

**FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

**DIVISION OF K-12 PUBLIC SCHOOLS**

**BUREAU OF EXCEPTIONAL EDUCATION AND STUDENT SERVICES**

**School District**

**Santa Rosa**

**EXCEPTIONAL STUDENT EDUCATION  
POLICIES AND PROCEDURES (SP&P)**

**EFFECTIVE DATE:**

**2020-2021 through 2022-2023**



## **Part I. General Policies and Procedures**

### **Section A.1: Legal Requirements for General Policies and Procedures**

#### **Statutory and Regulatory Citations**

Title 34 Code of Federal Regulations(CFR) § 300.641

Sections 1003.57, 1003.571, and 1003.573, Florida Statutes(F.S.)

Rules 6A - 6.03411 and 69A - 58.0084, Florida Administrative Code(F.A.C.)

#### **Requirement Related to ESE Policies and Procedures**

For a school district to be eligible to receive state or federal funding for specially designed instruction and related services for exceptional students, it shall do the following:

1. Develop a written statement of policies and procedures for providing an appropriate program of specially designed instruction and related services for exceptional students
2. Submit its written statement of policies and procedures to the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services (Bureau) for approval
3. Report to FDOE the total number of students in the school district receiving instruction in each special program for exceptional students in the manner prescribed by FDOE

The IDEA corresponding federal regulations, state statutes, and State Board of Education rules relating to special programs for exceptional students serve as criteria for the review and approval of the district's SP&P document.

The school district will submit the SP&P document in accordance with the timelines established in s. 1003.57, F.S., s. 1003.573, F.S., and Rule 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

## **Section A.2: Legal Requirement Related to the Use of Restraint and Seclusion**

### **District and School-Based Standards for Documenting, Reporting, and Monitoring the Use of Manual, Physical, or Mechanical Restraint and Seclusion Developed by the FDOE**

#### **District Level Standards**

##### **Districts shall:**

- Have written procedures for reporting incidents of restraint and seclusion using the FDOE web-based reporting system.
- Have policies and procedures for restraint and seclusion on file with the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services.
- Have training for personnel on the use of restraint and seclusion and maintain records of such trainings. The records maintained should include, but not be limited to:
  - Names of personnel trained
  - Description of training received
  - Dates of trainings
- Have a written plan for reducing restraint and seclusion

#### **District Monitoring Standards**

##### **Districts shall:**

- Have written policies and procedures for monitoring the use of restraint and seclusion for students with disabilities at the classroom, building, school, and district levels.
- Have a plan for reviewing restraint and seclusion data and effectiveness of instructional and behavioral practices used to reduce the use of restraint and seclusion, to include when, where, and why the restraint or seclusion occurred.
- Have policies and procedures for monitoring the use of restraint and seclusion on file with the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services.
- Implement a plan for the purpose of reducing the use of restraint and seclusion that includes activities, skills and resources.
- Ensure that rooms used for seclusion meet the requirements of Rule 69A-58.0084, F.A.C.

#### **School Level Standards**

##### **Schools shall:**

- Have written school-based procedures for reporting incidents of restraint and seclusion using the FDOE web-based reporting system.
- Have school-based personnel who are trained to enter and report incidents using the FDOE web-based reporting system.
- Follow procedures for written notification of incidents of restraint and seclusion on the day of the incident, including, but not limited to:
  - Providing parents with a notification in writing of any incident of restraint or seclusion. This written notification must include the type of restraint used and any injuries occurring during or resulting from the restraint.
  - Making reasonable efforts to contact the parent via telephone or email on the day of the incident.
  - Obtaining the parent's signed acknowledgement of receipt of the notification.
  - Maintaining the documentation of the parent's signed acknowledgement of notice.

- Follow procedures for written incident reporting, including, but not limited to:
  - Providing parents with a written incident report generated by the FDOE web-based reporting system by mail within three **school** days of any incident of restraint or seclusion.
  - Obtaining the parent's signed acknowledgement of receipt of the incident report.
  - Maintaining the documentation of the parent's signed acknowledgement of receipt of the incident.
- Make a minimum of two attempts to obtain written parent acknowledgement when parents fail to respond to initial notices or incident reports.

## Requirement Related to the Use of Restraint and Seclusion

In accordance with s.1003.573, F.S. the district maintains policies and procedures related to the use of restraint and seclusion.

- ☒ The district has made no changes to their policies and procedures regarding the use of restraint and seclusion.
- ☐ The district has made changes to their policies and procedures regarding the use of restraint and seclusion.
- ☐ This section is not applicable for the Department of Corrections.

## District Policies Regarding Restraint and Seclusion

**Physical restraint – One of the following must be selected:**

- ☐ In addition to this SP&P document, the district has a written policy regarding allowable use or prohibition of physical restraint. This policy is included in Appendix D.
- ☒ This SP&P document is the district's only written policy regarding the allowable use or prohibition of physical restraint.

**Seclusion – One of the following must be selected:**

- ☐ In addition to this SP&P document, the district has a written policy regarding allowable use or prohibition of seclusion. This policy is included in Appendix D.
- ☒ This SP&P document is the district's only written policy regarding the allowable use or prohibition of seclusion.

## Assurances

1. School personnel will not use a mechanical restraint or a manual or physical restraint that restricts a student's breathing.
2. School personnel will not close, lock, or physically block a student in a room that is unlit and does not meet the requirements for seclusion time-out rooms provided in State Fire Marshal Rule 69A-58.0084, F.A.C.

## Section A.3: Requirements Related To Documenting and Reporting Incidents of Restraint and Seclusion

### Documentation and Incident Reporting

1. Schools are required to notify the parent or guardian each time manual or physical restraint or seclusion is used with a student with a disability. Such notification will be in writing and provided before the end of the school day on which the restraint or seclusion occurred. In accordance with standards developed by FDOE, the notice must include the type of restraint used and any injuries occurring during or resulting from the restraint. Additionally, reasonable efforts will be taken to notify the parent or guardian by telephone or email, or both, and those efforts will be documented.
2. The school will obtain, and keep in its records, the parent's or guardian's signed acknowledgement that he or she was notified of the student's restraint or seclusion. In accordance with standards developed by FDOE, the district must make a minimum of two attempts to obtain written parent acknowledgement of receipt of the notification when the parent fails to respond to the initial notice.
3. The school will prepare an incident report within 24 hours after a student is released from restraint or seclusion. If the student's release occurs on a day before the school closes for the weekend, a holiday, or another reason, the incident report will be completed by the end of the school day on the day the school reopens. The school will provide the parent with the completed incident report in writing by mail within three school days after the student was manually or physically restrained or secluded.
4. The school will obtain, and keep in its records, the parent's or guardian's signed acknowledgement that he or she **received a copy of the incident report**. In accordance with standards developed by FDOE, the district must make a minimum of two attempts to obtain written parent acknowledgement of receipt of the incident report when the parent fails to respond to the initial report.
5. The following will be included in the incident report:
  - a. The name of the student restrained or secluded
  - b. The age, grade, ethnicity, and disability of the student restrained or secluded
  - c. The date and time of the event, and the duration of the restraint or seclusion
  - d. The location at which the restraint or seclusion occurred
  - e. A description of the type of restraint used in terms established by the FDOE
  - f. The name of the person(s) using or assisting in the restraint or seclusion of the student
  - g. The name of any nonstudent who was present to witness the restraint or seclusion
  - h. A description of the incident, including the following:
    - i. The context in which the restraint or seclusion occurred
    - ii. The student's behavior leading up to and precipitating the decision to use manual or physical restraint or seclusion, including an indication as to why there was an imminent risk of serious injury or death to the student or others
    - iii. The specific positive behavioral strategies used to prevent and deescalate the behavior
    - iv. What occurred with the student immediately after the termination of the restraint or seclusion
    - v. Any injuries, visible marks, or possible medical emergencies that may have occurred during the restraint or seclusion, documented according to district policies
    - vi. Evidence of steps taken to notify the student's parent or guardian
6. Incidents of restraint and seclusion are reported to FDOE via a website developed for this purpose, in a manner prescribed by FDOE.

## Section A.4: District Procedures Related To Documenting and Reporting Incidents of Restraint and Seclusion

### District Procedures

The district has in place policies and procedures that govern (1) parent notification, (2) incident reporting, (3) reporting of district data review, (4) monitoring, (5) training programs, to include a plan for the selection of personnel to be trained, and (6) the district's plan for reducing the use of restraint and seclusion. (*Charter schools, DJJ facilities, and contracted residential facilities must be included.*)

1. Describe the district's procedures for providing the parent with a copy of the **written notice on the day of the incident**.

**a. Describe how parents are provided written notice on the day the restraint or seclusion occurred.**

By the end of the day of the restraint or seclusion incident, administration or designated school personnel will complete the Parent Written Notice for the Use of Secured Seclusion and/or Restraint form. Administration will then make two attempts to notify parent through email and/or phone in regards to seclusion/restraint incident. Administration will also ensure that the form is sent home with the student at the end of the day. Parent and Administrative signature must be obtained and the signed form must be returned to the school and placed in the student's cumulative file.

**b. Specify personnel (by role or title) responsible for preparing the written notice.**

The written notice will be written by the student's teacher of record or administrator for the school. All written notices must be signed by school administrator.

**c. Describe how reasonable efforts are made on the day of the incident to contact the parent by phone or email or both.**

An initial attempt through the written notice must be utilized on the day of the incident. In addition, administration and/or designated personnel will make two additional attempts to notify parent through email and/or phone in regards to seclusion/restraint incident by the end of the day.

**d. Describe how records of the parent's acknowledgement that the written notice was received are retained, and actions that are taken in the event the parent does not provide a signed acknowledgement of the initial written notice.**

If the signed Written Notice form is not returned, the administrator will send a second copy through certified mail, thus ensuring receipt. A second attempt will be a school social worker personally delivering the form for signature. Any correspondence and acknowledgement of parent communication (i.e. email, text, and phone records) will be attached to the Written Notice form. Administration will be responsible for maintaining all documented attempts and will keep all incident reporting in the student's cumulative file.

2. Describe the district's procedures for providing parents with a copy of the incident report within three **school days** of the incident.

**a. Specify personnel (by role or title) responsible for preparing the incident report.**

Within 24 hours of incident, supervising teacher will complete the electronic version of the form Santa Rosa District Incident Report for Secured Seclusion and/or Restraint and send it via email to the supervising school administrator. NOTE: Best practice for documenting the incident would be that the document is completed by the end of the workday to ensure that all facts of the incident are recorded accurately. Within 24 hours of receiving the teacher's report, supervising school administrator will review for accuracy and completeness. Information will then be transferred from the Santa Rosa District form to the state's Secured Seclusion and Restraint database. The supervising school administrator will upload the incident report in the State Database and print the state incident report and email confirmation to the ESE Program Facilitator of receipt of incident.

**b. Describe how the parents are provided a copy of the incident report within three school days of the incident.**

The printed State Database Incident report created by the School administrator will be mailed and/or personally provided to the parent within three days of the school incident.

**c. Describe how records of the parent's acknowledgement that the written report was received are retained, and actions that are taken in the event the parent does not provide a signed acknowledgement of the initial incident report.**

Once receiving state incident report within 3 days of the incident, the parent will sign and return to the school. If the signed State Database Incident report is not returned the school administrator will initiate 2 additional attempts through certified mail and/or by a school social worker for signature. All attempts (email, text, phone records, and/or signed received for postage) will be documented on the form. All signed State Database Incident forms will be placed in the student's cumulative file.

**3. How does the district monitor the implementation of restraint and seclusion practices to include reporting requirements in charter schools, DJJ facilities, and contracted residential facilities?**

If there is a student assigned to a Charter School, DJJ Facility, and/or a Contracted residential facility, the student(s) will be closely monitored by an assigned Program Facilitator of Behavior. Those facilities are held to the same mandates and District practices. Appropriate training is provided to staff personnel and Administrator monthly. Positive Behavior Intervention Support training is provided for the schools, as well as strategies to implement as an alternative to seclusion/restraints. Procedures are monitored at least monthly and included in the comprehensive safety report as well as the Risk Management Department of the Santa Rosa County School District. To address the high percentage of restraint incidents occurring in the elementary age range, the District has supplied additional training in Tier II and Tier III, as well as provided additional supports within the Behavioral Focused Classrooms. We will meet with essential personnel to ensure they are aware of the procedures, progress monitoring expectations, as well as implementation of quality MTSS procedures with fidelity. Additional monitoring and discussions are conducted on a weekly basis with our program facilitators. Specific incidents, student concerns, as well as facility matters are discussed to verify if immediate attention is required to address the situations. Any student in one of the charter schools whom may have been restrained would be discussed during the course of the weekly/monthly meetings as deemed necessary by affiliated program staff member.



## Section A.5: District Procedures Related To Review of Data and Reporting Procedures (to include monitoring and training)

1. Describe the district's review of data and reporting procedures.

**Specify personnel (by role or title) responsible for collecting data in the web-based reporting system within the school, and to whom it is reported at the school and district level. (e.g., principal, ESE director, superintendent).**

Santa Rosa County School District ESE Department, specifically the Administrator for Behavior, will collect secured seclusion/restraint incident reports monthly through the State Database for Secured Seclusion and Restraint.

**Provide information regarding the timelines, process and documentation for review of data and reporting within the district.**

Data collection of incident reports (number, disability overview, and grade levels) will be provided to the ESE director, principals, superintendent, and other pertinent district personnel for review monthly).

