSLA Domain 3 – Indicator 6.3 Quality Control	FEAPs Quality of Instruction #4 Assessment	Core Practice 2

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE			
	ROB pp. 661-663 – Planning, coordinating, and evaluating teaching and the curriculum ZAV p. 285 SEA, p. 195								
Collecting and using data about local Family educational cultures- norms, Beliefs, values, and practices reflecting families' dispositions toward schooling and their role in it.	SEA p. 195		Statue 1008.345 Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 5.4 Achievement Gaps FSLA Domain 3 – Indicator 5.3 Diversity FSLA Domain 3 – Indicator 9.1 Constructive Conversations					
Working with school principals to systematically collect high quality data (evidence about the school and classroom conditions that would need to change) for their students (individual students and student populations) achievement to improve.	SREB p. v #5 – Lead schools to analyze a variety of data – beyond test scores – and discover the root causes behind student failure of dropping out.		Statute 1008.345 Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability	FSLA Domain 1 – Indicator 1.2 Performance Data FSLA Domain 3 – Indicator 9.1 Constructive Conversations FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 5.4 Achievement Gaps	FEAPs Quality of Instruction #4 Assessment	Core Practice 2			

District Office Core Practice #5: Ensuring Productive Leadership Succession

How does your district office evaluation system communicate, monitor, and evaluate expectations for the following research-based best practices:									
DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE			
Recognizing the importance of stable leadership in the schools to minimize the effects of frequent principal turnover.	DM pp. 5, 10, 28-29 HON p. 118 SEA, p. 178		Statute 1012.27 Public school personnel; powers and duties of district school superintendent Statute 1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria.	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.1 Recruitment and Retention		Core Practice 3			
Ensuring that principals effectively distribute leadership to mitigate at least some of the negative consequences of principal turnover.	DM pp. 29, 30 ZAV p. 287 SEA, p. 178		Statute 1012.27 Public school personnel; powers and duties of district school superintendent.	FSLA Domain 3 – Indicators 6.4 Distributive Leadership, 7.1 Leadership Team, 7.2 Delegation, 7.3 Succession Planning		Core Practice 3			
Ensuring principals' newly assigned to schools initially work within the existing culture of their schools, rather than attempting to quickly and substantially change it, to avoid negative turnover effects.	SREB p. 2, Strategy 4 – Invest heavily in instruction- related professional learning for principals, teacher- leaders and district staff.			FSLA Domain 3 – Indicator 7.4 Relationships FSLA Domain 3 – Indicators 9.1 Constructive Conversations, 9.2 Clear Goals and Expectations, 9.3 Accessibility		Core Practice 3			
Ensuring a smooth transition from one principal to the next by clarifying the district's expectations for the job to be done by the incoming principals, and by participating with teachers and the new principal in initial discussions.	SREB p. 2, Strategy 4 – Invest heavily in instruction- related professional learning for principals, teacher- leaders and district staff.		Statute 1012.27 Public school personnel; powers and duties of district school superintendent.	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.1 Recruitment and Retention FSLA Domain 3 – Indicator 7.3 Succession Planning		Core Practice 3			

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
about expectations for the new principal's work.	SREB p. 27 DM pp. 5, 10, 17, 28 HON p. 118 SEA, p. 178					
Implementing the district's succession plan for school and district leaders by identifying (early in their careers) talented teachers and leaders who have the potential to become school principals and district administrators.	SREB p. v #8 – Develop a succession plan for school principals. DM pp. 5, 13, 20, 29 LET p. 10 #5 – Teacher leaders serve as partners with principals. ZAV p. 287		Statute 1012.27 Public school personnel; powers and duties of district school superintendent	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.1 Recruitment and Retention FSLA Domain 3 – Indicator 7.3 Succession Planning		Core Practice 3

District Office Core Practice #6: Harnessing Family and Community Energies for School Improvement

How does your district office evaluation system communicate, monitor, and evaluate expectations for the following research-based best practices:

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Engaging in dialogues with principals about the importance of being open to community and parental involvement by partnering with parents and community members in school improvement efforts, parents as vital partners in the learning process, the importance of shared leadership, and the critical role that the community plays in every child's life.	SREB p. v #9 – Engage parents and the larger community in ongoing dialogue about the changes needed to prepare more students for success in high school, college, careers and citizenship. SREB p. iv #1 – Work with a cross-section of community and school leaders to create a strategic vision for graduating students who are prepared for a range of postsecondary options. SREB p. 2, Strategy 7 – Use open, credible processes to involve key school and community leaders in shaping a vision for improving schools. SREB p. 42 SEA, p. 126		Statute 1008.345 Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability	FSLA Domain 3 – Indicators 9.1 Constructive Conversations, 9.2 Clear Goals and Expectations, 9.3 Accessibility	FEAPs Continuous Improvement #1 Continuous Professional Improvement	Core Practice 4

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Taking an active role in teaching parents and other community members how to be involved in education. These efforts should include providing informational and instructional sessions about shared governance.	SREB p. v #9 – Engage parents and the larger community in ongoing dialogue about the changes needed to prepare more students for success in high school, college, careers and citizenship. SREB p. 2, Strategy 7 – Use open, credible processes to involve key school and community leaders in shaping a vision for improving schools. SREB p. 43 SEA, p. 126		Statute 1008.345 Implementation of state system of school improvement and education accountability	FSLA Domain 3 – Indicators 9.1 Constructive Conversations, 9.2 Clear Goals and Expectations, 9.3 Accessibility		Core Practice 4

District Office Core Practice #7: Engaging in Professional Learning to Improve Leadership Practices and Student Learning

<u>Outcomes</u>

How does your district office evaluation system communicate, monitor, and evaluate expectations for the following research-based best practices:

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Participating in active professional learning communities in which key district and school leaders have common learning experiences aligned to district priorities.	SREB p. 27 SREB p. 2, Strategy 4 – Invest heavily in instruction-related professional learning for principals, teacher-leaders and district staff. HON pp. vi & xi ROB pp. 663-64 – Promoting and participating in teacher learning and development			FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.5 Facilitating and Leading Professional Development FSLA Domain 4 – Indicator 10 .2 Professional Learning		Core Practice 5
Implementing an individual deliberate practice plan aligned to priority student learning goals and results from ongoing feedback and prior evaluations.	SREB p. 46 DM pp. 15, 18 LET p. 5 #6 – A shared understanding of instructional leadership drives professional development. HON p. viii ROB pp. 659-661- Establishing goals and expectations	MOU (D) (2) Improving teacher and principal effectiveness based on performance (ii) Design and implement evaluation systems Bullet #2, #2 p. 13 MOU (D) (5) Providing effective support to teachers and principals (i) Quality professional development Bullet #8, p. 21	Statute 1012.98 School Community Professional Development Act	FSLA Deliberate Practice Plan FSLA Domain 4 – Indicator 10.2 Professional Learning		Core Practice 5

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE P
Utilizing feedback from principals to direct differentiated leadership for schools.	SREB p. 46 HON p. vi		Statute 1012.98 School Community Professional Development Act	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.2 Feedback Practices		Core Practices 2 & 5
Implementing research- based high- effect size practices to improve personal leadership effectiveness.	SREB p. iv #4 – Invest in high- quality professional development for the district staff, school principals and teachers. SREB p. 2, Strategy 3 – Provide instructional coherence and support. HON pp. vii - viii		Statute 1012.98 School community professional development act Statue 1012.985 Regional professional development academies Statute 1012.986 William Cecil Golden Professional Development Program for School Leaders.	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.3 High- Effect Size Practices	FEAPs Quality of Instruction #3 Instructional Delivery and Facilitation	Core Practice 5
Participating in the professional development required of principals.	ROB pp. 663-64 – Promoting and participating in teacher learning and development.			FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 4.5 Facilitating and Leading Professional Development		Core Practice 5

District Office Core Practice #8: Using the District's School Administrator Evaluation System Effectively, to Support, Monitor and Evaluate the Effectiveness of School Leaders

How does your district office evaluation system communicate, monitor, and evaluate expectations for the following research-based best practices:

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Monitoring the effectiveness of principals and using contemporary research and the district's principal evaluation system criteria and procedures to improve student achievement and leadership proficiency on the FPLS and FEAPs.	DM pp. 5, 15, 17 LET p. 3 LET p. 5 #7 – District/Charter management organization and school leaders' focus on continuous improvement in their practice.		Statute 1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 3.1 FEAPs, 3.6 Faculty Effectiveness	FEAPs Items 1-6	Core Practice 1
Providing and receiving timely and actionable feedback to and from leaders on the effectiveness of leadership on priority goals and the cause and effect relationships between professional practice and student achievement on those goals.	ROB pp.635-669 DM pp. 10, 33 LET p. 5 # 7 – District/Charter management organization and school leaders' focus on continuous improvement in their practice. LET p. 8 #7 – Central office evaluates Instructional Leadership Directors on principals' growth and performance.			FSLA Domain 1 – Indicator 1.2 Performance Data FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 3.3 Learning Goals Alignments, 4.2 Feedback Practices	FEAPs Quality of Instruction #4 Assessment	Core Practice 1