2. Describe the district's procedures for monitoring data collection and reporting and the use of restraint and seclusion at the (a) classroom, (b) building, and (c) district level. These monitoring procedures must address when, where, and why students are restrained or secluded and the frequency of the occurrences of restraint or seclusion, including prone and mechanical restraint. *(Charter schools, DJJ facilities, and contracted residential facilities must be included.)*

**Describe how the district will monitor school practices related to the data collection and reporting to parents, including (a) data entry into the FDOE web-based system; (b) content of the written notice; (c) email or telephone attempts to contact parents on the day of the incident; (d) provision of written notice and incident reports to the parent within the required timelines; (e) maintaining documentation of the parent's acknowledgements of the receipt of written notices and reports; and (f) making additional attempts to obtain written parent acknowledgement when the parent fails to acknowledge the initial written notice or incident report.**

School administrators are required to notify the Administrator for Behavior of all incidents within three days of incident through email. The Program Facilitator will review all components of the incident including data entry, content, contact with parents within the day of incident, provision of written notice within timelines, acknowledgement of receipt by parent, and any additional attempts needed.

**Describe how the district will monitor school practices related to when, where, and why students are restrained and secluded at the (a) classroom, (b) building, and (c) district level.**

The Administrator for Behavior will collect monthly incident of secured seclusion and restraint data from the State Database in order to review school practices on when, where, and why students are being restrained and secluded.

**Describe how information about restraint and seclusion data is (a) shared with school and classroom personnel directly involved in the use of restraint and seclusion and (b) reviewed to assess, develop or revise and implement effective behavioral strategies and instructional practices for students who are frequently restrained or secluded.**

Data regarding students with repeated incidents of restraint is gathered by the assigned Program Facilitator of Behavior. The compiled data is utilized to revise or revisit the current Functional Behavior Assessment and/or the Positive Behavior Intervention Plan. If the school-wide team determines that specific strategies or interventions should be revised, additions based on the immediate need(s) of the student are added accordingly. The targeted behaviors of the student(s) are monitored daily to determine if he or she is responding positively to the updated interventions. Teachers are then required to provide progress monitoring data in graphical form to the assigned Program Facilitator of Behavior monthly. Discussion occur formally on a weekly basis to clarify inquiries about classroom procedures and/or expectations; however, official behavior reports are established on a monthly basis.

3. Describe the district's training for personnel on the use of restraint and seclusion and how records of such trainings are maintained. The records maintained should include, but not be limited to, names of personnel trained, description of training received, and dates of trainings. *(Charter schools, DJJ facilities, and contracted residential facilities must be included.)*

**Describe the programs the district uses to train personnel with regard to the use of restraint and seclusion; if multiple programs are used within the district, describe how decisions are made with regard to when a particular program is selected.**

Non-Violent Crisis Prevention Intervention (CPI) is the program designed to ensure the care, safety, welfare, and security of all involved in a crisis situation. An added component during the training is presented by the Administrator for Behavior of laws, litigation, state mandates, and district procedures for all restraints and secured seclusion incidents to CPI participants. Schools are trained on the Behavioral Response to Interventions Procedures and encouraged to monitor the progress at the same level of fidelity as the academic Multi-Tiered Strategies for Supports (MTSS).

**Describe how the district implements professional development on the selected training program(s).**

Throughout the school year, Santa Rosa County designated CPI trainers conduct full certification courses consisting of 12 credit hours and recertification courses consisting of 3 hours of credit. School-wide de-escalation training is also available for individual schools who elect to utilize as a method to avoid possible verbal and/or physical aggression and to assist overall classroom management structures.

**Describe how the district maintains records on the training of personnel with regard to restraint and seclusion.**

All personnel receiving the course will be maintained in the district PGS system, FDLRS data system, and CPI data system. All personnel that have current certification in CPI will receive a certification card through CPI.

**If the training program used requires periodic "refresher training," indicate the intervals at which this occurs and how.**

To maintain current certification in CPI, all personnel must attend a CPI refresher each year. Two designated CPI trainers will provide refresher courses throughout the school year.

**Describe the district's plan with regard to the selection of personnel to be trained in restraint and seclusion.**

All self-contained behavioral focus classroom teachers and paraprofessionals, behavioral technicians, one school administrator for each school, and dean of students must be certified in CPI yearly.

**Indicate whether all charter schools in the district use the same crisis management program as that described for use in district-operated schools.**

Yes, the charter schools in the District are required to use the same crisis management program as described for our In-District operated schools. Collaborative efforts are made to ensure the training is received, methodology practiced consistently, and reporting is conducted in a comparable manner.

**If no, indicate by charter school the name of the crisis management program used?**

N/A

## Section A.6: District Plan Related to Reducing the Use of Restraint

Does the district prohibit the use of restraint?

- ☐ Yes  
☒ No

1. Even if the district prohibits the use of restraint, if restraint incidents occurred during the 2018-19 and 2019-20 school years, the district will have a plan for reducing the use of restraint.

**If the district allows the use of restraint, specify the district's measurable annual goal for the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years for reducing the number of incidents of restraint (goal must include a percentage for reduction).**

The annual goal for the 2019-2020 and 2020-2021 school year will be 10-20% decrease in overall reduction of the number of incidents for use of restraint. We have experienced an increase in restraints this school year. We can attribute the overall increase in the use of mechanical restraints due to increased involvement in School Resource Officers in schools throughout district. With the assistance of FLDOE identification of such use and how school's elect to report it, we feel confident that those numbers will decrease significantly. We continue to emphasize this process to schools and work diligently to streamline the communication from District Staff to School-Based Administrators districtwide.

2. The district is required to have a plan for reducing the use of restraint, particularly in settings where it occurs frequently or with students who are restrained repeatedly, and for reducing the use of prone restraint and mechanical restraint. The plan must include a goal for reducing the use of restraint and must include activities, skills, and resources needed to achieve that goal. Charter schools, DJJ facilities, and contracted residential facilities must be included. Activities may include, but are not limited to, the following:
- a. Additional training in positive behavioral support and crisis management
  - b. Parental involvement
  - c. Data review
  - d. Updates of students' Functional Behavioral Assessments (FBAs) and Positive Behavioral Intervention Plans (PBIPs)
  - e. Additional student evaluations
  - f. Debriefing with staff
  - g. Use of schoolwide positive behavior support
  - h. Changes to the school environment

**Total number of incidents of restraints for the 2017-18 school year.**

Restraint information for 2017-2018 was 37 total incidents.

**Total number of incidents of restraints for the 2018-19 and 2019-20 school years.**

Restraint Information for 2018-2019 was 41 incidents (19 Students). Restraint information for 2019-2020 was 61 incidents (25 Students).

**Indicate the percentage of increase or decrease in the 2018-19 and 2019-20 rates.**

The percentage of increase was 48.80%

**Provide a rationale for the district's increase or decrease in incidents when comparing the data.**

The number of incidents has increased from previous school year. With the increase revealing a 48.80% increase in restraints, the ESE Administrative Staff and Behavior Team have considered numerous options to address this concern. The Coordinator of Behavior has developed specific guidelines for discipline as well as for restraints and secured seclusion. Extensive training on the guidelines will be provided to deans, school-based administrators, and Behavior MTSS Teams throughout the District. General recommendations are as follows: - When managing discipline, always double check to verify if the student receives services or not. - Run frequent audits of ESE students' discipline events and suspensions at your school and consistently monitor ESE suspension days. - Have a copy of all Positive Behavior Intervention Plans (PBIPS) in your school. - Consider creating tracking systems for ESE discipline that fits your school's systems and needs. - If a student has an increase in behaviors, especially when OSS days are increasing, ensure the student is receiving behavior interventions through MTSS, a PMP is open, and data is being consistently tracked. - Physical Restraints and/or Secured Seclusion should only be used as a last resort, in situations of imminent danger to self or others, where less restrictive interventions have been attempted and were unsuccessful. The District has also added behavior coaches in all schools where a Behavioral Unit is located. Individualized visual charting of expected and unexpected behaviors and coping strategies and self regulation skills to utilize when behaviorally escalated. Incorporating physical activity or movement in the daily schedule to help students that need that physical outlet to lessen anxiety. Sensory boxes or taking a break for each student that may be utilized at any time. Daily social skills curriculum is used to model and practice coping skills and appropriate verbal responses. The District Behavior Team will conduct weekly analysis of restraint and seclusion incidents. When trends are identified (ex. Disproportionate events at one school or within one classroom), a member of the district behavior team is assigned to meet with the school-based team to implement new strategies and/or retrain in CPI with an emphasis on de-escalation.

**Note whether or not the district attained the 2018-19 goal for rate reduction of restraint and the difference between 2018-19 percentage goal and the actual 2018-19 percentage rate.**

The annual goal for the 2018-2019 school year was to demonstrate a 10-20% decrease in overall reduction of the number of incidents for use of restraint. That goal was not obtained last school year. Overall, we have observed an increase in incidents as well as number of students restrained for last school. However, that information is not representative of most recent three year trend data. We have increased our percentage of decrease goal to be 20-30% so that we may recover from the increase in incidents and are diligently working with District Staff, School-Based Administrators and Deans, as well as consistent collaboration with MTSS teams throughout the District.

**How many students in the district were restrained 15 or more times? What were the specific activities, skills, and resources implemented to reduce these rates?**

zero

**Does the district have a policy in place that prohibits the use of prone restraint?**

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No

**If not, describe how and when prone restraint is being used.**

N/A

**If there is no policy that prohibits the use of prone restraint, include a plan for reducing the use of prone restraint.**

N/A

**Does the district have a policy in place that prohibits the use of mechanical restraint?**

- ☐ Yes  
☒ No

**If not, describe what mechanical restraints are being used and how they are being used.**

In Santa Rosa County we do not use mechanical restraints. Instead, we may use physical restraint or secured seclusion in cases of imminent danger to self or others when less restrictive interventions have been attempted and have not been successful. Only staff trained annually in CPI may implement these procedures.

**If there is no policy that prohibits the use of mechanical restraint, include a plan for reducing the use of mechanical restraint.**

We do not utilize mechanical restraints in SRC schools.

**Describe the data reviewed from the 2018-19 and 2019-20 school years (which must include primary exceptionality and race or ethnicity of students restrained and type of restraint used).**

2018-2019 Grade Summary # % of Incidents Grades PK-3 10 24.39% Grades 4-8 30 73.17% Grades 9-12 1 2.44% Race/Ethnicity # % of Incidents White 29 70.73% Black/African American 7 17.07% Two or More Races 4 9.76% Native Hawaiian/Other 1 2.44% Primary Disability # % of Incidents Autism Spectrum Disorder 19 46.34% Emotional/Behavioral Disability 6 14.63% Developmentally Delayed 3 7.32% Other Health Impaired 12 29.27% 504 Only 1 2.44% Type of Restraint CPI Physical Restraint 34 82.93% Mechanical Restraint 7 17.07% 2019-2020 Total # of Students - 61 Total # of Unique Students - 25 Grade Summary Count of incidents # % of Incidents Grades PK-3 23 37.70% Grades 4-8 38 62.30% Grades 9-12 0 0.00% Race Ethnicity # % of Incidents White 55 90.16% Black 4 6.56% Two or More Races 2 3.28% Native Hawaiian 0 0.00% Primary Disability # % of Incidents Autism Spectrum Disorder 31 50.82% Emotional/Behavioral Disability 5 8.20% Developmentally Delayed 12 19.67% Other Health Impaired 8 13.11% 504 Only 0 0.00% Intellectual disability 1 1.64% Language impaired 2 3.28% Speech impaired 2 3.28% Type of Restraint # % of Incidents CPI Physical Restraint 61 100.00% Mechanical Restraint 0 0.00% Our District utilizes the Crisis Prevention Institute (CPI) - An international training organization that specializes in the safe management of disruptive and assaultive behavior - Nonviolent Crisis Intervention Techniques.

**Describe how the data and the problem-solving process informed your district's plan to reduce the use of restraint.**

The data collection process and consistent review of students' response to interventions, assist in the overall decision-making protocol embedded in the Behavior MTSS methodology. Teachers review data daily and the responses to interventions on targeted behaviors are discussed weekly to ensure appropriate responses to the interventions are demonstrated and/or the determination that selected interventions need to be adjusted for maximum access to appropriate strategies.

**Describe how the data and the problem-solving process determined the measurable annual goal for the reduction of restraint for the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years.**

Hypothesis: The higher number of restraints may be due to the increased number of students new to the units in the first quarter. Many of these students were demonstrated the most significant behavior in September while interventions were being implemented and adjusted as necessary. Students who were returning to the units accounted for significantly less restraints. Additionally, the increase in SROs in every building may have led to an increase in student arrests which accounts for 45% of the quarter 1 restraints (5 of 11).

- Weekly analysis of restraint and secured seclusion incidents with the district behavior team including reviewing YTD trends on a three-year comparison.
- If/When trends are identified (ex. Disproportionate events at one school or within one classroom) a member of the district behavior team is assigned to meet with the school-based team to implement new strategies and/or retrain in CPI with an emphasis on de-escalation
- The program facilitator meets bi-weekly with all units to discuss student progress, effectiveness of programming, to review restraint & seclusion incidents, and to plan strategies to decrease incidents.
- All staff who work with students with a greater risk of demonstrating an imminent danger to self or others were provided the full CPI training in lieu of the annual refresher. This allowed the sessions to more deeply address strategies for deescalating behaviors.
- We are providing a variety of professional development sessions partnered with SEDNET including trauma informed care, youth mental health first aid, zones of regulation, etc.
- The district behavior team will be creating a professional development series with an intentional focus on proactive classroom management and de-escalation for summer institute and during the 19/20 school year. The data collection process and consistent review of students' response to interventions, assist in the overall decision-making protocol embedded in the Behavior MTSS methodology. Teachers review the data daily and the responses to interventions on targeted behavior are discussed weekly to ensure appropriate responses to the interventions are demonstrated and/or the determination that selected interventions need to be adjusted for maximum access to appropriate strategies. Other practices are using the Zones of Regulation to help student recognize their behavior triggers and calming strategies that work for each individual student. Weekly behavior focused classroom's team meetings to discuss each student and their needs. Individualized visual charting of expected and unexpected behaviors and coping strategies and self-regulation skills to utilize when behaviorally escalated. Daily social skills curriculum is used to model and practice coping skills and appropriate verbal responses. The District will reduce the use of restraint by 20-30% from the baseline data for the 2019-2020 school year.