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE PRACTICE
Providing and receiving timely and actionable feedback on principal's proficiency on high- effect size leadership strategies related to effective instructional leadership.	DM pp. 18, 33 LET p. 1 LET p. 5 #7 – District/Charter management organization and school leaders' focus on continuous improvement in their practice. LET p. 8 #7 – Central office evaluates Instructional Leadership Directors on principals' growth and performance.		Statute 1012.34 Personnel evaluation procedures and criteria	FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 4.2 Feedback Practices, 4.3 High Effect Size Practices		Core Practices 1,2 & 5

District Office Core Practice #9: Providing Quality Support Services to Principals and Teachers and Contributing to the Success of All Schools

(Universal to all Central Office including non-instructional central office leaders)

How does your district office evaluation system communicate, monitor, and evaluate expectations for the following research-based best practices:

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE
Demonstrating the expertise, knowledge, and qualifications needed to provide high-quality support to the schools.	HON p. 69-86. Reorganizing & Re- culturing All Other Central Office Units to Support Teaching and Learning BUR p. entire report SEA p. 151-153.District Conditions Associated with Principal Efficacy	MOU (D) (5) Providing effective support to teachers and principals p. 19		FSLA Domain 1 – Indicators 1.1 Academic Standards, 1.2 Performance Data, 1.3 Goal Setting FSLA Domain 2-Indicators 4.1 Recruitment and Retention, 4.3 High Effect Size Strategies		Core Practice 3
Providing feedback, information, and support in a timely and courteous manner in ways that help build capacity at the school level and support school needs.	HON p. 69-86. Reorganizing & Re- culturing All Other Central Office Units to Support Teaching and Learning BUR p. entire report SEA p. 151-153. District Conditions Associated with Principal Efficacy	MOU (D) (5) Providing effective support to teachers and principals p. 19		FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 4.2 Feedback Practices, 4.4 Instructional Initiatives, 4.5 facilitating and Leading Professional Learning, 4.6 Faculty Development Alignments Domain 3-6.3 Quality Control 8.1 Organizational Skills, 8.2 Strategic Instructional Resourcing		Core Practice 3
Responding to school needs with differentiated support by providing	HON p. 69-86. Reorganizing & Re-culturing	MOU (D) (5) Providing effective support to		FSLA Domain 2 – Indicators 4.2 Feedback Practices, 4.4		Core Practice 3

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR CORE PRACTICE	RESEARCH	RTTT MOU	FLORIDA STATUTES	FLORIDA SCHOOL LEADER ASSESSMENT	FLORIDA EDUCATOR ACCOMPLISHED PRACTICES	SANTA ROSA DISTRICT LEADER CORE
direct services and support, serving as a broker of services and support, and/or building the capacity at the school level to provide themselves with the needed support.	All Other Central Office Units to Support Teaching and Learning BUR p. entire report SEA p. 151- 153. District Conditions Associated with Principal Efficacy	teachers and principals (i) Quality professional development Bullet #8, p. 21 MOU (D) (2) (iii) Bullet #4 (iv) (a) Use evaluations to inform professional development Bullet #3 p. 15		Instructional Initiatives, 4.5 Facilitating and Leading Professional Learning, 4.6 Faculty Development Alignments FSLA Domain 3 – Indicators 6.1 Prioritization Practices, 6.2 Problem Solving, 6.3 Quality Control, 6.5 Technology Integration		
Engaging in cross- functional support of schools by communicating, cooperating, and collaborating in an effort to provide coordinated and planned support systems to schools.	HON p. 69-86. Reorganizing & Re- culturing All Other Central Office Units to Support Teaching and Learning BUR p. entire report SEA p. 151- 153. District Conditions Associated with Principal Efficacy	MOU (D) (5) Providing effective support to teachers and principals p. 19 MOU (D) (2) (iii) Bullet #4 (iv) (a) Use evaluations to inform professional development Bullet #3 p. 15		FSLA Domain 2 – Indicator 5.2 Success Oriented FSLA Domain 3 – Indicators 8.2 Strategic Instructional Resourcing, 9.3 Accessibility		Core Practice 3

Research Reference Key

SREB – Bottoms, G. & Schmidt-Davis, J. (2010). *The Three Essentials: Improving Schools Requires District Vision, District and State Support, and Principal Leadership* Southern Regional Education Board.

DM – Districts Matter: Cultivating the Principals Urban Schools Need (2013). The Wallace Foundation.

LET – Leading for Effective Teaching: A Toolkit for Supporting Principal Success. (2013). Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

HON – Honig, M., Copland, M., Rainey, L., Lorton, J. & Newton, M. (2010). *Central Office Transformation for District-wide Teaching and Learning Improvement.* Center for the Study of Teaching and Policy, University of Washington.

ROB - Robinson, V., Lloyd, C., Rowe, K. (2008). The Impact of Leadership on Student Outcomes: An Analysis of the Differential Effects of Leadership Types. *Educational Administration Quarterly*.

ZAV – Zavadsky, H. (2009). Bringing School Reform to Scale: Five Award Winning Urban Districts. Cambridge MA. Harvard Education Press.

SEA – Seashore-Louis, K. Leithwood, K., Wahlstrom, K. Anderson, S. et al. (2010) *Learning From Leadership: Investigating the Links to Improved Student Learning*

BUR – Burch, P. & Spillane, J. (2004) *Leading from the Middle: Mid-Level District Staff and Instructional Improvement*. Cross City Campaign for Urban

Attachment D

Proficiency Ratings: Tables 1-4

Rating of Proficiency Areas.

Ratings on the indicators in a Proficiency Area are combined to assign a proficiency level (HE, E, NI, or U) to a Proficiency Area: The distribution of indicator ratings within a Proficiency Area result in a Proficiency Area Rating. Since the number of indicators in a Proficiency Area varies, the following formulas are applied to assign Proficiency Area ratings. For each Proficiency Area, the appropriate table will be used. The administrative evaluation system that our administrators and supervisors will complete will be an electronic version of this written document. This electronic version will be managed by True North Logic and will be set up so that these calculations of ratings of proficiency area will be electronic using the tables below. These tables are provided for supervisors and administrators to understand how this calculation is made. Table 1

For Core Practice 1 with seven Indicators, each Core Practice is rated:
Highly Effective (HE) if: five or more indicators are HE and none are less than E.
Examples: HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+E+E=HE
Effective (E) if: at least five are E or higher and no more than two are NI. None are U.
Examples: HE+HE+E+E+NI+NI=E E+E+E+E+NI+NI=E
Needs Improvement (NI) if: Criteria for E not met and no more than two are U.
Examples: E+E+E+E+NI+NI+NI=NI HE+HE+E+E+E+U+U=NI HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+U=NI
Unsatisfactory (U) if: two or more are U.
Examples: HE+HE+HE+HE+HE+U+U=U NI+NI+NI+NI+U+U=U
Table 2

		For Core Practices 2 8	3 with <mark>six Ind</mark>	l icators , each	Core Practice is ra	ted:
	H	ghly Effective (HE) if: fo	ur or more ind	icators are HE	E and none are less	s than E.
		Examples: HE+HE+	HE+HE+HE+HE=H	IE H	E+HE+HE+HE+E+E=HE	
	Effec	tive (E) if: at least four a	re E or higher	and no more	than two are NI. N	lone are U.
		Examples:	HE+HE+E+E+E+	·E=E E	+E+E+E+NI+NI=E	
	Ne	eds Improvement (NI) i	: Criteria for E	not met and	no more than two	are U.
	Examples:	HE+HE+NI+NI+NI+NI=NI	NI+NI+NI+N	I+U+U=NI	E+E+E+NI+NI+NI=NI	HE+HE+E+E+U=NI
		Unsat	sfactory (U) if	two or more	are U.	
		Examples:	HE+HE+HE+HE+	U+U=U NI	+NI+NI+NI+U+U=U	
Table 3						
		For Core Practice 5	with four Indic	ators, each C	ore Practice is rate	ed:
	Hi	ghly Effective (HE) if: thr	ee or more ind	dicators are H	E and none are les	s than E.
		Examples:	HE+HE+HE	+HE= HE HE+	+HE+HE+E=HE	
	Effect	tive (E) if: at least three	are E or higher	and no more	e than one are NI. I	None are U.
		Examples:	E+E+E+HE=E	E+E+E+NI=E	E+E+E+E=E	
	I	Needs Improvement (NI)	if: Criteria for	E not met an	d no more than or	ne is U.
		Examples: E	+E+NI+NI=NI	HE+HE+NI+NI =	=NI HE+E+U+NI=NI	
		Unsat	sfactory (U) if	two or more	are U.	
		Examples:	HE+U+U+HE=U	E+NI+U+U=U	E+E+U+U=U	
Table 4						
		For Core Practice 4 v	vith three Indi	<mark>cators</mark> , each (Core Practice is rate	ed:
	Н	ighly Effective (HE) if: tv	o or more ind	icators are HE	and none are less	s than E.
		Examples:		Ц		