1. Schools are required to review IEPs and BIPs to ensure students are responding to interventions and are making progress.
2. The district has placed the FDOE Brochure for Documenting, Reporting and Monitoring the Use of Seclusion and Restraint on the District's ESE Website. Administrators and staff will share brochures with parents.
3. School-wide PBIS training is provided to participating schools. Professional development on positive behavioral supports and classroom management is provided to teachers. District has also implemented the use of Behavior Coaches that are embedded in all schools with self-contained behavioral classrooms. Behavior Coordinator has also developed and implemented Discipline Guidelines in which was provided in school-based administrator and school dean training at the start of the school year.

The following are examples of activities that may be considered for the purpose of reducing the use of restraint.

- Implement student-specific strategies such as: reviewing individual educational plans (IEPs) and Section 504 plans; conducting evaluations or reevaluations and FBAs; evaluating the effectiveness of PBIPs and health care plans specific to individual students' responses and progress
- Implement district and school strategies for increasing parental involvement
- Introduce or strengthen Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS), which could include schoolwide positive behavioral support
- Provide additional professional development training in positive behavioral support and crisis management
- Problem solve with school administrators to make data-driven decisions regarding school environments

**Describe the activities that are a part of the district's plan to reduce the use of restraint.**

The Behavior MTSS Process will be a continuous part of our District-Wide goals/objectives and professional development/training component. TIER I--PBIS Tier I school-wide 3 day training, SMART 2.5 Training, Ruby Payne activities, Capturing Kids Hearts, PBS Coaches Quarterly Meetings, Classroom Management by FDLRS, PBIS Online Module FDLRS TIER II--PMP training, Dean and ISS training, social skills training, Tough Kids, Alternatives to suspension, Behavior Interventions Strategies, CPI-Verbal De-escalation, Challenging Behaviors (FDLRS), social thinking techniques TIER III -Behavior Focus and Social Thinking Training (32 hours), CPI, QBS, Trauma Informed Care, Intensive/Individuals interventions, SB1108 Behavioral Training (3 year guide), zones of regulation, parent training nights Interventions/Implementations: Positive Behavior Interventions Individualized Crisis Plans Preventative Strategies Community Based Instruction Create safe area and techniques for de-escalation Daily home/school communication Self-Advocacy Skills

**Describe the resources that are a part of the district's plan to reduce the use of restraint.**

The Behavior MTSS Process will be a continuous part of our District-Wide goals/objectives and professional development/training component. TIER I--PBIS Tier I school-wide 3 day training, SMART 2.5 Training, Ruby Payne activities, Capturing Kids Hearts, PBS Coaches Quarterly Meetings, Classroom Management by FDLRS, PBIS Online Module FDLRS TIER II--PMP training, Dean and ISS training, social skills training, Tough Kids, Alternatives to suspension, Behavior Interventions Strategies, CPI-Verbal De-escalation, Challenging Behaviors (FDLRS), social thinking techniques TIER III -Behavior Focus and Social Thinking Training (32 hours), CPI, QBS, Trauma Informed Care, Intensive/Individuals interventions, SB1108 Behavioral Training (3 year guide), zones of regulation, parent training nights Interventions/Implementations: Positive Behavior Interventions Individualized Crisis Plans Preventative Strategies Community Based Instruction Create safe area and techniques for de-escalation Daily home/school communication Self-Advocacy Skills.

## Section A.7: District Plan Related to Reducing the Use of Seclusion

Does the district prohibit the use of seclusion?

- ☐ Yes  
☒ No

1. Even if the district prohibits the use of seclusion, if seclusion incidents occurred during the 2018-19 school year, the district will have a plan for reducing of the use of seclusion.

**If the district allows the use of seclusion, specify the district's measurable annual goal for the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years for reducing the number of incidents of seclusion (goal must include a percentage for reduction).**

Santa Rosa County Schools will decrease the number of incidents and number of students by 50%. The number of incidents has increased significantly from last school year, and we will continue to work diligently with the school communities on methods to avoid having to resort to seclusion at current frequency. The provision of District-Wide training on implementing Behavior MTSS methods to recognize the need for interventions, as well as strategies used in the Progress Monitor Plans, will continue so that there are assurances that ensure fidelity and persistent progress with targeted behaviors. District team will continue to analyze the data collected from school behavior teams and provide training for the staff at the particular school with high incidents and administration was held to review CPI de-escalation techniques, review of behavior intervention plans for each child with 2 or more incidents, and alternative strategies that needed to be utilized for aggressive behaviors within the setting. The District Behavior Team will work with any schools who may have new personnel that was added during this transitional period during November and December and the Program Facilitator for behavior will meet weekly with the team to train on the foundations of a behavior focus classroom, proactive strategies, and reviewing setting event causes. The Coordinator for Behavior and District ESE Behavior Team have developed Guidelines for Discipline and Restraints/Secured Seclusion. This information will be shared and implemented to school-based administrators, deans, instructional staff, and Behavior MTSS Teams. General recommendations embedded in the guidelines are as follows: - When managing discipline, always double check to verify if the student receives services or not. - Run frequent audits of ESE students' discipline events and suspensions at your school and consistently monitor ESE suspension days. - Have a copy of all Positive Behavior Intervention Plans (PBIPS) in your school. - Consider creating tracking systems for ESE discipline that fits your school's systems and needs. - If a student has an increase in behaviors, especially when OSS days are increasing, ensure the student is receiving behavior interventions through MTSS, a PMP is open, and data is being consistently tracked. - Physical Restraints and/or Secured Seclusion should only be used as a last resort, in situations of imminent danger to self or others, where less restrictive interventions have been attempted and were unsuccessful.

2. The district is required to have a plan for reducing the use of restraint, particularly in settings where it occurs frequently or with students who are restrained repeatedly, and for reducing the use of prone restraint and mechanical restraint. The plan must include a goal for reducing the use of restraint and must include activities, skills, and resources needed to achieve that goal. Charter schools, DJJ facilities, and contracted residential facilities must be included. Activities may include, but are not limited to, the following:

- a. Additional training in positive behavioral support and crisis management
- b. Parental involvement
- c. Data review
- d. Updates of students' Functional Behavioral Assessments (FBAs) and Positive Behavioral Intervention Plans (PBIPs)
- e. Additional student evaluations
- f. Debriefing with staff
- g. Use of schoolwide positive behavior support
- h. Changes to the school environment



**Total number of incidents of seclusion for the 2017-18 school year.**

Total number of incidents=58; Number of students = 25; Total number of ESE students = 3919

**Total number of incidents of seclusion for the 2018-19 and 2019-20 school years.**

2018-2019 Total number of incidents = 44 (16 students); Total number of ESE students = 3919. 2019-2020 - 112 (33 students); Total number of students 4,263.

**Indicate the percentage of increase or decrease in the 2018-19 and 2019-20 rates.**

There has been a increase in 68 incidents; Increase 154.50%; Rate from 2017-2018 Decrease in 14 incidents; decrease in number of students from 25 to 16; There was decrease of 25%.

**Provide a rationale for the district's increase or decrease in incidents when comparing the data.**

There was a 154.50% Increase in the use of seclusion this school year. The District Behavior Team continue to work with school-based administration and staff to promote Positive Behavior Supports and Intervention Strategies. We have also implemented Behavior Coaches in all schools with self-contained behavioral classrooms, encourage use of Behavior Progress Monitor Plans to allow teams to be proactive in their attempts to remediate and/or provide interventions for struggling students. The district has also made efforts to seek more intensive behavioral supports and have contracted a partnership with Camelot to allow students access to an environment that will offer intensive therapy and behavioral instruction that is infused throughout the school day.

**Note whether or not the district attained the 2018-19 goal for rate reduction of seclusion and the difference between 2018-19 percentage goal and the actual 2018-19 percentage rate.**

Previous data: 2018-2019: There has been a increase in 68 incidents; Increase 154.50%; Santa Rosa County Schools District goal was to decrease the number of incidents and number of students by 10-20%. In viewing the data, SRC, did not obtain this goal. The number has increased in the use of the approach and self-regulation in isolation but we will continue to work with the school communities on methods to avoid having to resort to seclusion. District-Wide training on implementing Behavior MTSS methods to recognize the need for interventions, as well as strategies used in the Progress Monitor Plans to ensure fidelity and persistent progress with targeted behaviors will be encouraged with fidelity.

**How many students in the district were secluded 15 or more times in the district? What were the specific activities, skills, and resources implemented to reduce these rates?**

We did not have any students in the district who were secluded 15 or more times.

3. Describe the district's procedures for ensuring that seclusion rooms meet the requirements of State Fire Marshal Rule 69A-58.0084, F.A.C., by addressing each of the following:

**Who coordinates the inspection conducted by the Fire Marshal?**

The assigned school administrator or designee.

**How is the safety of the seclusion rooms monitored?**

The secured seclusion rooms are monitored at least annually on the Santa Rosa County comprehensive safety report for each school.

**How are the results of the inspection reported to the district?**

The reports are submitted annually to the Risk Management Department.

**Describe the district's procedures for correction when a seclusion room is found to be in violation of State Fire Marshal Rule 69A-58.0084, F.A.C.**

If a room is found in violation of the State Fire Marshal Rule, a report is given to the building maintenance and a work order is completed to expedite the correction. Once the correction has been completed, it will be reported to the ESE Director and the school administrator that the room now meets the criteria of the code.

4. Describe the district's use of seclusion rooms by addressing each of the following.

**How many seclusion rooms does the district have that meet State Fire Marshal Rule 69A-58.0084, F.A.C.?**

37

**Where are the schools in which the seclusion rooms are located?**

Avalon Middle, Bagdad Elementary, Oriole Beach Elementary, Pace High, Pea Ridge Elementary, Rhodes Elementary, Berryhill Elementary, Chumuckla Elementary, Dixon Primary, Dixon Intermediate, East Milton Elementary, Gulf Breeze Elementary, Gulf Breeze Middle, Hobbs Middle, Holley Navarre Primary, Holley Navarre Intermediate, Woodlawn Beach Middle, Sims Middle, Bennett Russell Elementary, and Milton High School are schools that have State approved seclusion rooms.

**When are the seclusion rooms used?**

A secured seclusion room is used when a student is in imminent danger to him/herself or others and all positive behavioral interventions have been exhausted. If the student continues with dangerous behaviors, the seclusion room is used as a last resort.

**How are the seclusion rooms used?**

Seclusion rooms are used as a last resort and when it is clear that the student's behavior has escalated to a point where there is imminent danger to him/herself and/or others. The student is told why he/she is being placed in a seclusion room, what behavior is required to avoid future seclusion option, and parents are immediately informed of the time spent in the secluded area.

**Describe the data reviewed from the 2018-19 school year (which must include primary exceptionality and race or ethnicity of students secluded).**

2018-2019 Total # of Incidents: 44 Total # of Unique Students: 16 Grade Summary Grade # % of Incidents Grades PK-3 23 52.27% Grades 4-8 20 45.45% Grades 9-12 1 2.27% Race Ethnicity # % of Incidents White 98 87.50% Black/African American 9 8.04% Two or More Races 5 4.46% Native Hawaiian/Other 0 0.00% American Indian/Alaskan Native 0 0.00% Primary Disability # % of Incident Autism Spectrum Disorder 44 39.29% Emotional/Behavioral Disability 24 21.43% Developmentally Delayed 2 1.79% Other Health Impaired 37 33.04% 504 Only 1 0.89% Intellectual disability 0 0.00% Language impaired 1 0.89% Speech impaired 3 2.68%

**Describe how the data and the problem-solving process informed your district's plan to reduce the use of seclusion.**

•All teaching staff & paraprofessionals providing intensive ESE services are CPI certified in addition to the Dean and one School Administrator on each campus where the classrooms are located (i.e., Behavior Focus Classrooms (BFC), High Functioning Autism Access Classrooms (ASDHF), Curriculum, Behavior, Social, Academic Classrooms (CBSA) •Everyone noted above is required (mandatory) to attend the initial 12 hour training and must be re-certified every 3 years. In addition, CPI Refresher training for returning staff is provided yearly. •On-going training and supports are provided between trainings, as needed, by the district-level Behavior Program Facilitators.