Highly Effective (HE) if: two or more indicators are HE and none are less than E.							
Exan	nples: HE+H	HE+HE=HE	HE+HE+E=	HE			
Effective (E) if: tw	o or more are E	or higher and	no more than o	one is NI. None are U.			
Examples:	E+E+E=E	E+E+HE=E	E+HE+NI=E	HE+HE+NI=E			
Needs Improver	ment (NI) if: Crite	eria for E not n	net and no mor	e than one is U.			
Examples:	NI+NI+NI=NI	NI+NI+U=NI	HE+E+U=NI	HE+NI+NI=NI			
	Unsatisfacto	ory (U) if: two	or more are U.				
	Examples:	HE+U+U=U	NI+U+U=U				

Attachment E

District Leader Evaluation Process

Santa Rosa District Schools Administrative Evaluation System The Evaluation Process

The Evaluation Process is a continuous activity conducted throughout the year which repeats itself on an annual basis. It involves multiple observations by the supervisor followed by feedback and dialogue with the administrator. All administrators will be evaluated by their immediate supervisor each year: principals evaluate Assistant principals, Directors evaluate principals, Assistant Superintendents evaluate Directors and Coordinators and the Superintendent evaluates Assistant Superintendents.



feedback given to the administrator.

62

Attachment F

Studer Group Information

Organizational Assessment of School Districts

Studer Education is an assessment, evaluation, and performance solutions group that works with school districts to improve by first diagnosing areas of strength and areas needing improvements, creating measurable goals and measures to drive performance, aligning leader and employee evaluation systems to these key performance drivers, and creating and applying a human performance plan to improve school district results. As part of the initial diagnosis, Studer Education works with school boards and superintendents to complete an organizational assessment that includes an online Triple A Assessment of Leadership and focus group sessions with internal and external stakeholders of the district.

The Studer Education organizational assessment of school districts includes several components:

- 1. Online external assessment called the Triple A Assessment of Leadership: Alignment, Accountability, Action.
- 2. Onsite focus groups with internal employees and external stakeholders.
- 3. Onsite debriefing with superintendent, designated leaders, and school board members.
- 4. Written report of the Triple A Assessment and focus group findings.



Triple A Assessment of Leadership: Alignment, Accountability, Action

An online survey will be administered by Studer Education and will be completed by school board members, superintendent, and all district leaders and managers and school leaders. Studer Education will work with the district leadership to gain appropriate leadership groups. The survey results are analyzed by groups of leaders. The questions are:

- 1. What is your role? [Select from a group presented]
- 2. From a list provided, please choose the top three things your district does well?
- 3. From a list provided, what are the top 3 barriers/challenges you face that keep you from achieving your results in your area of responsibility?
- 4. From a list provided, please choose the top three opportunities for improvement in your district?
- Over the past five years the external environment in _____ school district has been [very difficult, difficult, normal, easy, very easy]
- Over the next five years the external environment in _____ school district will be [very difficult, difficult, normal, easy, very easy]
- If the district continues to perform exactly as it does today, your results over the next 5 years will be [very easy, easy, normal, difficult, very difficult]
- How well does your current leader evaluation system hold leaders accountable? [very poor, poor, fair, good, excellent]
- How well does your current leadership training prepare you for your leadership role?]very poor, poor, fair, good, excellent]
- 10. How well does your school district address low performing leaders? [very poor, poor, fair, well, very well]
- 11. How well does your school district address low performing employees [very poor, poor, fair, well, very well]

Studer Education: Focus Group Sessions

Several $1\frac{1}{2}$ hour focus group sessions are held with various groups, including 1) superintendent and cabinet; 2) district leaders; 3) school leaders; 4) teachers; 5) staff; and 6) parents & community members. All questions are analyzed at the conclusion of the focus groups, reported to district leadership and school board in a de-briefing session. A follow-up report is sent to the district within 14 days after the visit. The focus group questions include:

- 1. What is working well for the _____ school district?
- 2. What areas could be improved in the _____ school district?
- 3. What one or two things serve as barriers to employees for achieving at their highest potential?
- 4. What one or two things serve as barriers to the district resulting in declines in student achievement?
- 5. Respond to the question below by selecting a number 1 to 10.

SCALE: 1 = Worst in Class.....10 = Best in Class

How well does the School District rate on the items below using the above scale?										
Rewarding and recognizing people in the school district	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Developing people in the school district to become high performing professionals	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Providing needed resources for employees to do their jobs well	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Leaders effectively communicating to employees why actions are occurring and what they are	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Leaders effectively communicating to parents why actions are occurring and what they are	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Leaders effectively communicating to external stakeholders and community members why actions are occurring and what they are	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
District systems working to hold leaders accountable for measurable outcomes	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Leaders holding employees accountable for applying good skills and attitudes on the job	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

Attachment G

Principal Leadership Standards Crosswalk with Survey Questions

Elementary Student Survey Crosswalk to Florida Principal Leadership Standards

****Note-The Elementary Student Survey is aligned to the standards highlighted in this matrix. Standard 1 is assessed in the student achievement portion of the evaluation; standard 10 is intended to be assessed by the supervisor.

	Learning is fun at my school.	I like going to my school each day.	I enjoy art, music and PE.	My teacher cares about me.	I feel safe at my school.	School rules are fair.	My school is clean.	My principal is a good leader.
Standard 1: Student Learning Results								
Standard 2: Student Learning as a Priority								
Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementation								
Standard 4: Faculty Development								
Standard 5: Learning Environment								
Standard 6: Decision Making								
Standard 7: Leadership Development								
Standard 8: School Management								
Standard 9: Communication								
Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors								

Secondary Student Survey Crosswalk to Florida Principal Leadership Standards

****Note-The Secondary Student Survey is aligned to the standards highlighted in this matrix. Standard 1 is assessed in the student achievement portion of the evaluation; standard 10 is intended to be assessed by the supervisor.

	My learning is important at my school	School rules/ discipline plans are enforced consistently at my school.	I regularly receive feedback from school staff about my academic progress	My family is treated with respect at my school.	I have opportuni- ties to be successful at my school.	Special area classes add to my school experience	l would recommend my school to others.	l feel safe at school.	I am recognized for good work and behavior at my school.	My school is clean and well maintained	The teachers, staff, and administration at my school demonst-rate genuine concern.	The principal at my school is an effective leader
Standard 1: Student Learning Results												
Standard 2: Student Learning as a Priority												
Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementa- tion												
Standard 4: Faculty Development												
Standard 5: Learning Environment Standard 6:												
Decision Making Standard 7:												
Leadership Development Standard 8:												
School Management Standard 9:												
Communica- tion Standard 10:												
Professional and Ethical Behaviors												

Parent Satisfaction Survey Crosswalk Florida Educational Leadership Standards

***The Employee Engagement Survey is aligned to the standards highlighted in this matrix

	Standard 1: Student Learning Results	Standard 2: Student Learning as a Priority	Standard 3: Instructional Plan Implementation	Standard 4: Faculty Development	Standard 5: Learning Environment	Standard 6: Decision Making	Standard 7: Leadership Development	Standard 8: School Management	Standard 9: Communication	Standard 10: Professional and Ethical Behaviors
My child's learning is a high priority at this school.										
School rules/ discipline plans are enforced										
consistently at this school.										
I regularly receive feedback from school										
staff on how well my										
child is learning. My family is treated										
with respect at this school.										
My child has the opportunity to be										
successful at this										
school. My child has the										
necessary supplies and										
equipment to learn. I would recommend										
this school to other parents.										
The school provides a										
safe environment for my child to learn.										
My child is recognized										
for good work and behavior at this school.										
The school is clean and well maintained.										
The teachers, staff,										
and administration at this school										
demonstrate a genuine concern for										
my child.										
I am proud to say I have a child at this school.										
The principal at this										
school is approachable and reachable.										
The principal is an										
effective leader. I receive information										
about my child's										
academic progress in school.										
I feel the special areas available at my child's										
school help make my										
child's educational experience well										
rounded.										
I receive information about the progress of my child's school.										
I receive information			1							1
about the school's parent/guardian										
involvement policy.										
I receive information about the quality of										
my child's teacher. I have opportunities										
for involvement at my child's school										
Information in the Santa Pora District										
Santa Rosa District Schools' Family Guide										
helps me understand my child's school work										

Employee Engagement Crosswalk to Florida Principal Leadership Standards

**Note-The Employee Engagement Survey is aligned to the standards highlighted in this matrix. Standard 1 is assessed in the student achievement portion of the evaluation; standard 10 is intended to be assessed by the supervisor.