**Describe how the data and the problem-solving process determined the measurable annual goal for the reduction of seclusion for the 2019-20 and 2020-21 school years.**

Santa Rosa County Schools will decrease the number of incidents and number of students by 50%. The number has increased significantly since last school year, and we will continue to work diligently and proactively with the school communities on methods to avoid having to resort to seclusion. District-Wide training on implementing Behavior MTSS methods to recognize the need for interventions, as well as strategies used in the Progress Monitor Plans to ensure fidelity and persistent progress with targeted behaviors. District team will continue to analyze the data collected from school behavior teams and provide training for the staff at the particular school with high incidents and administration was held to review CPI de-escalation techniques, review of behavior intervention plans for each child with 2 or more incidents, and alternative strategies that needed to be utilized for aggressive behaviors within the setting. The District Behavior Team will work with any schools who may have new personnel that was added during this transitional period during November and December and the Program Facilitator for behavior will meet weekly with the team to train on the foundations of a behavior focus classroom, proactive strategies, and reviewing setting event causes. Once the District team analyzed the data in December of 2019 and Spring of 2020, a training for the staff at the particular school with high incidents and administration was held in January to review CPI de-escalation techniques, review of behavior intervention plans for each child with 2 or more incidents, and alternative strategies that needed to be utilized for aggressive behaviors within the setting. The team had new personnel that was added during this transitional period during November and December and the Program Facilitator for behavior met weekly with the team to train on the foundations of a behavior focus classroom, proactive strategies, and reviewing setting event causes. However, with on-going professional development on de-escalation, Behavior MTSS Modules and school-wide training, and district-wide training on creating and implementing behavior Progress Monitoring Plans we anticipate a decrease in the use of seclusion in the future.

The following are examples of activities that may be considered for the purpose of reducing the use of seclusion.

- Implement student-specific strategies such as: reviewing IEPs and Section 504 plans; conducting evaluations or reevaluations and FBAs; evaluating the effectiveness of PBIPs and health care plans specific to individual students' responses and progress
- Implement district and school strategies for increasing parental involvement
- Introduce or strengthen MTSS, which could include schoolwide positive behavioral support
- Provide additional professional development training in positive behavioral support and crisis management
- Problem solve with school administrators to make data-driven decisions regarding school environments

**Describe the activities that are a part of the district's plan to reduce the use of seclusion.**

Santa Rosa Behavioral MTSS Rubric Tier 1 Training \* PBIS TIER I School- wide 3 day training \* SMART 2.5 Training \* Ruby Payne \* Capturing Kids \* PBS Coaches Quarterly Meetings Classroom Management FDLRS \* PBIS Online Module FDLRS \* Trauma Informed Care Training \* Mental Health and First Aid Training \* Suite 360 Training for Secondary Schools \* Champtions Curriculum Interventions/Implementation \* School- Wide Expectations Given, Taught, and Posted \* Classroom Expectations and Management plan is provided from each teacher \* Reward System Scheduled based on age appropriate reinforcers \* School-Wide Cohesive Consequence System of Teacher Referrals and ODR's \* Involve parents and community business for implementation and financial support \* Data Driven Decisions based Teacher Referrals and Office Discipline referrals (location, times, grades, offenses) \* Fidelity and Implementation needs to be established with 75% or higher in the school with all faculty and staff. Tier II \* PMP Training \* Dean and ISS Training \* Social Skills Training \* Tough Kids \* Tier II Training USF/PBIS \* Alternatives to suspension \* Tier II Behavior Interventions Strategies (2019) \* CPI – Verbal De-escalation \* Challenging Behaviors FDLRS \* Social Thinking Techniques Intervention/Implementation \* Targeted Behaviors and Setting events are established through Progress Monitoring Plan in SMART \* Utilizes data graphing tool for targeted behavior expectations \* Implement Daily/Weekly Data Collection and Self- Monitoring based on School expectations \* Function of Behavior to match reinforcer \* Reinforces Weekly or Daily for Expectations of Appropriate Behaviors \* District is notified at Tier II 2nd intervention to informally review interventions given and linking to Function \* Intervention included but not limited to: Behavior Contract, CICO, Social Skills implementation, Environmental Modifications, FAST PASS, Mentoring, Ripple Effects, Teach Town, Social Skill Builders, My School Day, Social Detective (2017) SS Grin, Restorative Justice, Reflection/Refocus Sheets, Visual Schedule, Providing Choice, Small Group Social Skills with CDAC, Social Behavior Contracts, ISS re-teaching programs and other possible small group interventions Tier III Training \* Behavior Focus and Social Thinking Training (32.5 hours) \* CPI (12 hours) \* Trauma Informed Care \* Intensive/Individual interventions \* SB 1108 Behavioral Training 3 year Guide \* Zones of Regulation \* Parent Training Nights \* Student training in social media accountability, multiple intelligences, job preferences, employability skills, drug and alcohol, and establishing personal and transitional goals Intervention/Implementation \* Positive Behavior Intervention Plan (BPIP)/ Individual Plan \* Individualized Crisis Plan, Preventative Strategies, and Replacement Behaviors \* Daily Reinforcers are presented with given contingencies \* Intervention are delivered to a very small group 1:3 or students individually for behavioral needs \* Community Based Instruction to generalize appropriate behaviors and empathy training for students \* Utilize SB1108 for supports with private therapists and treatment plans \* Create "safe" area and techniques for de-escalation \* Contract School Mental Health Counselor for outside psychological/ psychiatric needs/ One on one counseling \* Daily home/school communication through daily sheet \* Daily Social Skills Training with Skills Streaming/SS Grin/ Positive Pragmatics/ Ripple Effects \* Teach self-advocacy skills through RENEW program \* Group Contingency Field Trips to generalize social skills training as a team \* PILOT/ school entrepreneurial programs/ vocation \* Agency Linkages (VR, WIOA, SEDNeT, Project 10, APD, CARD) \* Behavior Focus (3:1 ratio) and Social Thinking Classroom \* Interventions include but not limited to: Zones of Regulation, Anxiety Boxes Level/Reward System, Small Group/Environmental Modifications, expected and unexpected behavior mapping, Motivational Interviewing (2017) Self Advocating Techniques with Refocus Sheet, De-escalation Techniques, Social Stories, Daily/2x Daily Social Skills, Increased Supervision/3:1, Social/Behavior Contracts, Positive Behavior Intervention Plan/FBA, Behavior After School.

**Describe the resources that are a part of the district's plan to reduce the use of seclusion.**

Santa Rosa Behavioral MTSS Rubric Tier 1 Training \* PBIS TIER I School- wide 3 day training \* SMART 2.5 Training \* Ruby Payne \* Capturing Kids \* PBS Coaches Quarterly Meetings Classroom Management FDLRS \* PBIS Online Module FDLRS \* Trauma Informed Care Training \* Mental Health and First Aid Training \* Suite 360 Training for Secondary Schools \* Champtions Curriculum Interventions/Implementation \* School- Wide Expectations Given, Taught, and Posted \* Classroom Expectations and Management plan is provided from each teacher \* Reward System Scheduled based on age appropriate reinforcers \* School-Wide Cohesive Consequence System of Teacher Referrals and ODR's \* Involve parents and community business for implementation and financial support \* Data Driven Decisions based Teacher Referrals and Office Discipline referrals (location, times, grades, offenses) \* Fidelity and Implementation needs to be established with 75% or higher in the school with all faculty and staff. Tier II \* PMP Training \* Dean and ISS Training \* Social Skills Training \* Tough Kids \* Tier II Training USF/PBIS \* Alternatives to suspension \* Tier II Behavior Interventions Strategies (2019) \* CPI – Verbal De-escalation \* Challenging Behaviors FDLRS \* Social Thinking Techniques Intervention/Implementation \* Targeted Behaviors and Setting events are established through Progress Monitoring Plan in SMART \* Utilizes data graphing tool for targeted behavior expectations \* Implement Daily/Weekly Data Collection and Self- Monitoring based on School expectations \* Function of Behavior to match reinforcer \* Reinforces Weekly or Daily for Expectations of Appropriate Behaviors \* District is notified at Tier II 2nd intervention to informally review interventions given and linking to Function \* Intervention included but not limited to: Behavior Contract, CICO, Social Skills implementation, Environmental Modifications, FAST PASS, Mentoring, Ripple Effects, Teach Town, Social Skill Builders, My School Day, Social Detective (2017) SS Grin, Restorative Justice, Reflection/Refocus Sheets, Visual Schedule, Providing Choice, Small Group Social Skills with CDAC, Social Behavior Contracts, ISS re-teaching programs and other possible small group interventions Tier III Training \* Behavior Focus and Social Thinking Training (32.5 hours) \* CPI (12 hours) \* Trauma Informed Care \* Intensive/Individual interventions \* SB 1108 Behavioral Training 3 year Guide \* Zones of Regulation \* Parent Training Nights \* Student training in social media accountability, multiple intelligences, job preferences, employability skills, drug and alcohol, and establishing personal and transitional goals Intervention/Implementation \* Positive Behavior Intervention Plan (BPIP)/ Individual Plan \* Individualized Crisis Plan, Preventative Strategies, and Replacement Behaviors \* Daily Reinforcers are presented with given contingencies \* Intervention are delivered to a very small group 1:3 or students individually for behavioral needs \* Community Based Instruction to generalize appropriate behaviors and empathy training for students \* Utilize SB1108 for supports with private therapists and treatment plans \* Create "safe" area and techniques for de-escalation \* Contract School Mental Health Counselor for outside psychological/ psychiatric needs/ One on one counseling \* Daily home/school communication through daily sheet \* Daily Social Skills Training with Skills Streaming/SS Grin/ Positive Pragmatics/ Ripple Effects \* Teach self-advocacy skills through RENEW program \* Group Contingency Field Trips to generalize social skills training as a team \* PILOT/ school entrepreneurial programs/ vocation \* Agency Linkages (VR, WIOA, SEDNeT, Project 10, APD, CARD) \* Behavior Focus (3:1 ratio) and Social Thinking Classroom \* Interventions include but not limited to: Zones of Regulation, Anxiety Boxes Level/Reward System, Small Group/Environmental Modifications, expected and unexpected behavior mapping, Motivational Interviewing (2017) Self Advocating Techniques with Refocus Sheet, De-escalation Techniques, Social Stories, Daily/2x Daily Social Skills, Increased Supervision/3:1, Social/Behavior Contracts, Positive Behavior Intervention Plan/FBA, Behavior After School.

## Section B.1: Assurances – Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE)

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

Title 34 CFR §§99.7, 300.111, 300.172, 300.226, 300.613-300.621 and 300.646

Chapters 468, 486, 490 and 491, F.S.

Sections 393.17, 627.6686, 641.31098, 1002.20, 1002.22, 1003.4282, 1003.57, 1003.572, 1006.03, 1011.62, 1012.32 and 1012.321, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.0955, 6A-6.03028 and 6A-6.0311, F.A.C.

### Full Educational Opportunity Goal (FEOG)

The district assures provision of full educational opportunity to all children with disabilities, aged three through 21, using the kind and number of facilities, personnel, and services necessary to meet this goal. A Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) is available to all students with disabilities upon determination of need.

### Information to be Provided at Initial Meeting of a Student's IEP Team

In accordance with s. 1003.57(1)(j), F.S., the district school board shall provide each parent with information regarding the amount that the school district receives from the state appropriation for each of the five exceptional student education support levels for a full-time student. The school district shall provide this information at the initial meeting of a student's Individual Educational Plan (IEP) team.

### Ages of Students Served

**One of the following must be selected. For students with disabilities who have not graduated with a standard diploma, the district will:**

- ☐ Provide services until the day the student turns twenty-two (22)
- ☐ Provide services until the end of the semester in which the student turns twenty-two (22)
- ☒ Provide services through the last instructional day of the school year for all students in the district in which the student turns twenty-two (22), provided that the student was twenty-one (21) years old on the first instructional day of school for all students in the district

**One of the following must be selected. Indicate if the district (including charter schools) serves infants and toddlers with disabilities, ages birth through two, in collaboration with Local Early Steps:**

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

**Note:** Districts may provide FAPE to a child who will turn three during the school year. If this is the only circumstance for which the district would provide services to a child who is two years of age, **no** should be checked.

**One of the following must be selected. Indicate if the district (including charter schools) serves prekindergarten children with disabilities, ages three through five:**

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

## Section B.2: Parental Input and Meetings

### Parental Input and Meetings

In accordance with section 1002.20(21)(a), F.S., Meetings with school district personnel, parents of public school students may be accompanied by another adult of their choice at any meeting with school district personnel. School district personnel may not object to the attendance of such adult or discourage or attempt to discourage, through any action, statement, or other means, the parents of students with disabilities from inviting another person of their choice to attend any meeting. Such prohibited actions include, but are not limited to, attempted or actual coercion or harassment of parents or students or retaliation or threats of consequences to parents or students.

1. Such meetings include, but are not limited to, meetings related to: the eligibility for exceptional student education or related services; the development of an individual family support plan (IFSP); the development of an IEP; the development of a 504 accommodation plan issued under s. 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; the transition of a student from early intervention services to other services; the development of postsecondary goals for a student with a disability and the transition services needed to reach those goals; and other issues that may affect the educational environment, discipline, or placement of a student with a disability.
2. The parents and school district personnel attending the meeting shall sign a document at the meeting's conclusion stating whether any school district personnel have prohibited, discouraged or attempted to discourage the parents from inviting a person of their choice to the meeting.

**One of the following must be selected:**

- ☒ I have read and understand the above information.
- ☐ This section is not applicable for the Department of Corrections.

## Section B.3: Collaboration of Public and Private Instructional Personnel

### Collaboration of Public and Private Instructional Personnel

Section 1003.572, F.S., provides:

1. As used in this section, the term "private instructional personnel" means:
  - a. Individuals certified under s. 393.17 or licensed under chapter 490 or chapter 491 for applied behavior analysis services as defined in ss. 627.6686 and 641.31098 ,F.S.
  - b. Speech-language pathologists licensed under s. 468.1185.
  - c. Occupational therapists licensed under part III of chapter 468.
  - d. Physical therapists licensed under chapter 486.
  - e. Psychologists licensed under chapter 490.
  - f. Clinical social workers licensed under chapter 491.
2. The collaboration of public and private instructional personnel shall be designed to enhance but not supplant the school district's responsibilities under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). The school as the local education agency shall provide therapy services to meet the expectations provided in federal law and regulations and state statutes and rules. Collaboration of public and private instructional personnel will work to promote educational progress and assist students in acquiring essential skills, including, but not limited to, readiness for pursuit of higher education goals or employment. Where applicable, public and private instructional personnel shall undertake collaborative programming. Coordination of services and plans between a public school and private instructional personnel is encouraged to avoid duplication or conflicting services or plans.
3. Private instructional personnel who are hired or contracted by parents to collaborate with public instructional personnel must be permitted to observe the student in the educational setting, collaborate with instructional personnel in the educational setting, and provide services in the educational setting according to the following requirements:
  - a. The student's public instructional personnel and principal consent to the time and place.
  - b. The private instructional personnel satisfy the requirements of s. 1012.32 or s. 1012.321, F.S.
4. For the purpose of implementing this subsection, a school district may not impose any requirements beyond those requirements specified in this subsection or charge any fees.
5. The provision of private instructional personnel by a parent does not constitute a waiver of the student's or parent's right to a free and appropriate public education under IDEA.

### Written Agreements

The district assures that written agreements are on file in the district for multi-district programs and for the assignment of instructional personnel to a facility operated by another agency or organization. These written agreements have been developed and approved by all participating school boards or agencies. Each such agreement, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0311, F.A.C., includes but is not limited to:

1. Designating responsibilities for the implementation of district procedures
2. Providing transportation
3. Providing program and staff supervision
4. Funding programs
5. Dissolving the agreement



Written agreements are on file for the provision of special education and related services to this district's exceptional students through multi-district programs.

- ☐ Yes  
☒ No

If the answer to the above question is yes, include the name(s) of the district(s) providing services and the types of ESE services provided by each district.

|

Written agreements are on file for the provision of special education and related services to exceptional students from other districts through multi-district programs.

- ☐ Yes  
☒ No

If the answer to the above question is yes, include the name(s) of the district(s) receiving services and the types of ESE services provided for each district.

|

Agreements for assigning instructional personnel to a facility operated by other agencies or organizations are on file in this district.

- ☐ Yes  
☒ No

If the answer to the above question is yes, include the name of each agency and the instructional personnel assigned for each facility.

|

## Section B.4: Department of Juvenile Justice Facilities

### Department of Juvenile Justice Facilities

#### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

Sections 1002.42, 1003.01, 1003.52, 1003.57, 1003.573, 1011.62 and 1012.42, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.045111, 6A-1.0503, 6A-6.0334, 6A-6.0361 and 6A-6.05281, F.A.C.

The district school board of the county in which the residential or nonresidential Department of Juvenile Justice facility is located shall provide appropriate educational assessments and an appropriate program of instruction and special education services, including all services and documentation required by federal and state laws. Districts have the option of providing the educational services directly or may enter into a contract with a private provider to provide educational services.

In accordance with section 1003.01(11)(b), F.S., "Juvenile justice provider" means the Department of Juvenile Justice, the sheriff, or a private, public, or other governmental organization under contract with the Department of Juvenile Justice or the sheriff that provides treatment, care and custody, or educational programs for youth in juvenile justice intervention, detention, or commitment programs.

#### How does the district provide educational programs for students with disabilities in the district's county jail?

The District provides educational programs for students with disabilities in the District's County Jail and/or DJJ Facility by designating a Program Facilitator for Behavior to assist with the development and/or implementation of the Individual Educational Plan (IEP) for the detained student. A collaborative effort is established to ensure student receives appropriate curriculum as determined by exceptionality and grade level, as well as necessary accommodations required to access curriculum/educational resources. The students involved in the DJJ Facility would have comparable services from FAPE as all students enrolled in SRC. We provide FAPE to all students through their 22nd birthday.

Districts that enter into a contract with a private provider are responsible for oversight. For exceptional students, districts should ensure that exceptional students have a current individual educational plan (IEP), that the IEP contains measurable annual goals (including academic and functional), that the IEP is being implemented, that parents are invited to the IEP team meeting, and that the appropriate team members are present at the meeting.

#### Placement in a residential facility of a student with a disability by a public agency other than the school district

1. In accordance with s. 1003.57(3), F.S., an exceptional student with a disability may be placed in a private residential care facility by the Department of Children and Families, Agency for Persons with Disabilities, or Agency for Health Care Administration. For this purpose, "placement" is defined as the funding or arrangement of funding by an agency for all or a part of the cost for an exceptional student with a disability to reside in a private residential care facility and the placement crosses school district lines.
2. The private residential care facility, or a residential facility that is operated, licensed, or regulated by a public agency shall ensure that, within 10 business days of a student with a disability being placed in the facility, written notification of the placement is provided to the school district where the student is currently enrolled and counted for funding purposes under s. 1011.62, F.S. (sending school district), and the school district where the residential facility is located (receiving school district). If the student is not currently counted for funding purposes in the school district in which the legal residence of the student is located, the school district in which the legal residence of the student is located also shall be notified by the residential facility in writing within the required timeline. The placing agency shall collaborate with the residential facility to determine how that notification will be provided within the required timeline.
3. In accordance with subsection (3) of Rule 6A-6.0334, F.A.C., the sending school district shall take reasonable steps to promptly respond to the residential facility's request for transmittal of the student's educational records. If the student's placement in the residential care facility occurs while the notification and procedures regarding payment are pending, the student shall remain enrolled in the sending school district and the sending school district shall collaborate with the residential care facility to ensure that the student receives a free and appropriate public education, special education, and related services, including services comparable to those described in the current IEP, until the notification and procedures regarding payment are completed.

Each school district is responsible for assuring the proposed program at the nonpublic school or community facility is appropriate to meet the educational needs of the exceptional student with a disability, or early intervention needs of the infant or toddler with a disability, placed through a contractual agreement. This is not meant to limit the responsibility of agencies in the state other than the district school boards from providing or paying some or all of the cost of a free appropriate public education or early intervention services to be provided to children with disabilities ages birth through 21 years.

## Contractual Arrangements with Private Schools

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

Section 1003.52, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.

1. Each school district shall provide special education and related services to an exceptional student with a disability through a contractual agreement with an approved nonpublic school or community facility under **any** of the following circumstances:
  - a. When the school district determines that no special educational program offered by the district, a cooperating school district, or a state agency can adequately meet the educational program needs for a student
  - b. For the provision of the educational component of a residential placement for an exceptional student with a disability when such a placement is made by another public agency for the primary purpose of addressing residential or other noneducational needs. The student's IEP may reflect that the residential placement is not required for the student to benefit from special education that could otherwise be provided by the school district during the day
  - c. For the provision of a non-residential interagency program for an exceptional student with a disability that provides educational programming in accordance with the student's IEP
  - d. In collaboration with the Part C Early Steps Program for the provision of early intervention services for an infant or toddler with a disability when the school district has determined that a nonpublic or community facility can provide appropriate services for the infant or toddler in accordance with an Individualized Family Support Plan (IFSP)

The requirements of this subsection do not apply when a school district provides educational assessments and a program of instruction and special education services to students in the custody of Department of Juvenile Justice programs who are served in residential and nonresidential care facilities and juvenile assessment facilities located in the school district in accordance with section 1003.52(3), F.S.

### District Responsibilities

1. Before the school district executes a contract with a nonpublic school or community facility, the school district will determine that the school or facility:
  - a. Has qualified personnel as defined in Rule 6A-1.0503, F.A.C., or appropriate licensing entities and appoints noncertified instructional personnel according to the policies required in Rule 6A-1.0502, F.A.C. Personnel in an out-of-state nonpublic school or community facility shall be certified or licensed in accordance with the standards established by the state in which the nonpublic school or community facility is located.
  - b. Provides instructional school day and year consistent with s. 1011.61, F.S, taking into account the number of school hours or school days provided by the school district.
  - c. Maintains current sanitation and health certificates and fire inspections for each appropriate building and will be open for inspection by appropriate authorities.
  - d. Protects the confidentiality of student records and information and assures the provision to the parent or student whose rights have transferred upon reaching the age of majority (age 18), the right of access, copies, amendments, and hearings as specified in Rule 6A-1.0955, F.A.C.
  - e. Designates staff member to be responsible for the administration of the provisions of the contract and supervision of the educational program provided to each student, or early intervention services provided to each child age birth through two years, under the contract.

- f. Has written procedures for admission, dismissal, and separation of students, if appropriate.
- g. Has a written description of the support services that are available and will be provided to each student placed under a contract in accordance with each student's IEP or each child's IFSP.
- h. Has written policies concerning: care of the student in emergencies; clinical and administrative records; personnel policies; staff duties; fee schedules; food services; and insurance coverage.
- i. Complies with requirements of: the Office for Civil Rights (OCR); the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA); Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972; the Age Discrimination Act of 1975; the Boy Scouts of America Equal Access Act (Section 9525 of the Elementary and Secondary Act of 1965, as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001).
- j. Files reports with the Department of Education as prescribed in s. 1002.42, F.S., if applicable.

## Contents of Contract

1. A contract between a district school board and a nonpublic school or community facility to provide educational programs for an exceptional student with a disability, or early intervention services to a child with a disability age birth through two, shall not extend beyond the school district's fiscal year, and shall include at least the following:
  - a. Written assurance that the nonpublic school or community facility is staffed by qualified personnel as defined by rule 6A-1.0503, F.A.C., or an appropriate and identified licensing entity.
  - b. A description of the scope of service provided by the nonpublic school or community facility and how it relates to the IEP of the exceptional student with a disability or the IFSP of the infant or toddler with a disability.
  - c. Provision for reporting to appropriate school district personnel and the parent on the student's progress in meeting the annual goals in accordance with the IEP or the child's and family's progress in meeting the major outcomes in accordance with the IFSP.
  - d. Provision for appropriate school personnel to review the program provided by the nonpublic school or community facility and to confer with the staff of the nonpublic school or community facility at reasonable times.
  - e. Provision for reporting to appropriate school district personnel any non-attendance of the exceptional student with a disability or the infant or toddler with a disability.
  - f. Provision for notifying appropriate school district personnel and the parent of the use of seclusion or restraint of the student, in accordance with section 1003.573, F.S.
  - g. The method of determining charges and sharing costs with other agencies for the placements under the contract, including the projected total cost to the school district.
  - h. Identification of financial responsibility.
  - i. Method of resolving interagency disputes. Such methods may be initiated by district school boards to secure reimbursement from other agencies.
  - j. A schedule for review of the program being provided to the exceptional student with a disability or the infant or toddler with a disability, through the contract.
  - k. Provision for terminating the contract.
  - l. Written assurance of compliance with applicable provisions of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1974, and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

## Additional District Responsibilities

When contracting with a nonpublic school or community facility, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., the school district shall be responsible for at least the following:

1. Selecting an appropriate nonpublic school or facility in consultation with the parent and other appropriate agency personnel
2. Providing for transportation for students age three through 21 years
3. Maintaining a case file including progress reports and periodic evaluations of the exceptional student with a disability, or infant or toddler with a disability
4. Verifying that the child is a resident of the school district and is enrolled in, or has made application for admittance to, a school district program
5. Providing for the cost of the student's educational program or early intervention services as specified in the contract
6. Maintaining documentation of the qualifications of personnel in nonpublic schools or community facilities as required in Rule 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., or by the appropriate licensing entity, including the out-of-field notification requirements of s. 1012.42, F.S.
7. Providing an appropriate educational program for the student in the least restrictive environment based on an annual or more frequent review of the student's IEP, or early intervention services in a natural environment based on a six-month or more frequent review of the child's IFSP
8. Maintaining copies of the IEPs or IFSPs in the district and providing copies of the IEPs of students who are in residential placements to the Department of Education, Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services
9. Reporting, data collection, and monitoring the use of seclusion or restraint of the student, in accordance with s.1003.573, F.S.

## Section B.5: Florida Educational Finance Program (FEFP) Funds

### Florida Educational Finance Program (FEFP) Funds

When an exceptional student with a disability, or infant or toddler with a disability, is enrolled in a nonpublic school or community facility program under contractual arrangement for providing a special educational program or early intervention services as provided herein, the student, or infant or toddler, shall generate FEFP funds for the school district in the appropriate cost categories as established in s. 1011.62, F.S., as outlined below.

1. The nonpublic school or community facility program meets the criteria referenced under **District Responsibilities** in Part I, Section B.4.
2. The student is regularly attending the program, and the length of the school day and minimum number of days are in compliance with Rule 6A-1.045111, F.A.C.
3. The student is appropriately identified as an exceptional student with a disability by the school district, or the infant or toddler has been determined eligible as an infant or toddler with a disability by the Part C Early Steps Program, but does not include students identified solely as gifted.
4. An IEP or IFSP for the student has been developed as required.
5. Full-time equivalent student membership for each exceptional student with a disability, or infant or toddler with a disability, under a contractual arrangement is included in the school district's report of membership.
6. Annually and prior to the first report of full-time equivalent membership for a student in a residential placement in a nonpublic or community facility program, a copy of the contracts signed by all participating parties shall be filed with the Department of Education, Division of Public Schools, Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services, 325 West Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399.

When a school district contracts for the educational component of a residential placement for a group of students, one (1) contract with student names or individual contracts shall be filed.

#### Notes:

When an exceptional student with a disability is offered an appropriate educational program by the school district and the parent waives his opportunity in favor of a nonpublic program selected by the parent, the parent shall assume full financial responsibility for the student's education.

Section 1003.57(2)(a), F.S., states, "an exceptional student with a disability who resides in a residential facility and receives special instruction or services is considered a resident of the state in which the student's parent is a resident." The statute further indicates that nonresident students with disabilities being serviced in residential facilities "may not be reported by any school district for FTE funding in the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP)."