			a							
	My principal/	My principal/	Principal/	My principal/	My principal/	My principal/	My principal/	The	My principal/	My principal/
	Supervisor	Supervisor	Supervisor led	Supervisor	Supervisor	supervisor	Supervisor	expectations for	Supervisor	Supervisor
	provides me	provides feedback on	meetings make efficient use of	recognizes	demonstrates a	makes the	consults me	judging my	provides the	provides feedback
	good			good	genuine concern	best use of	on decisions	performance	support needed	concerning areas
	processes and	my strengths	time and are	performance.	for my welfare.	available	that affect my	are clear.	to accomplish	for improving my
	resources to	as an	productive.			funds.	job.		my work	performance.
	do my job.	employee.							objectives.	
Standard 1:										
Student										
Learning										
Results										
Standard 2:										
Student										
Learning as a										
Priority										
Standard 3:										
Instructional										
Plan										
Implementa-										
tion										
Standard 4:										
Faculty										
Development										
Standard 5:										
Learning										
Environment										
Standard 6:										
Decision										
Making										
Standard 7:										
Leadership										
Development										
Standard 8:										
School										
Management										
Standard 9:										
Communica-										
tion										
Standard 10:										
Professional										
and Ethical										
Behaviors										

	Standard	Standard	Standard 3:	Standard 4:	Standard 5:	Standard	Standard 7:	Standard 8:	Standard 9:	Standard 10:
	1: Student	2: Student	Instructional	Faculty	Learning	6: Decision	Leadership	School	Communication	Professional
	Learning	Learning as	Plan	Development	Environment	Making	Development	Management		and Ethical
	Results	a Priority	Implementation							Behaviors
Accessibility:										
Can we reach a										
live person or										
use an										
electronic tool										
to reach										
someone?										
Accuracy: Did										
we receive the										
right										
product/service										
or was a										
variation										
communicated?										
Attitude: Was it										
a nice										
experience? Did										
you receive										
service with a										
smile?										
Operations: Do										
day to day										
operations run										
efficiently and										
effectively?										
Timeliness:										
Was the										
response or										
solution										
delivered when										
promised?										

Support Card Survey Crosswalk Florida Educational Leadership Standards ** Note-Support Card items aligned to the standards are highlighted in this matrix.

Attachment H

Parent Survey
Santa Rosa County School District Parent Satisfaction Survey Thank y, king your time to complete this survey concerning your child's school to help us provide continued service ϵ is to our parents and community. The School District is committed to providing a great place for students to learn and for parents to send their children for a quality education. Your responses to this survey are analyzed to determine what is working well in your child's school and any areas that may need improvement. Your input is important to us. This survey is anonymous and IP addresses are not tracked.

If you have more than one child in a school, please complete only one survey. If you have children in more than one school, please complete a survey for each school.

Name of the school which your child attends:

Please choose the best response.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Mixed Feelings	Disagree	Strong Disagr
1. My child's learning is a high priority at this school.	5	4	3	2	1
2. School rules/discipline plans are enforced consistently at this school.	5	4	3	2	1
3. I regularly receive feedback from school staff on how well my child is learning.	5	4	3	2	1
4. My family is treated with respect at this school.	5	4	3	2	1
5. My child has every opportunity to be successful at this school.	5	4	3	2	1
6. My child has the necessary classroom supplies and equipment for effective learning.	5	4	3	2	1
7. I would recommend this school to other parents.	5	4	3	2	1
8. This school provides a safe environment for my child to learn.	5	4	3	2	1
9. My child is recognized for good work and behavior at this school.	(5)	4	3	2	1
10. The school is clean and well maintained.	(5)	(4)	3	2	1
11. The teachers, staff, and administration at this school demonstrate a genuine concern for my child.	5	4	3	2	1
12. I am proud to say I have a child at this school.	5	4	3	2	1
13. I receive positive phone calls or notes about my child from the school.	5	4	3	2	1
14. The principal at this school is approachable and reachable.	5	4	3	2	1
15. The principal at this school is an effective leader.	5	4	3	2	1
16. The Superintendent of the School District is an effective leader.	5	4	3	2	1
 The Superintendent of the School District makes decisions that are in the best interest of children and parents of the district. 	5	4	3	2	1
 I receive information about my child's academic progress in school (report cards, FCAT results, progress reports, etc.). 	(5)	4	3	2	1
 I feel the special areas (art, music, and P.E.) available at my child's school help make my child's educational experience well rounded. 	5	4	3	2	1
 I receive information about the progress of my child's school (the yearly progress review including grade and Adequate Yearly Progress report, etc.). 	(5)	4	3	2	1
21. I receive information about the school's parent/guardian involvement policy.	5	4	3	2	1
22. I receive information about the quality of my child's teacher.	5	4	3	2	1
23. I have opportunities for involvement at my child's school.	5	4	3	2	1
24. Information in the Santa Rosa District Schools' Family Guide helps me understand my child's school work.	5	4	3	2	1
25. (Question 25 is only for parents with children in elementary school or at King Middle School) Signing a parent/guardian "compact" (agreement) helps me understand the responsibility that I accept as a parent/guardian to help educate my child.	(5)	4	3	2	1

73

StuderEducation

Please continue to the next page.

Please answer the following questions.

What is working well at your child's school?

What areas at your child's school could be improved?

Is there anyone at your child's school that you would like to recognize for good work?



© 2012 Studer Group

Attachment I

Teacher Survey

Employee Engagement Survey

The purpose of this survey is to evaluate your level of satisfaction with your work environment at your school or in your department. You will first check your home school or department, which is the department or school in which your immediate supervisor or principal works. Please answer each question using the scale provided to evaluate your experience in that respective school or department. This survey is anonymous.

Name of school or department where your immediate supervisor is located:

Choose the response that best describes your experience.	Strongly Agree	Agree	Mixed Feelings	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
 My principal/supervisor provides me good processes and resources to do my job. 	6	4	3	0	1
2. My principal/supervisor provides feedback on my strengths as an employee.	S	4	3	2	1
Principal/supervisor led staff meetings make efficient use of time and are productive.	6	4	3	0	1
My principal/supervisor recognizes good performance.	6	4	3	0	1
5. My principal/supervisor demonstrates a genuine concern for my welfare.	6	4	3	0	1
My principal/supervisor makes the best use of available funds.	6	4	3	0	1
7. My principal/supervisor consults me on the decisions that affect my job.	6	4	3	0	1
8. The expectations for judging my performance are clear.	6	4	3	0	1
My principal/supervisor provides the support needed to accomplish my work objectives.	6	4	3	0	1
 My principal/supervisor provides feedback concerning areas for improving my performance. 	\$	4	3	0	1
11. The superintendent manages district finances effectively.	6	4	3	0	1
 The superintendent uses a variety of methods to promote effective communication throughout the district. 	6	4	3	0	1
 The superintendent makes decisions that are in the best interest of the school district. 	6	4	3	0	1
 If given a choice, I would recommend that a parent select this district for his or her child. 	6	4	3	0	1

What is working well in the school district?

What areas in the school district could be improved?

Is there anyone in the school district that you would like to recognize for good work?

77

StuderEducation

Attachment J

Principal Survey

Santa Rosa County School District Support Card Survey

Please complete the survey that follows. The Support Card Survey measures your satisfaction as a school leader with the services that you receive from district departments based on systems and processes used by each department. The data from the survey will assist district departments in identifying opportunities for improvement to ensure excellent service from all departments to all schools.

Please rate each of the categories (Accessibility, Accuracy, Attitude, Operations, Timeliness) using the following scale: 1 (very poor), 2 (poor), 3 (average), 4 (good), 5 (very good). {NA does not affect the score of the department.}

Accessibility: Cane we reach a live person or use an electronic tool to reach someone? Accuracy: Did we receive the right product/service or was a variation communicated? Attitude: Was it a nice experience? Did you receive service with a smile? Operations: Do day to day operations run efficiently and effectively? Timeliness: Was the response or solution delivered when promised?

Please choose the best response	Accessibility	Accuracy	Attitude	Operations	Timeliness
for each item and each category.					
Administrative					
Services/Construction					
Alternative Programs					
Continuous Improvement					
Curriculum, Instruction,					
Assessment					
Custodial					
Data Processing					
Elementary Education					
Exceptional Student Education					
Federal Programs (Title 1)					
Food Service					
High School Education					
Human Resource					
Literacy					
Maintenance					
Middle School Education					
PreK Department					
Professional Development Center					
Purchasing					
Risk Management					
Student Services					
Transportation					
Virtual Education Program					
Workforce Education					

Why a very poor?

Attachment K

Professional Improvement Plan



Santa Rosa District Schools Professional Improvement Plan

Name of Administrator Name of Supervisor Name of District Mentor

Purpose for PIP (list areas of needed improvement): Core Practice Core Practice Core Practice Core Practice

Consequences if change not made:

Profes	Professional Development Expectations			Strategies to Implement:			
Goal 1:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No	Goal 1:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No		
Goal 2:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No	Goal 2:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No		
Goal 3:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No	Goal 3:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No		
Goal 4:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No	Goal 4:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No		
Goal 5:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No	Goal 5:	Expected date of completion:	Goal met by expected date? Yes No		

Planning/Review Session(s)

Planning Date	Attendees:	Supervisor Comment Regarding	Administrator Comment Regarding
		Progress:	Progress:
	•	Plan Completion Rating	
		(Assessed by Supervisor)	

(Assessed by Supervisor)						
Professional Improvement Plan Goals	Goal met by expected	Date:				
	date?					
	Yes No					

Final Comments at Closing of Professional Improvement Plan

Supervisor			
Administrator			
District Mentor			

Attachment L

References

References

Florida Multidimensional Leadership Assessment System. The Leadership and Learning Center. Florida Department of Education. 2011. Print.

Florida Principal Leadership Standards. Florida School Leaders. The William Cecil Golden School Leadership Development Program. Florida Department of Education. 2006. Web.

Florida's Race to the Top. Great Teachers and Leaders. The Leadership and Learning Center. Florida Department of Education. February 24, 2011. Conference.

Florida's Race to the Top. Great Teachers and Leaders. The Leadership and Learning Center. Florida Department of Education. March 3, 2011. Web.

Florida's Race to the Top. Great Teachers and Leaders. The Leadership and Learning Center. Florida Department of Education. January 30, 2012. Conference.

<u>Learning Sciences International</u> <u>The Studer Group</u>

Santa Rosa School District Professional Growth System. True North Logic. 2010-11. Web.

http://santarosa.truenorthlogic.com

Administrative Evaluation



Santa Rosa District School Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument School Year_____

Section I – Verify Assignments

Part A – Employee Information

Administrator Name _____ Employee ID No. _____

School Year _____ Job Title _____

Part B – Administrative Assignment

Site/Location	Employment Title/Job Code	Percent of the Day

Part C - Grade Level Assessment/Percentages - Taken from Attachment K

Grade Level/Subject Area	Assessment/Percentage

Employee will review and verify all information on this page is correct. The employee understands these formulas will be used to tally Section V (35% of their evaluation total).

I verify the above information is correct.

Employee Signature

Date



Santa Rosa District School Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument School Year____

Section II: Stakeholder Satisfaction Surveys - 15% of Overall Evaluation for All District Administrators.

This section should be completed by June 1 of each year.

Parent and teacher satisfaction scores are used as part of the evaluation for all district level administrators. District Level Administrators will be evaluated by district support cards results. Each of these surveys will count 5% of the total on their respective evaluations. The previous year's scores are reported for informational purposes only. The current year end of year score is used to calculate the evaluation.

Principals complete the support card survey and the results are used on the district level evaluation (5%).

The Studer Group's research with surveys is based on a 5.0 scale: 1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neutral, 4=agree, and 5=strongly agree. The Studer group believes changing this scale would not support the research conducted on surveys. The State of Florida's Evaluation System is based on a 4.0 scale: 1=Unsatisfactory, 2=needs improvement, 3=effective and 4=highly effective. In order to use the results of the Studer surveys (students, parents, employees, and principals) will use the following conversion scale:

Evaluation Score
Highly Effective (4.0)
Effective (3.0)
Needs Improvement (2.0)
Unsatisfactory (1.0)

District Level Administrators will use County averages for Parent Satisfaction Survey Results.

Section II: Stakeholder Satisfaction Surveys – 15% of Overall Evaluation for All District Administrators.

This section should be completed by June 1 of each year.

A. Parent Satisfaction Survey – 5%

Previous Year Score	Reference only
Current Year Score	5.0 Scale
IA Score	4.0 Scale

B. Teacher Satisfaction Survey – 5%

Previous Year Score	N/A	Reference only
Current Year Score		5.0 Scale
IB Score		4.0 Scale

C. Support Card Survey – 5%

IC Score	4.0 Scale
Current Year	5.0 Scale
Previous Year Score	Reference only

Administrator's Signature: _____ Date: _____

Supervisor's Signature: _____ Date: _____



School Year

Section III: Administrator Evaluation Instrument/Professional Development Plan

The Department of Education Professional Development Protocol requires that all administrators write a professional development goal annually that reflects the needs of current year student assessment data The Goal should be tied to the School Improvement Plan and the district improvement plan (strategic plan). The PDP counts as 15% of each administrator's total evaluation.

Goal Complete prior to the end of the first nine weeks grading period. What area/specific training will you be focusing on this year? What documentation will support your implementation/impact from this training? Write a goal statement below that explains your professional learning plan for the 2021-2022 school year based on the information above.	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.31", Space Before: 6 pt, After: 0 pt
Identification of Professional Development Needs Complete prior to the end of the first nine weeks grading period. Training completed between the dates of May 1, 2021 and April 30, 2022 may be used to meet your professional learning goal needs for this school year. Mark the one that most closely resembles your status at this time:	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.31", Space After: 0 pt
 I have met my professional learning goal needs I have made plans to meet my professional learning goal needs I need to research/find training to meet my professional learning goal needs. NOTE: Your first training should be started prior to the beginning of the 2nd 9 weeks to allow time to implement and 	Formatted: Font: (Default) Tahoma, (Asian) +Body (Calibri), 11 pt, Not Highlight Formatted: Normal, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"
reflect in the second semester. Follow-up or additional training may be done during the second semester. Yearlong PD will meet the timeframe for implementation and reflection.	Formatted: Font: (Default) Tahoma, Bold, Italic, Not Highlight Formatted: Font: (Default) Tahoma, Bold, Not Highlight

Reflection (all text boxes) Complete at end of first semesterWhat training did you take to meet your learning goal?Explain your implementation of this new information.What will you continue to do because of this training?Attach supporting documentation of implementationOutcome Statement: (To be completed prior to June 1.)What changes have you seen due to the implementation of new skills?Attach supporting documentation of impact.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.31", Automatically adjust right indent when grid is defined, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.31", Automatically adjust right indent when grid is defined, Space Before: 0 pt, After: 0 pt, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Santa Rosa District Schools Section III: Administrator Evaluation Instrument/Professional Development Plan

Record of Ongoing Support and Monitoring of Progress:				
Attendees Topics Addressed				

Outcome Statement: Briefly describe your goal, actions taken, and student performance data results.				
Plan Completion Rating				
(Assessed by Administrator)	<u>Scale</u>	Check Rating		
Highly Effective Goal aligns with current needs (school improvement, student or teacher). Completed training specific to goal and within timeline to implement and reflect. Provides appropriate documentation of implementation and impact (student data, observation, transcript, lesson plans, videos, student work, etc.)	<u>4.0</u>			
Effective Goal aligns with current needs (school improvement, student or teacher). Completed training specific to goal and within timeline to implement and reflect. Documentation of implementation and impact (student data, observation, transcript, lesson plans, videos, student work, etc.) is inconclusive or vague.	<u>3.0</u>			

4

-

Needs Improvement Goal aligns with current needs (school improvement, student or teacher). Completed training specific to goal and	<u>2.0</u>	
within timeline to implement and reflect. No evidence of implementation or impact (student data, observation, transcript, lesson plans,		
videos, student work, etc.) is provided.		
-		
Unsatisfactory Goal may/may not align with current needs (school improvement, student or teacher). No training was completed and	<u>1.0</u>	
therefore no evidence of implementation or impact was provided.		

Professional development activities may include, but are not limited to: Learning Communities, lesson Study, Online Training, Face to Face Training, College Coursework, Conferences Pro/Seminars, Action Research, Mentoring Hours (District Mentors Only)

Section III Score



Santa Rosa District Schools Annual Administrative/Manager Evaluation System

Section IV: Supervisor's Evaluation of Effectiveness of Administrator in Demonstrating Florida's Principal Leadership Standards. This section will count 35% of the administrative evaluation.

Record of Observations

The supervisor will conduct a minimum of three informal observations of the administrator during the course of a school year. The dates and notes from each observation will be entered into P.G.S. and feedback provided to the administrator after each observation. Observations may include activities such as scheduled and unscheduled events, walk throughs, meetings, and examination of materials that reflect the administrator's work or the impact of the administrator's work on others. The supervisor will complete this section of the evaluation by June 1 of each school year.