**The district contracts for special education and related services with nonpublic schools, residential facilities, or community facilities.**

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

If **yes**, describe the district's procedures for the following:

**Determining that the school or facility meets the required criteria before a contract with a nonpublic school or community facility is completed.**

|

**Maintaining documentation of the qualifications of personnel in nonpublic schools or community facilities as required in Rule 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., or by the appropriate licensing entity, including the out-of-field notification requirements of s. 1012.42, F.S.**

**Maintaining copies of the IEPs or IFSPs in the district and providing copies of the IEPs of students who are in residential placements to the Florida Department of Education, Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services.**

## **Section B.6: Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Students**

### **Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Students**

The school district assures that LEP students who are also students with disabilities have programming and services pursuant to federal and state laws and regulations

## **Section B.7: Child Find**

### **Child Find**

1. The State has assigned to local school districts and the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System (FDLRS) associate centers the responsibility for fully informing parents about the requirements of identifying, locating, and evaluating students with disabilities in accordance with 34 CFR §300.111 and ss. 1006.03 and 1003.57, F.S.
2. The focus for FDLRS's child find activities is children birth to five years of age and children attending **nonpublic** schools. FDLRS also serves as a link between school districts and the identification, location, and evaluation services of the local Early Steps programs, county health units, Head Start, Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind (FSDB), and the individual school districts.
  - a. In addition to these functions, FDLRS centers have been authorized to provide testing and evaluation services to nonpublic school pupils or other children who are not enrolled in public schools and to assist districts in providing testing and evaluation services for high-risk or infants and preschool children with disabilities.
3. For parentally-placed private school students, the district in which the private school is located has the responsibility for child find if the private school is **nonprofit**. If the private school is **for-profit**, the district of the student's residence has the child find responsibility.

## Section B.8: Confidentiality of Student Records

### Confidentiality of Student Records

In accordance with 20 United States Code (U.S.C.) §1232g, 34 CFR §§300.613–300.621, s. 1002.22, F.S., and Rule 6A-1.0955, F.A.C., the district assures that a formal policy is in place to guarantee the confidentiality of student records. This policy includes the following:

#### 1. Access rights

- a. The district will permit parents to inspect and review any educational records relating to their children that are collected, maintained, or used by the district, without unnecessary delay and before any meeting regarding an IEP, IFSP, or educational plan (EP), or any hearing relating to the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child, or the provision of FAPE to the student, and in no case more than 30 days from the request. The parent has the right to:
  - A response from the district for reasonable explanation and interpretation of the records
  - Request that the district provide copies of the records if failure to do so would deprive the parent of the right to review the records
  - Have a representative of the parent inspect and review the records
- b. The district presumes that the parent has authority to inspect and review records relating to that parent's child unless otherwise advised that the parent does not have such authority.
- c. The district keeps a record of parties obtaining access to student records, other than the parent or authorized district or school employees, which includes the name of the party, the date access was given, and the purpose for which the party is authorized to use the records.
- d. When the educational record includes information about more than one student, the parent may review the information relating only to that parent's child.
- e. The district will provide the parent, upon request, a list of the types and locations of educational records relating to that parent's child.
- f. The district may charge a fee for copies of records if the fee does not prevent the parent from accessing the records. A search or retrieval fee may not be charged.

#### 2. Amendment of student records

- a. The student's parent who believes that information within the student's educational records contains inaccurate or misleading information, or violates the privacy or other rights of the child, may request that the district amend the information.
- b. The district will decide whether to amend the information in accordance with the request within a reasonable period of time.
- c. If the district refuses to amend the information, it will inform the parent of the refusal and advise the parent of the right to a hearing, in accordance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) of 1974.
- d. If, as a result of the hearing, the district decides that the information is inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the privacy or other rights of the child, it will amend the record accordingly and inform the parent in writing.
- e. If, as a result of the hearing, the district decides that the information is not inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the privacy or other rights of the child, it will inform the parent of the right to place in the record a statement commenting on the information or setting forth any reason for disagreement with the decision of the district.
- f. Any explanation placed in the student's record will be maintained by the district as part of the student's record as long as the district maintains the record or the contested portion. If the record is disclosed by the agency to any party, the explanation will also be disclosed.

#### 3. Consent



- a. Parental consent will be obtained before personally identifiable information is disclosed to anyone other than officials of the district or other party with a legitimate interest in the record, or as specifically authorized by FERPA and s. 1002.22, F.S.
- b. Parental consent or the consent of an eligible student, who has reached the age of majority, must be obtained before personally identifiable information is released to officials of participating agencies that provide or pay for transition services.
- c. Parental consent or the consent of an eligible student, who has reached the age of majority, must be obtained before any personally identifiable information about a child is released between school district officials where a private school is located and officials in the school district of the parent's residence in situations involving parentally placed private school students.

#### 4. Safeguards

- a. The district will protect the confidentiality of personally identifiable information during the collection, storage, disclosure, and destruction of records.
- b. The principal or designee at each school assumes responsibility for ensuring confidentiality of student records.
- c. All persons using or collecting personally identifiable information must receive training in confidentiality procedures.
- d. The district will maintain for public inspection a current listing of the names and positions of those employees within the district who have access to personally identifiable information.

#### 5. Destruction of information

- a. The district will inform parents when personally identifiable information is no longer needed to provide education services to the student. This information must be destroyed at the request of the parent.
- b. A permanent record of the student's name, address, telephone number, grades, attendance record, classes attended, grade level completed, and year completed may be maintained without time limitation.

#### 6. Annual written notice to parents

- a. The district will provide annual written notice to inform the adult student, or the parent or guardian, of the rights defined in s. 1002.22, F.S., and 34 CFR §99.7. Items to be included in the notice are:
  - The right to review and inspect the student's education records, including the procedures to exercise this right
  - The right to seek amendment of the student's education records that the parent or eligible student believes to be inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the student's privacy rights, including the procedures to request an amendment
  - The right to consent to disclosure of personally identifiable information contained in the student's education records, except to the extent that FERPA and state statute permits disclosure without consent
  - The right to file a complaint with the U.S. Department of Education concerning alleged failures by the district to comply with the requirements of FERPA
- b. The district will have developed alternate methods of notice for informing adult students or the parent or guardian unable to comprehend a written notice in English.

#### 7. Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE)

The district assures that FAPE is available to all students with disabilities residing in the district between the ages of three and 22 years, including: students with disabilities who have been suspended or expelled from school; students with disabilities who have graduated with a special diploma or certificate of completion, but have not attained the age of 22; students in the care and custody of DJJ, and students with disabilities who attend public charter schools. FAPE is also available to students identified as gifted in kindergarten through Grade 12. FAPE no longer applies to students who have graduated from high school with a standard diploma and do not defer receipt of the diploma in accordance with s. 1003.4282(11)(c), F.S. A standard diploma does not include an alternative degree that is fully aligned with the state's academic standards, such as a certificate of completion or a General Educational Development credential (GED), in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03028(1)(a), F.A.C.

## 8. Transition from Part C to Part B

Children participating in early intervention programs under Part C, who will participate in prekindergarten programs under Part B, will experience a smooth and effective transition to the prekindergarten program for children with disabilities. By the child's third birthday, an IEP or IFSP is developed and implemented. A representative of the school district participates in transition planning conferences arranged by Children's Medical Services (CMS), and Local Early Steps, the designated lead agency for Part C.

## 9. Funding formula

The district assures that, in accordance with s. 1011.62, F.S., in order to generate funds using one of the two weighted ESE cost factors, a new matrix of services form is completed by trained personnel at the time of initial placement and at least once every three years. Additionally, the district ensures that matrices reflect current services. If services change as the result of an IEP team decision, the district will complete a new matrix. The nature and intensity of the services indicated on the matrix is consistent with the services described in each student's IEP, IFSP, or EP. Nothing listed in the matrix limits the services the school district provides in order to ensure that exceptional students are provided a free appropriate public education.

Students identified as exceptional who do not have a matrix of services will generate funds on the basis of full-time equivalent student membership in the FEFP at the same funding level per student as provided for basic students. These students will be reported at 111 for grades prekindergarten through 3, 112 for grades 4 through 8, and 113 for grades 9 through 12. Additional funding for these students is provided through the ESE Guaranteed Allocation component of the FEFP.

## Section B.9: Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CEIS)

### Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CEIS)

**IDEA regulations, 34 CFR §300.226, permit an local educational agency (LEA) to voluntarily use up to 15 percent of Part B funds to develop and implement coordinated early intervening services.** CEIS is for students who have not been identified as students with disabilities under IDEA, but who have been identified as needing additional academic and behavioral supports to succeed in general education.

CEIS may be used for:

- Direct instruction of students in kindergarten through Grade 12, with a particular emphasis on students in kindergarten through Grade three;
- Professional development for teachers and other school staff for the delivery of scientifically based academic instruction and behavioral interventions, including scientifically based literacy instruction and instruction in the use of adaptive and instructional software; and
- Educational and behavioral evaluations, services and supports.

Any LEA that uses Part B funds for coordinated early intervening services must annually report to the State Educational Agency (SEA) the number of students served by CEIS.

**The SEA may require an LEA to reserve 15 percent of its Part B funds for CEIS**, when significant disproportionately based on race or ethnicity is determined according to IDEA regulations 34 CFR §300.646(b)(2).

## **Section B.10: National Instructional Materials Access Center (NIMAC)**

### **National Instructional Materials Access Center (NIMAC)**

#### **Statutory and Regulatory Citations**

34 CFR §300.172

1. The school district assures compliance with the National Instructional Materials Accessibility Standard (NIMAS) to provide instructional materials to blind persons or other persons with print disabilities in a timely manner.
2. Instructional materials may be purchased through the NIMAC in the same manner and conditions as authorized by the state.
3. School districts may choose not to coordinate with the NIMAC, but must ensure that children with disabilities who need instructional materials in accessible formats receive those materials in a timely manner.

## Section C.1: Exceptional Student Education Procedural Safeguards

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.500–300.536

Sections 1003.57 1003.571, 1002.22 and 1008.212, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03311, 6A-6.03313, and 6A-1.0955, F.A.C.

### Procedural Safeguards

Parents of exceptional students are entitled to information about their rights. These rights, or *procedural safeguards*, are intended to ensure that parents have the opportunity to be partners in the educational decisions made regarding their children.

The procedural safeguards notice must be written in language understandable to the general public and provided in the native language of the parent or other mode of communication used by the parent, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so. If the native language or other mode of communication of the parent is not a written language, the district must take steps to ensure that the notice is translated orally or by other means to the parent in his or her native language or other mode of communication, that the parent understands the content of the notice, and that there is written evidence that these requirements have been met.

#### 1. Procedural safeguards for students with disabilities

This applies to students with disabilities enrolled in public schools and to students with disabilities enrolled by their parents in nonprofit private schools.

The district **assures** that the *Notice of Procedural Safeguards for Parents of Students with Disabilities* is made available to parents at least one time a school year. In addition, a copy also must be given to the parents:

- Upon initial referral or the parent's request for an evaluation
- In accordance with the discipline procedures when a change of placement occurs
- Upon receipt of the first state complaint in a school year
- Upon the receipt of the first request for a due process hearing in a school year
- Upon the parent's request to receive a copy
- In accordance with the provisions of s. 1008.212, F.S., upon the school district superintendent's recommendation to the commissioner of education that an extraordinary exemption for a given state assessment administration be granted or denied.

#### One of the following must be selected:

- ☒ The district will use the Department of Education's Notice of Procedural Safeguards for Parents of Students with Disabilities, as posted on the Department's website, to inform the parents as required.
- ☐ The district will use a different notice of procedural safeguards for parents of students with disabilities to inform the parents as required. A copy of this notice is located in Appendix A.1

#### 2. Procedural safeguards for exceptional students who are gifted

The district **assures** that the notice of the *Procedural Safeguards for Exceptional Students who are Gifted* is made available to parents of a child who is gifted, and must be given to the parents, at a minimum:

- Upon initial referral for evaluation
- Upon refusal of a parent's request to conduct an initial evaluation
- Upon notification of each educational plan meeting
- Upon receipt of a request for a due process hearing by either the school district or the parent

**One of the following must be selected:**

- ☒ The district will use the Department of Education's Procedural Safeguards for Exceptional Students who are Gifted , as posted on the Department's website to inform the parents as required.
- ☐ The district will use a different notice of procedural safeguards for parents of students who are gifted to inform the parents as required. A copy of this notice is located in Appendix A.2
- ☐ This requirement is not applicable for the Department of Corrections.