Date of Observation	Notes/Comments	Administrator Initials

	strict Leadership				
ore Practice #1: Addressing the Proficiency of D			• • • •	Evaluating the	7%
ffectiveness of School Principals and their Impa	ct on Faculty Deve	lopment and St	udent Achievement		1%
elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4	I.O () Effective-3.	0 () Needs I	mprovement-2.0	() Unsatisfactory	-1.0
Indicator 1.1 - () Highly Effect	ive () Effective	() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
Indicator 1.2 – () Highly Effect	tive () Effective	() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
Indicator 1.3 – () Highly Effect	tive () Effective	() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
Indicator 1.4 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		ory	
Indicator 1.5 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
Indicator 1.6 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		,	
Indicator 1.7 - () Highly Effect	tive () Effective	() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	_
					7
Core Practice #2: Continuous	•	-			
Select one of the following: () Highly Effective			Improvement-2.0	() Unsatisfacto	ry-1.(
Indicator 2.1- () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		•	
Indicator 2.2 - () Highly Effect	0	() Needs Improve		,	
Indicator 2.3 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		•	
Indicator 2.4 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		-	
Indicator 2.5 - () Highly Effect	ive () Effective	() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
					_
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Suppo			•	nool Improvement	7
	sure Productive Lea	•			
Select one of the following: () Highly Effective	-4.0 () Effective-	3.0 () Needs	Improvement-2.0	() Unsatisfactor	ry-1.(
Indicator 3.1 - () Highly Effect	tive () Effective	() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
Indicator 3.2- () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
Indicator 3.3 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		,	
Indicator 3.4 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		1	
Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve			
Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effect	ive () Effective	() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
					7
Core Practice #4: Harnessin	• •	, ,	•		
Select one of the following: () Highly Effective			Improvement-2.0	() Unsatisfacto	ry-1.0
Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		,	
Indicator 4.2- () Highly Effect		() Needs Improve		-	
Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effect	ive () Effective	() Needs Improve	ment () Unsatisfact	ory	
					7
ore Practice #5: Engaging in Professional Learni	• •	•		•	
Select one of the following: () Highly Effective			s Improvement-2.0	() Unsatisfacto	
Indicator 5.1 - Student Centered	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement		
Indicator 5.2 - Success Oriented	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement		
Indicator 5.3- Diversity	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement		
Indicator 5.4 - Achievement Gaps	() Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
vo Focus Areas: (1)		(2)			
ployee Name:			Date	•	
nployee Signature:					

District Leadership FPLS Final Assessment (Due to HR June 30th)

	Distri	ict Leadership	Core Practices	5			
Core Practice #	#1: Addressing the Proficiency	of District Adm	inistrators in N	Ionitorin	g, Supporting.	and Evaluating	7
	eness of School Principals an					-	1
	lowing: () Highly Effective-4.	•	•	•		() Unsatisfacto	rv-1
	Indicator 1.1 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve		() Unsatisfactory		'
	Indicator 1.2 – () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 1.3 – () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 1.4 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 1.5 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 1.6 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 1.7 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
							7
Сог	re Practice #2: Continuous Im	provement of T				Data	Ľ
Select one of the foll	lowing: () Highly Effective-4.	0 () Effective-	3.0 () Needs	s Improve	ement-2.0	() Unsatisfacto	ry-1
	Indicator 2.1- () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 2.2 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve		() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 2.3 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve		() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 2.4 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve		() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 2.5 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
Core Practice #	3: Providing Quality Support	Services which I	Build School Lea	aders' Effi	cacy for Schoo	ol Improvemen	t 7
	and Ensur	e Productive Lea	adership Succes	ssion			Ľ
Select one of the foll	lowing: () Highly Effective-4.	0 () Effective-	3.0 () Needs	s Improve	ement-2.0	() Unsatisfacto	ry-1
	Indicator 3.1 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 3.2- () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 3.3 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 3.4 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve		() Unsatisfactory		
	Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective	() Effective	() Needs Improve	ement	() Unsatisfactory		
							7
c	Core Practice #4: Harnessing Factor	amily and Comn	nunity Energies	for Scho	ol Improvemei	nt	7
	Core Practice #4: Harnessing Facility F	•			•	nt () Unsatisfacto	
	lowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective	•		s Improve	•	() Unsatisfacto	
	lowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2- () Highly Effective	0 () Effective- () Effective () Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve	s Improve ment ment	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	() Unsatisfacto	
	lowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective	0 () Effective- () Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve	s Improve ment ment	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory	() Unsatisfacto	
	lowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2- () Highly Effective	0 () Effective- () Effective () Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve	s Improve ment ment	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	() Unsatisfacto	
	lowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2- () Highly Effective	0 () Effective- () Effective () Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve	s Improve ment ment	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	() Unsatisfacto	
Select one of the foll Core Practice #5	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective strning to Improv	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve e Leadership Pr	s Improve	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory nd Student Lea	() Unsatisfacto	es
Select one of the foll Core Practice #5 Select one of the fol	lowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea lowing: () Highly Effective-4.	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective runing to Improv 0 () Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve e Leadership Pr 3.0 () Need	s Improve	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory and Student Lea ement-2.0	() Unsatisfacto arning Outcom () Unsatisfacto	es
Select one of the foll Core Practice #5 Select one of the fol Indicator 5.1 - St	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea Iowing: () Highly Effective-4.	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective 0 () Effective () Highly Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve e Leadership Pr 3.0 () Need () Effective	s Improve ement ement ment ractices a ls Improve () Needs	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory and Student Lea ement-2.0 Improvement	() Unsatisfacto arning Outcom () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactory	es
Select one of the foll Core Practice #5 Select one of the fol Indicator 5.1 - St Indicator 5.2 - Su	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. udent Centered uccess Oriented	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs I	s Improve ment ment ractices a ls Improve () Needs () Needs	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory and Student Lea ement-2.0 Improvement Improvement	() Unsatisfactor arning Outcom () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	es pry-1
Core Practice #5 Select one of the foll Indicator 5.1 - St Indicator 5.2 - Su Indicator 5.3 - Di	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. udent Centered Iccess Oriented iversity	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Effective () Effective () Effective	s Improve ment ment ractices a ls Improve () Needs () Needs () Needs	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory ()	() Unsatisfacto arning Outcom () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	es pry-1
Core Practice #5 Select one of the foll Indicator 5.1 - St Indicator 5.2 - Su Indicator 5.3 - Di	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. udent Centered uccess Oriented	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs I	s Improve ment ment ractices a ls Improve () Needs () Needs () Needs	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory and Student Lea ement-2.0 Improvement Improvement	() Unsatisfactor arning Outcom () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	es pry-1
Core Practice #5 Select one of the foll Indicator 5.1 - St Indicator 5.2 - Su Indicator 5.3 - Di	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. udent Centered Iccess Oriented iversity	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Effective () Effective () Effective	s Improve ment ment ractices a ls Improve () Needs () Needs () Needs	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory ()	() Unsatisfacto arning Outcom () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	es pry-1
Core Practice #5 Select one of the foll Indicator 5.1 - St Indicator 5.2 - St Indicator 5.3 - Di Indicator 5.4 - Ad	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. udent Centered Iccess Oriented Versity chievement Gaps	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve e Leadership Pr 3.0 () Need () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective	s Improve ment ment ractices a ls Improv () Needs () Needs () Needs () Needs	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory ()	() Unsatisfactor arning Outcom () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	es pry-1
Core Practice #5 Select one of the foll Indicator 5.1 - St Indicator 5.2 - Su Indicator 5.3 - Di Indicator 5.4 - Ac	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. udent Centered Jaccess Oriented Versity chievement Gaps	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve e Leadership Pr 3.0 () Need () Effective () Effective	s Improve ment ment ractices a is Improve () Needs () Needs () Needs	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory ()	() Unsatisfactor arning Outcome () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactor () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	es pry-1
Core Practice #5 Select one of the foll Indicator 5.1 - St Indicator 5.2 - Su Indicator 5.3 - Di Indicator 5.4 - Ac	Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective : Engaging in Professional Lea Iowing: () Highly Effective-4. udent Centered Iccess Oriented Versity chievement Gaps	0 () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective () Highly Effective	3.0 () Needs () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve () Needs Improve e Leadership Pr 3.0 () Need () Effective () Effective	s Improve ment ment ractices a is Improve () Needs () Needs () Needs	ement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory ()	() Unsatisfactor arning Outcome () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	es ory-1



Santa Rosa District School

Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument



Student Assessment Data

This section should be complete within two weeks of the date the district compiles the data. This section will be completed using the Santa Rosa District School agreed upon Assessment and percentages.

Student Assessment	Assessment Result - VAM	Score	Weight	Weighted Score

This information is recorded in Section V where it is calculated as part of the total score.

Administrator's Comments: ______ Date _____

Supervisor's Comments:

Supervisor's Signature: _____ Date: _____



Santa Rosa District School Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument

Section VI: Overall Rating

This section should be complete within two weeks of the District compiles the data.