**Describe the district's policies and procedures to ensure that within 15 days (7 days if expedited) of receiving notice of a parent's due process hearing request, the district convenes a resolution meeting with the parent and the relevant member or members of the IEP team unless the parent and the district agree in writing to waive the meeting or use the mediation process.**

Within 15 days of receiving notice of the parent's due process complaint, and prior to the initiation of a due process hearing under § 300.511, the LEA must convene a meeting with the parent and the relevant member or members of the IEP Team who have specific knowledge of the facts identified in the due process complaint. Upon the receipt of parents' due process hearing request, the Exceptional Student Education Director will notify District's attorney to inform he/she of the request, provide the specific details of the complaint, and adhere to legal advice given through his/her counsel. A formal Parent Invitation is mailed to the parent/guardian specifically stating the purpose of the meeting and the pertinent IEP Team members who will be in attendance. A resolution meeting is held within 15 days of receiving the notice and a district attorney will not be present unless the parent has elected to have one in attendance. The purpose of the meeting is for the parent of the child to discuss the due process complaint, and the facts that form the basis of the due process complaint, so that the LEA has the opportunity to resolve the dispute that is the basis for the due process complaint. The resolution meeting need not be held if the following occurs: a) The parent and the LEA agree in writing to waive the meeting; or b) The parent and the LEA agree to use the mediation process described in § 300.506. The parent and the LEA determine the relevant members of the IEP Team to attend the meeting. The ESE Director ensures that the meeting will occur within the 15 day window and will facilitate the meeting with the assistance of the school liaison (LEA Designee) to ensure all parties have the opportunity to review content of the Resolution meeting and updated Annual IEP document, make necessary adjustments to reflect current academic and/or behavioral present levels of performance, review current setting for adequate services, as well as providers of instruction. A Prior Written Notice will provide explicit details of the meeting that state proposal(s) and/or refusal(s) of the District as well as clear details of the outcome of the resolution meeting. The ESE Director is in constant communication with the State Liaison as to the outcome of the meeting and how the District has resolved the concern through local District efforts. If the parents opt to waive the meeting and utilize the mediation process instead, the District will prepare documentation in a Prior Written Notice that the resolution meeting was proposed and rejected with an agreement in writing to this effect. District attorney will be notified of the mediation hearing request as well as State liaison to verify recommended third party representative. Expedited Hearing Request: Upon notification of an expedited hearing request the District will notify legal counsel and ensure the following steps are implemented: 1) A resolution meeting will be held within 7 calendar days, unless there is an agreement in writing not to have the resolution meeting, or to use mediation instead. 2) If the dispute/concern is not resolved within 15 calendar days, the hearing timeline proceeds. 3) The hearing must be held within 20 school days of the expedited hearing request being filed. 4) The hearing officer must issue a decision within 10 school days of the date of the hearing.

## Section C.2: Parental Revocation of Consent for Special Education and Related Services

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.9, 300.300 and 300.503

Section 1003.4282, F.S.

### Procedures

A parent of a student with a disability who has been receiving specially designed instruction and related services may revoke consent for such services.

1. The parent's request for revocation must be in writing.
2. The district will provide the parent with written notice under 34 CFR §300.503 before ceasing the provision of special education and related services.
3. The district may not continue to provide special education and related services to the child.
4. The district will not use mediation or due process procedures to challenge the parent's revocation of consent.
5. The district is not required to convene an IEP team or develop an IEP for further provision of special education and related services for the student.
6. The district is not required to amend the child's education records to remove any reference to the child's previous receipt of such services.
7. The district will not be considered to be out of compliance with IDEA for failure to provide a FAPE to an otherwise eligible child.

### Requirements or Options No Longer Applicable

When a parent of a student with a disability revokes consent for services, the requirements that previously applied solely as a result of the student's status as a student with a disability will no longer apply. Examples include:

1. The revocation applies to all services the student is receiving as a student with a disability, including instructional and testing accommodations; the revocation cannot be for some services but not others.
2. The procedural safeguards that apply to students with disabilities, including disciplinary protections, will no longer apply to the student.
3. The options in accordance with s. 1003.4282 (10), F.S., for a student with an individual educational plan to satisfy the standard high school diploma requirements will not be available.

## Section C.3: Transfer of Parental Rights at Age of Majority

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.520 and 300.320

Chapter 744, F.S.

Section 393.12, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.03011, 6A-6.0311 through 6A-6.0361, and 6A-6.03311, F.A.C.

### Procedures

1. When a student with a disability reaches the age of 18, except for a student with a disability who has been determined incompetent under state law or who has had a guardian advocate appointed to make educational decisions as provided by s. 393.12, F.S., all rights afforded to parents under Rules 6A-6.0311 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., transfer to the student. However, the right to notice under Rules 6A-6.0311 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., is retained as a shared right of the parent and the student.
2. At least one year before the student's eighteenth birthday, the district will inform the student of his or her rights under Part B of the Individual with Disabilities Educational Act (IDEA), if any, that will transfer from the parent to the student on reaching the age of majority, which is 18 years of age. The student's individual educational plan will include a statement that the student has been informed of the rights, if any, that will transfer to the student at 18 years of age.
3. The school district will notify the student and the parent of the transfer of rights when the student attains the age of 18; this notice is separate and distinct from the notice that was provided to the student and the parent at least one year before the student's eighteenth birthday.
4. For a student with a disability who has attained age 18 and is incarcerated in a juvenile justice facility or local correctional facility, all rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to the student, including the right to notice.
5. For students incarcerated in state correctional facilities, all rights accorded to parents under Part B of the IDEA transfer to the student, including notice, regardless of the age of the student.
6. If a student with a disability has reached the age of majority and does not have the ability to provide informed consent with respect to his or her educational program, procedures established by statute may be used by the parent to take one of the following actions:
  - a. Have the student declared incompetent and the appropriate guardianship established in accordance with the provisions of Chapter 744, F.S.
  - b. Be appointed to represent the educational interests of the student throughout the student's eligibility for Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) under Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.
  - c. Have another appropriate individual appointed to represent the educational interests of the student throughout the student's eligibility for FAPE under Rules 6A-6.0311 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., if the parent is not available in accordance with s. 393.12, F.S.

## Section D: Surrogate Parents

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.519

Sections 39.0016 and 1002.22, F.S.

Rule 6A-6.0333, F.A.C.

### Definition

A surrogate parent is an individual appointed to act in the place of a parent in educational decision-making and in safeguarding a student's rights under IDEA and s. 39.0016, F.S., when no parent can be identified; the student's parent, after reasonable efforts, cannot be located by the school district; the student is a ward of the state under state law; the student is an unaccompanied homeless youth; or a court of competent jurisdiction over the student has determined that no person has the authority, willingness, or ability to serve as the educational decision maker for the student without judicial action.

### Procedures

1. A surrogate parent appointed by the district school superintendent or the court:
  - a. Must be at least 18 years old.
  - b. Must have no personal or professional interest that conflicts with the interests of the student to be represented.
  - c. Must not be an employee of the FDOE, the local school district, a community-based care provider, the Florida Department of Children and Families (DCF), or any other public or private agency involved in the education or care of the student.
    - This prohibition includes group home staff and *therapeutic* foster parents.
    - A person who acts in a parental role to a child, such as a foster parent or relative caregiver, is not prohibited from serving as a surrogate parent if he or she is employed by such agency, willing to serve, and knowledgeable about the child and the exceptional student education process.
    - The surrogate parent may be a court-appointed guardian ad litem or a relative or nonrelative adult who is involved in the child's life regardless of whether that person has physical custody of the child.
  - d. Must have the knowledge and skills acquired by successfully completing training using materials developed and approved by the FDOE to ensure adequate representation of the child.
2. Appointment of a surrogate parent for a student who has or is suspected of having a disability
  - a. A surrogate parent for a student who is eligible for or who is suspected of being eligible for special programs made available through a school district or agency under contract with the school district shall be appointed by the district's school superintendent not more than 30 days after the school district determines that the student needs a surrogate parent.
  - b. The surrogate parent for a student who is eligible for or who is suspected of being eligible for special programs made available through a contract from the FDOE shall be appointed by the individual specified in the contract.
  - c. In the case of a student who is a ward of the state, the surrogate parent alternatively may be appointed by the judge overseeing the student's case, provided the surrogate meets the qualifications above.
  - d. If a guardian ad litem has been appointed for a child, the district school superintendent must first consider the child's guardian ad litem when appointing a surrogate parent.
    - The district school superintendent must accept the appointment of the court if he or she has not previously appointed a surrogate parent.
    - The court must accept a surrogate parent duly appointed by a district school superintendent.



- e. A surrogate parent appointed by the district school superintendent or the court must be accepted by any subsequent school or school district without regard to where the child is receiving residential care so that a single surrogate parent can follow the education of the child during his or her entire time in state custody.
- f. Nothing in s. 39.0016, F.S., or in Rule 6A-6.0333, F.A.C., shall limit or prohibit the continuance of a surrogate parent appointment when the responsibility for the student's educational placement moves among and between public and private agencies.
- g. For a child known to the DCF, the responsibility to appoint a surrogate parent resides with both the district school superintendent and the court with jurisdiction over the child.
  - If the court elects to appoint a surrogate parent, notice shall be provided as soon as practicable to the child's school.
  - At any time the court determines that it is in the best interests of a child to remove a surrogate parent, the court may appoint a new surrogate parent for educational decision-making purposes for that child.
- h. The surrogate parent shall continue in the appointed role until the occurrence of one of the following circumstances:
  - The child is determined to no longer be eligible or in need of special programs, except when termination of special programs is being contested
  - The child achieves permanency through adoption or legal guardianship and is no longer in the custody of DCF
  - The parent who was previously unknown becomes known, whose whereabouts were unknown is located, or who was unavailable is determined by the court to be available
  - The appointed surrogate no longer wishes to represent the child or is unable to represent the child
  - The superintendent of the school district in which the child is attending school, the FDOE contract designee, or the court that appointed the surrogate determines the appointed surrogate parent no longer adequately represents the child
  - The child moves to a geographic location that is not reasonably accessible to the appointed surrogate
- i. The appointment and termination of appointment of a surrogate shall be entered as an order of the court with a copy of the order provided to the child's school as soon as practicable.

3. The person appointed as a surrogate parent:

- a. Must be acquainted with the child and become knowledgeable about his or her disability and educational needs
  - b. Must represent the child in all matters relating to identification, evaluation, and educational placement and the provision of a free and appropriate education to the child
  - c. Must represent the interests and safeguard the rights of the child in educational decisions that affect the child
4. The responsibilities of the person appointed as a surrogate parent shall not extend to the care, maintenance, custody, residential placement, or any other area not specifically related to the education of the child, unless the same person is appointed by the court for such other purposes.
5. A person appointed as a surrogate parent shall enjoy all of the procedural safeguards afforded a parent with respect to the identification, evaluation, and educational placement of a student with a disability or a student who is suspected of having a disability.
6. A person appointed as a surrogate parent shall not be held liable for actions taken in good faith on behalf of the student in protecting the special education rights of the child.
7. A school district may compensate persons appointed as surrogate parents. A person acting as a surrogate parent is not an employee of the school district or FDOE-contracted program solely because he or she is paid by the school district or FDOE-contracted program to serve as a surrogate parent.

8. In the case of a student who is an unaccompanied homeless youth, appropriate staff of emergency or transitional shelters, independent living programs, and street outreach programs, as well as McKinney-Vento liaisons or other school district staff, may be appointed as temporary surrogate parents without regard to the requirements until a surrogate can be appointed who meets all of the requirements.

**One of the following must be selected:**

- ☒ I have read and understand the above information.
- ☐ This section is not applicable for the Department of Corrections.

**Describe the district's procedures for determining when a student who has or is suspected of having a disability needs a surrogate parent, including documentation of reasonable efforts to locate or contact the parent, if applicable. (i.e., no clear evidence that parental rights have been terminated).**

1. Whenever it is thought that a student, eligible or suspected of being eligible for special services, is in need of a surrogate parent, the ESE social worker is notified. 2. After exhausting reasonable attempts to locate the parent and documenting those attempts, the social worker determines if the student meets the criteria (detailed previously in this section) for assignment of a surrogate parent. 3. Once it is determined that the student does indeed require a surrogate, one is appointed by the District Superintendent (or designee).

**Describe the district's procedures for recruiting and training surrogate parents, including those surrogates appointed by a judge.**

1. The ESE social worker, referred to above, is responsible for the recruiting of potential surrogate parents. 2. These individuals are recruited from such groups as retired educators, retired school administrators, and other appropriate service oriented groups in the community. 3. The ESE social worker is responsible for ensuring that potential surrogate parents are properly trained. 4. The Florida Diagnostic Resource Services (FLDRS) is responsible for the actual training of surrogate parents for Santa Rosa District Schools. Trainings are offered throughout the year.

## Section E: Individual Educational Plans and Educational Plans for Transferring Exceptional Students

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§99.31 and 300.323

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.030191, 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.0331, 6A-6.0334 and 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.

### Definition

A transferring exceptional student is one who was previously enrolled as an exceptional student in any other school district or agency and who is enrolling in a different Florida school district or in an educational program operated by the Florida Department of Education through grants or contractual agreements in accordance with s. 1003.57, F.S.

### Procedures

#### 1. IEPs or EPs for students who transfer school districts within Florida

If an exceptional education student who had an IEP or EP that was in effect in a previous Florida school district transfers to the school district and enrolls in a new school, the new school district (in consultation with the parents or legal guardians) will provide FAPE to the student, which includes services comparable to those described in the child's IEP or EP from the previous Florida school district, until the school district does **one** of the following:

- a. Adopts the student's IEP or EP from the previous school district.
- b. Develops, adopts, and implements a new IEP or EP that meets the applicable requirements of Rule 6A-6.03028 - 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.

#### 2. IEPs or EPs for students transferring to or from a Florida school district and a full-time virtual program

If an exceptional education student who had an IEP or EP that was in effect in a previous Florida school or school district enrolls in a full-time virtual program (in accordance with s. 1002.37 or 1002.45, F.S.), the virtual program must determine if the student meets the profile for success in this educational delivery context. If the student meets the profile for success in this educational delivery context, the virtual program will provide FAPE to the student, which includes services comparable to those described in the student's IEP or EP from the previous school or school district, until the IEP team for the virtual program either:

- a. Adopts the student's IEP or EP from the previous school or school district, or
- b. Develops, adopts and implements a new IEP or EP that meets the applicable requirements of Rules 6A-3.03011 - 6A-6.0361, F.A.C. A virtual program may not deny or delay enrollment pending review of a student's IEP or EP.