Evaluation Ratings

			Score	Weight School District	Weighted Score
	IIA	Parent Satisfaction		.05	
l l	IIB	Teacher Satisfaction		.05	
65%	IIC	Support Card Survey		.05	
	III	Professional Development Plan		.15	
	IV	Core Practice 1		.07	
	AI AI AI Core Practices	Core Practice 2		.07	
} {	Ŭ S VI	Core Practice 3		.07	
	Pra O I	Core Practice 4		.07	
	IV	Core Practice 5		.07	
	VA	FCAT Reading (6-8)		.16	
) (VB	FCAT Math (6-8)		.11	
	VC	FCAT Writing (8)		.08	
35%	VD	FCAT Science (8)		.04	
lJ	VE	Algebra EOC		.04	
				Total Score	
		Overal	l effectiv	eness category	
Determ	ine effective	eness level			
	4.00 -	– 3.50 Highly Effective			
	3.49 -	– 2.25 Effective			
	2.24 -	– 1.75 Needs Improvement			

_____ 1.75 Below

Administrator's Signature: _____

Supervisor's Signature:

Date: _____

Date: _____

Sample Administrative Evaluation



SAMPLE Santa Rosa District School School Year<u>14-15</u> Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument

Section I -Verify Assignments

Part A – Employee Information

Administrator Name <u>Middle School Director</u> Employee ID No. <u>1234567</u>

School Year <u>14-15</u> Job Title <u>Middle School Director</u>

Part B – Administrative Assignment

Site/Location	Employment Title/Job Code	Percent of the Day
District Office	Middle School Director	100%

Part C – Grade Level Assessment/Percentages - Taken from Attachment M

Grade Level/Subject Area	Assessment/Percentage
Middle School	

Employee will review and verify all information on this page is correct. The employee understands these formulas will be used to tally Section V (50% of their evaluation total).

I verify the above information is correct.

Middle School Director

06/22/2015

Employee Signature

Date



Santa Rosa District School Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument

Stakeholder Satisfaction Surveys - 15% of Overall Evaluation for All Administrators. *This section should be completed by June 1 of each year.*

Parent and teacher satisfaction scores are used as part of the evaluation for all administrators (school based and district level). School Based Administrators will also use student satisfaction surveys as part of their evaluation. District Level Administrators will be evaluated by district support cards results. Each of these surveys will count 5% of the total on their respective evaluations. The previous year's scores are reported for informational purposes only. The May score is used to calculate the evaluation.

Principals complete the support card survey and the results are used on the district level evaluation (5%).

The Studer Group's research with surveys is based on a 5.0 scale: 1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neutral, 4=agree, and 5=strongly agree. The Studer group believes changing this scale would not support the research conducted on surveys. The State of Florida's Evaluation System is based on a 4.0 scale: 1=Unsatisfactory, 2=needs improvement, 3=effective and 4=highly effective. In order to use the results of the Studer surveys (students, parents, employees, and principals) will use the following conversion scale:

<u>Studer Group Survey Results</u> 4.00 – 5.00 2.75 – 3.99 2.00 – 2.74 Below 2.00 Evaluation Score Highly Effective (4.0) Effective (3.0) Needs Improvement (2.0) Unsatisfactory (1.0)

District Level Administrators will use County averages for Parent and Student Satisfaction Survey results.

SAMPLE

School Year 14-15

Section II: Stakeholder Satisfaction Surveys – 15% of Overall Evaluation for All Administrators.

This section should be completed by June 1 of each year.

A. Parent Satisfaction Survey – 5%		- 5%	School Based and District Evaluation
2011-2012 Score	3.8	Reference only	
September 2012 Score	3.9	Reference only	
May 2013 Score	3.95	5.0 Scale	
IA Score	3.0	4.0 Scale	
B. Teacher Satisfac	tion Surve	ey — 5%	School Based and District Evaluation
Previous Year	4.20	Reference only	
Current Year	4.40	5.0 Scale	
IB Score	4.0	4.0 Scale	
C. Support Card Su	rvey – 5%)	District Evaluation Only
Previous year	4.2	Reference only	
Current Year	3.9	5.0 Scale	
IC Score	3.0	4.0 Scale	
Administrator's Signal	cure: <u>Midd</u>	e School Director	Date: <u>07/01/2015</u>
Supervisor's Signature	e: Supe	rvisor	Date: 07/01/2015



Santa Rosa District Schools SAMPLE Section III: Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument/Professional Development Plan School Year 14-15

The Department of Education Professional Development Protocol requires that all administrators write a professional development goal annually that reflects the needs of current year students' assessment data The Goals should be tied to School Improvement Plans and the district improvement plan (strategic plan). The PDP counts as 15% of each administrator's total evaluation.

What will you continue to do because of this training?

Attach supporting documentation of implementation

Outcome Statement: (To be completed prior to June 1.)

What changes have you seen due to the implementation of new skills?

Attach supporting documentation of impact.

Formatted: Automatically adjust right indent when grid is defined, Space Before: 6 pt, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Section III: Annual Administrator Evaluation Instrument/Professional Development Plan

Plan Completion Rating (Assessed by Administrator)	<u>Scale</u>	<u>Check</u> <u>Rating</u>
Highly Effective Goal aligns with current needs (school improvement, student or teacher). Completed trainin specific to goal and within timeline to implement and reflect. Provides appropriate documentation of implementation and impact (student data, observation, transcript, lesson plans, videos, student work, etc.)	<u>4.0</u>	
Effective Goal aligns with current needs (school improvement, student or teacher). Completed training specific to goal and within timeline to implement and reflect. Documentation of implementation and impact (studen data, observation, transcript, lesson plans, videos, student work, etc.) is inconclusive or vague.		
Needs Improvement Goal aligns with current needs (school improvement, student or teacher). Completed training specific to goal and within timeline to implement and reflect. No evidence of implementation or impa (student data, observation, transcript, lesson plans, videos, student work, etc.) is provided.		
Unsatisfactory Goal may/may not align with current needs (school improvement, student or teacher). No training was completed and therefore no evidence of implementation or impact was provided.	<u>1.0</u>	
Professional development activities may include, but are not limited to: Section III Sc Learning Communities, Lesson Study, Online Training, Face to Face Training, College Coursework, Conferences/Seminars,	ore	3.0

Action Research, Mentoring Hours (District Mentors Only)



Supervisor's Evaluation of Effectiveness of Administrator in Demonstrating Santa Rosa District Core Leadership Practices. This section will count 35% of the administrative evaluation.

Record of Observations

The supervisor will conduct a minimum of three informal observations of the administrator during the course of a school year. The dates and notes from each observation will be entered into PEARS and feedback provided to the administrator after each observation. Observations may include activities such as scheduled and unscheduled events, walk throughs, meetings, and examination of materials that reflect the administrator's work or the impact of the administrator's work on others. The supervisor will complete this section of the evaluation by June 1 of each school year.

Date of Observation	Notes/Comments	Administrator Initials
09/01/2012	Study Core Practice 2 and develop a plan to improve these skills; indicators 2.1,2.3, 2.5	MSP
12/01/2012	More work needs to be done in the areas of indicators 4.1, 4.2, your management of facilities and school operations is outstanding	MSP
02/01/2013	Much progress has been demonstrated in core practice 2 over the course of this year; continue to work on proficiency area 7	MSP
04/01/2013	More focus needs to take place in the areas of indicators 4.1 the efforts made to date have not made a significant difference in your overall effectiveness	MSP

District Leadership FPLS Self-Assessment

Distr	ict Leadership	Core Practices		
Core Practice #1: Addressing the Proficiency of Dist				
he Effectiveness of School Principals and their Imp		•		
select one of the following: () Highly Effective-4.0	(X) Effective	17 1) Unsatisfactory-1.0
Indicator 1.1 - () Highly Effective	(X) Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.2 – () Highly Effective	(X) Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.3 – (X) Highly Effective		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.4 - () Highly Effective	(X) Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.5 - () Highly Effective	(X) Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.6 - () Highly Effective	(X) Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.7 - () Highly Effective	() Effective	(X) Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Core Practice #2: Continuous Improvement of Tead	ching and Learn	ing Through Use of Da	ta	7%
elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4.	0 () Effective-	-3.0 () Needs Impro	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory-1.0
Indicator 2.1- (X) Highly Effective	e () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.2 - (X) Highly Effective	e () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.3 - (X) Highly Effective	e () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.4 - (X) Highly Effective	e () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.5 - (X) Highly Effective	e () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
	()=	()	() =	
				vement
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession Select one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4.	es which Build So	chool Leaders' Efficacy	for School Impro	vement 7%
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession	es which Build So 0 () Effective	chool Leaders' Efficacy	for School Impro	776
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession select one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4.	es which Build So 0 () Effective e () Effective	chool Leaders' Efficacy ·3.0 () Needs Impro	for School Impro	776
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession select one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective () Effective () Effective	 chool Leaders' Efficacy c3.0 () Needs Impro () Needs Improvement 	for School Impro ovement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory	776
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession select one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective	 chool Leaders' Efficacy 3.0 () Needs Impro () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement 	for School Impro ovement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	7%
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service nd Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective	 chool Leaders' Efficacy c) Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement 	for School Impro ovement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	7%
ore Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service nd Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2- (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective- e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective	Chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Impro () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement	for School Impro ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	7%
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service nd Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective (X) Effective	Chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Impro () Needs Improvement	for School Impro ovement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	7%
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service nd Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	Chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Improvement	for School Impro ovement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	7%
ore Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service nd Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	 chool Leaders' Efficacy 3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement 	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory) Unsatisfactory-1.4
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Ecore Practice #4: Harnessing Family and Communit	es which Build So () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	 chool Leaders' Efficacy 3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement 	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory) Unsatisfactory-1.4
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Core Practice #4: Harnessing Family and Communit elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4.0	es which Build So () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	 chool Leaders' Efficacy 3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement chool Improvement 3.0 () Needs Improvement 	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory) Unsatisfactory-1.0
ore Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service nd Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective-4.0	es which Build So () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	Chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Improvement chool Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory ovement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory) Unsatisfactory-1.
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession ielect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Core Practice #4: Harnessing Family and Communit ielect one of the following: () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	Chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Improvement	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory) Unsatisfactory-1.(7%) Unsatisfactory-1.(
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Ecore Practice #4: Harnessing Family and Communit elect one of the following: () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective (X) Effective	Chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Improvement	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	7%) Unsatisfactory-1.4 7%) Unsatisfactory-1.4 7%
ore Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service nd Ensure Productive Leadership Succession elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective-4.0 Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective-4.0 Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective (X) Effe	Chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Improvement	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory Student Learning) Unsatisfactory-1.4 7%) Unsatisfactory-1.4) Unsatisfactory-1.4 Outcomes
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession iselect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective-4.0 Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective (X) Effec	Chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Improvement	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory Student Learning rovement-2.0) Unsatisfactory-1.(7%) Unsatisfactory-1.() Unsatisfactory-1.(7% Outcomes () Unsatisfactory-1
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession iselect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective Indicator 5.1 - Student Centered	es which Build So () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective e () Effective (X) Effective (Y) Effective	chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Improvement	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory Student Learning rovement-2.0 eeds Improvement) Unsatisfactory-1.(7%) Unsatisfactory-1.() Unsatisfactory-1.(7%) Outcomes () Unsatisfactory-1 () Unsatisfactory-1
Core Practice #3: Providing Quality Support Service and Ensure Productive Leadership Succession iselect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4. Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.2 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effective Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective-4.0 Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective	es which Build So () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective () Effective (X) Effec	chool Leaders' Efficacy () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement	for School Impro pvement-2.0 (() Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory Student Learning rovement-2.0) Unsatisfactory-1.(7%) Unsatisfactory-1.() Unsatisfactory-1.(7% Outcomes () Unsatisfactory-1

District Leadership FPLS Evaluation

	rict Leadership			
Core Practice #1: Addressing the Prof			0, 11	0, 7
aluating the Effectiveness of School Principals a	•	• •		hievement
elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4.	0 (X) Effective	-3.0 () Needs Improv	vement-2.0 ()U	nsatisfactory-1.0
Indicator 1.1 - () Highly Effective	e (X) Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.2 – () Highly Effective		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.3 – (X) Highly Effectiv		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.4 - () Highly Effective		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.5 - () Highly Effective		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.6 - () Highly Effective		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 1.7 - () Highly Effective	e () Effective	(X) Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Com Provide #2: Continues In		·		7%
Core Practice #2: Continuous In elect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-			-	() Unsatisfactory-
Indicator 2.1- (X) Highly Effectiv		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.2 - (X) Highly Effectiv		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.3 - (X) Highly Effectiv	ve () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.4 - (X) Highly Effectiv	ve () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 2.5 - (X) Highly Effectiv	ve () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Core Practice #3: Providing Qual hool Improvement and Ensure Productive Leade			l Leaders' Efficacy	for 79
lect one of the following: (X) Highly Effective-4			vement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory-1
Indicator 3.1 - (X) Highly Effectiv		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 3.2- (X) Highly Effectiv	ve () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 3.3 - (X) Highly Effectiv		() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 3.4 - (X) Highly Effectiv	ve () Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 3.5 - () Highly Effective	e (X) Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
	e (X) Effective	() Needs Improvement	() Unsatisfactory	
Indicator 3.6 () Highly Effective		()	., ,	
				7%
Core Practice #4: Harnessing	Family and Com	munity Energies for Scl	•	t L
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4.	Family and Comi .0 (X) Effective	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Impr	ovement-2.0	
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective	Family and Comi .0 (X) Effective	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Impr () Needs Improvement	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory	t L
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2- () Highly Effective	Family and Com .0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	munity Energies for Scl e-3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	t L
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective	Family and Com .0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Impr () Needs Improvement	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory	t L
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2- () Highly Effective	Family and Com .0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	munity Energies for Scl e-3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	t L
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective	Family and Comm 0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	t L
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective re Practice #5: Engaging in Professional Learning	Family and Comm 0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory	t Unsatisfactory-
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2- () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective re Practice #5: Engaging in Professional Learning itcomes Select one of the following:	Family and Comi 0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective g to Improve Lea	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement dership Practices and	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory Student Learning	t Unsatisfactory-
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective ore Practice #5: Engaging in Professional Learning atcomes Select one of the following:) Highly Effective-4.0 (X) Effective-3.0 () N	Family and Comi .0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective g to Improve Lea leeds Improvement	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Impro () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement dership Practices and S ent-2.0 () Unsatisfi	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory Student Learning actory-1.0	t () Unsatisfactory- 7%
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective Indicator 5.1 - Student Centered	Family and Comu .0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective g to Improve Lea leeds Improvement () Highly Effective	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement dership Practices and S ent-2.0 () Unsatisfa (X) Effective () Ne	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory Student Learning actory-1.0 eds Improvement	t () Unsatisfactory- 7% () Unsatisfactory
Core Practice #4: Harnessing elect one of the following: () Highly Effective-4. Indicator 4.1 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.2 - () Highly Effective Indicator 4.3 - () Highly Effective ore Practice #5: Engaging in Professional Learning atcomes Select one of the following:) Highly Effective-4.0 (X) Effective-3.0 () N	Family and Comi .0 (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective (X) Effective g to Improve Lea leeds Improvement	munity Energies for Scl 2-3.0 () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement () Needs Improvement dership Practices and S ent-2.0 () Unsatisfi (X) Effective () Ne (X) Effective () Ne	ovement-2.0 () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory () Unsatisfactory Student Learning actory-1.0	t () Unsatisfactory- 7%

SAMPLE

School Year 14-15

Santa Rosa District School Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument

Evaluation of Student Performance – 35% of Overall Evaluation

Student Assessment Data

This section should be complete within two weeks of the date the district receives the data from the state. This section will be completed using the Santa Rosa District School agreed upon Assessment and percentages.

Student Performance



This information is recorded in Section V where it is calculated as part of the total score.

Administrator's Comments: <u>We are pleased with Reading scores</u>. Writing and Science will be addressed <u>next year in a different way</u>.

Administrator's Signature: Director Date: 07/01/15

Supervisor's Comments: none

Supervisor's Signature: <u>Supervisor</u> Date: <u>07/01/15</u>



Santa Rosa District School Annual Administrative Evaluation Instrument

Section VI: Overall Rating

This section should be complete within two weeks of the District receiving student performance scores.

Evaluation Ratings

ر ۲			Score	Weight	Weighted
				School District	Score
	IIA	Parent Satisfaction	3.0	.05	.150
	IIB	Teacher Satisfaction	4.0	.05	.200
	IID	Support Card Survey	3.0	.05	.150
65%	III	Professional Development Plan	3.0	.15	.450
	IV ရှ	Core Practice 1	3.0	.07	.210
	Practices	Core Practice 2	4.0	.07	.210
	e Practi (25%)	Core Practice 3	4.0	.07	.210
1 1	IV DO	Core Practice 4	3.0	.07	.210
J	IV Ö	Core Practice 5	3.0	.07	.210
35%	VA	FCAT Reading (6-8)	4.0	.16	.640
	VB	FCAT Math (6-8)	3.0	.11	.33
	VE	FCAT Science (8)	1.0	.04	.040
	VF	Algebra EOC	3.0	.04	.120

Total Score 3.49

Effective

Overall effectiveness category

Determine effectiveness level

- 4.00 3.50 Highly Effective
- <u>X</u> 3.49 2.25 Effective
- 2.24 1.75 Needs Improvement
- _____ 1.75 Below

 Administrator's Signature:
 Middle School Principal
 Date:
 07/01/15