When an IEP team of a school district determines that the full-time virtual program is appropriate for a student in accordance with s. 1003.57(5), F.S., within fifteen (15) business days prior to the withdrawal from the school district, the school district must convene an IEP team meeting with at least one (1) representative specific to the full-time virtual program to determine appropriate goals, supports and services for the student. The receiving virtual program may adopt and implement the student's existing IEP from the previous school district or may revise the IEP as needed, to meet the student's needs in the virtual environment.

When an IEP team for a virtual program determines that the full-time virtual program is not appropriate for a student in accordance with s. 1003.57(5), F.S., the full-time virtual program must, within fifteen (15) business days, convene an IEP team meeting to determine appropriate goals, supports and services for the student. A representative from the school district of residence for the student must participate in this meeting. A student may not be disenrolled from a full-time virtual program until after the IEP team has met and determined appropriate services for the student.

#### 3. IEPs for students who transfer from outside Florida

If an exceptional education student who had an IEP that was in effect in a previous school district in another state transfers to the school district and enrolls in a Florida school district within the same school year, the new Florida school district (in consultation with the parents) will provide the student with FAPE (including services comparable to those described in the student's IEP from the previous school district) until the school district does **both** of the following:

- a. Conducts an initial evaluation in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., or determines that evaluation is not necessary.
- b. Develops, adopts, and implements a new IEP or EP, if appropriate, that meets the applicable requirements of Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.

If a transfer student enters the school district with a specific methodology or curriculum on their IEP that was provided through therapy as a related service (e.g., Handwriting without Tears®, sensory integration, neurodevelopmental treatment), and the particular program stated on the IEP is not used in the new school district, another comparable strategy or intervention can be used until the new school district is able to conduct an evaluation, if determined necessary, and develop, adopt and implement a new IEP, if appropriate.

#### 4. EPs for gifted students who transfer from outside Florida

If a student who had a gifted plan that was in effect in a previous school district in another state transfers to a Florida school district and enrolls in a new school within the same school year, the new Florida school district (in consultation with the parents or legal guardians) must provide the student with services comparable to those described in the student's gifted plan from the previous school district, until the new Florida school district develops, adopts and implements a Florida EP that meets the applicable requirements of Rule 6A-6.030191, F.A.C. In accordance with Rule 6A-6.0334, F.A.C., students who transfer with gifted eligibility from another state do not need to meet the requirements of Rule 6A-6.03019, F.A.C., for continued services. A gifted plan could include documentation from the previous school district in another state that the student was determined eligible for gifted services in accordance with the applicable requirements of that district or state and was receiving gifted services.

#### 5. Parental consent

The student's new school district is **not** required to obtain parental consent for the initial provision of services for transferring exceptional students determined eligible for services. However, written informed parental consent **is** required before the new school district can conduct an initial evaluation to determine if a student has a disability and needs special education and related services.

#### 6. Transmittal of records

To facilitate the transition for a student described in subsections 1 and 2 above, the new school district in which the student enrolls will take reasonable steps to promptly obtain the student's records, including the IEP or EP and supporting documents and any other records relating to the provision of special education or related services to the student, from the previous school district in which the student was enrolled, in accordance with 34 CFR §99.31; and the previous school district in which the student was enrolled must take reasonable steps to promptly respond to the request from the new school district.

## Section F: Access to a Student's Public Benefits or Insurance

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.154

Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, 6A-6.03028 and 6A-6.03311, F.A.C.

### Procedures

The school district may use the Medicaid or other public health benefits or insurance programs in which a student participates to provide or pay for services required under Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., as permitted under the public benefits or insurance program, except as noted below:

1. With regard to services required to provide FAPE to an eligible student under the IDEA, the school district:
  - a. May not require parents to sign up for or enroll in public insurance programs in order for their student to receive FAPE under Part B of the IDEA.
  - b. May not require parents to incur an out-of-pocket expense such as the payment of a deductible or co-pay amount incurred in filing a claim for services provided pursuant to the IDEA– the district may pay the cost that the parent otherwise would be required to pay.
  - c. May not use a student's benefits under a public insurance program if that use would (any of the following):
    - Decrease available lifetime coverage or any other insured benefit.
    - Result in the family paying for services that would otherwise be covered by the public benefits or insurance program and that are required for the student outside of the time the student is in school.
    - Increase premiums or lead to the discontinuation of benefits or insurance.
    - Risk loss of eligibility for home and community-based waivers, based on aggregate health-related expenditures.
  - d. Prior to accessing the student's or parent's public benefits or insurance for the first time, and after providing notification to the student's parent as described in Rule 6A-6.03028(3)(q)1.e., F.A.C., the school district must obtain written, parental consent that specifies each of the following:
    - The personally identifiable information that may be disclosed, such as records or information about the services that may be provided to the student
    - The purpose of the disclosure, such as the purpose of billing for services
    - The agency to which the disclosure may be made
    - The parent understands and agrees that the school district may access the insurance to pay for the services required under Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.
  - e. Prior to accessing a student's or parent's public benefits for the first time, and annually thereafter, the school district must provide written notification consistent with requirements found in Rule 6A-6.03311(1)(a) and (b), F.A.C., to the student's parents that includes all of the following:
    - A statement of the parental consent provision in Rule 6A-6.03028(3)(q)1.d., F.A.C.
    - A statement of the no cost provisions of Rule 6A-6.03028(3)(q)1., F.A.C.
    - A statement that the parents have the right to withdraw their consent to disclose their child's personal identifiable information to the agency responsible for the administration of the State's public benefits or insurance at any time.
    - A statement that the withdrawal of consent or refusal to provide consent to disclose personally identifiable information to the agency responsible for the administration of the State's public benefits or insurance program does

not relieve the school district of its responsibility to ensure that all required services are provided at no cost to the parents.

2. With regard to students with disabilities who are covered by private insurance, a school district may access a parent's private insurance proceeds to provide services required under the IDEA only if the parent provides written informed consent. Each time the school district proposes to access the parent's private insurance to provide services required under IDEA, the agency must obtain parental consent and inform the parents that their refusal to permit the school district to access their private insurance does not relieve the school district of its responsibility to ensure that all required services are provided at no cost to the parents.
3. If a school district is unable to obtain parental consent to use the parents' private insurance, or public benefits or insurance when the parents would incur a cost for a specified service required to ensure a FAPE, the school district may use its IDEA Part B funds to pay for the service. To avoid financial cost to parents who otherwise would consent to use private insurance, or public benefits or insurance if the parents would incur a cost, the school district may use its IDEA Part B funds to pay the cost that the parents otherwise would have to pay to use the parents' benefits or insurance (e.g., the deductible or co-pay amounts).

## Section G: General Education Intervention Procedures

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.302, 300.306, and 300.308–300.310

Sections 1008.25 and 381.0056, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03018, 6A-6.03019, 6A-6.03020, 6A-6.0331 and 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

### Definition

General education intervention procedures are activities conducted by a district for kindergarten through Grade 12 students enrolled in public schools who need additional academic or behavioral support to succeed in the general education environment. These activities are embedded in the district's responsibility to implement a multi-tiered system of supports that is integrated into a continuum of evidence-based academic and behavioral interventions. In implementing a data-based problem-solving process designed to develop a coordinated continuum of evidence-based instruction and intervention practices, a district may engage in activities that include educational and behavioral evaluations, services, supports, evidence-based literacy instruction and professional development for teachers and other school staff, and where appropriate, instruction on the use of adaptive and instructional technology.

### General Education Intervention Procedures for K-12 Students Suspected of Having a Disability Who are Enrolled in Public Schools

#### 1. Parent involvement in general education intervention procedures

The district provides opportunities for parents to be involved in a data-based problem-solving process to address the student's academic or behavioral areas of concern. There must be discussion with the parent regarding the data used to identify the problem, the plan for addressing the problem through intervention, the plan for monitoring student progress, the student's responses to instruction and interventions, modification of the interventions when needed and anticipated future action to address the student's learning or behavioral needs. The district must maintain documentation of parental involvement and communication.

#### 2. Observations of student in the educational environment

The school district conducts observations of the student in the educational environment and, as appropriate, in other settings to document the student's academic or behavioral areas of concern. At least one observation must include an observation of the student's performance in the general education classroom.

#### 3. Review of data

The school district reviews social, psychological, medical, and anecdotal records and achievement data in the student's cumulative folder and demonstrates through data that the student was provided appropriate instruction in the regular education settings, which was delivered by qualified personnel. Attendance records are reviewed and used as one indicator of a student's access to instruction.

#### 4. Sensory screenings and diagnostic assessments

- a. Hearing and vision screenings are completed for the purpose of ruling out sensory deficits that may interfere with the student's academic and behavioral progress. Hearing and vision screenings are conducted in accordance with the school district's school health plan. In certain circumstances, a current evaluation by a medical professional may be used as the screening report.
- b. Additional screenings and assessments are conducted to assist in determining academic or behavioral interventions, as appropriate. Student screenings to determine instructional and behavioral intervention strategies are not considered to be an evaluation for eligibility for special education and related services.

#### 5. Implementation of evidence-based interventions

- o The school district implements evidence-based interventions addressing the identified areas of concern in the **general education environment**.
- o The interventions selected for implementation should be determined by a team through a data-based problem-solving process that uses student performance data to identify and analyze the area(s) of concern, select and implement interventions, monitor effectiveness of the interventions and modify intervention or intensity when needed.

- o Interventions must be implemented as designed for a period of time sufficient to determine effectiveness, and with a level of intensity that matches the student's needs.
- o The district must collect pre-intervention and ongoing progress-monitoring data regarding academic or behavioral areas of concern and communicate the data to the parents in an understandable format, which may include, but is not limited to, graphic representation.

6. General education interventions are **not** required for the following:

- o Children younger than kindergarten-entry age who are not enrolled in kindergarten
- o Students suspected of being gifted as described in Rule 6A-6.03019, F.A.C.
- o Students who are being considered for eligibility for specially designed instruction for students who are homebound or hospitalized as described in Rule 6A-6.03020, F.A.C.
- o Students who are not enrolled in a public school.

General education interventions **may not be required** for students suspected of having a disability if the student demonstrates a speech disorder; or severe cognitive, physical, or sensory disorders; or severe social or behavioral deficits that require immediate intervention to prevent harm to the student or others, and a team comprised of qualified professionals and the parent determines that these general education interventions are not appropriate.

**Does the district have a Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) procedures document or website?**

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No

**If yes, how can this document or website be accessed?**

<https://sites.santarosa.k12.fl.us/ci/mtssrti.htm>

**If no, describe district policies and procedures for integrating a data-based, problem-solving process within an MTSS.**

|



**What academic and behavior progress monitoring tools and data do teams use to monitor student response to intervention? Address the following in your response: (a) How frequently are Tier 3 interventions reviewed and monitored? (b) What factors does the problem-solving team consider in determining that the student may be a student with a disability? (c) What is the decision criteria for initiating an evaluation?**

Progress Monitoring Tools for Santa Rosa School District are as follows: 1) SIS (Student Information System) - Standardized Test Analysis for tracking discipline, attendance, academic performance on standardized assessments, as well as on-going progress monitoring for all students. 2) STAR - Performed throughout the year to evaluate proficiency on end of the course standards and benchmarks in all content areas assessed for the State. 3) Florida Standards Assessment and/or End of Course exams - The MTSS philosophy calls for a consistent review of data to adjust instruction to maintain or increase rates of learning. - The goal of MTSS is high school graduation. - Every decision must address the question, "Will this increase the probability of this student graduating from high school on time?" MTSS Path- Students not making progress - Rules out exclusionary factors (attendance and achievement gaps from loss of instruction due to pandemic). Tier 1 - All Students - Classroom grades, STAR, Performance Matters (PM), FSA, EOCs; Teachers provide rigorous, research-based instruction with FIDELITY, and which is MEASURABLE. --Student does not respond favorably to Tier 1 instruction. Tier 2 - Teacher or school MTSS team generates a Content Area PMP for struggling students. --Tier 2A - 8 weeks --Student is not meeting expectations. --Possible referral to the MTSS Team. Interventions adjusted. --Tier 2B - 8 weeks Tier 3 --Student is not making progress on Tier 2B interventions; those interventions adjusted --Tier 3A - 9 weeks --Tier 3B - if student not making progress on Tier 3A interventions. --Tier 3B - 9 weeks -- If student is not making progress on Tier 3B interventions, a decision to recommend student for an ESE evaluation to determine if student requires support through an Individualized Education Plan (IEP)/Exceptional Student Education Services: \* After sufficient time is allowed to gather data \* After the highest level of intensity does not indicate a closing of the gap \* After interventions are assigned with fidelity \* After multiple interventions have been assigned Therefore, given that MTSS data is used for some ESE eligibility decisions, any student considered for an IEP must have viable data from at least two distinct Tier 3 interventions in groups of three or less. Both interventions must have been delivered consecutively, with fidelity, by interventionist(s) trained in each strategy. Negative responses to the interventions in Tier III would be a strong indicator that the student should be recommended for an evaluation to determine if he/she meets the criteria for a disability and need for specialized instructional supports through Exceptional Student Education. This is only a guide. Additional time is warranted if students are making progress. The goal is to close the achievement gap not to refer for ESE. Behavioral MTSS Procedures: \* Behavior management systems that teach and reward appropriate behaviors. \* Social skills instruction as an integral part of the curriculum. \* Academic enrichment to ensure that students master key knowledge and skills. \* Replacement Behaviors are taught in order to bridge the gap Schools that implement school-wide systems of positive behavior support focus on taking a team-based system approach and teaching expected behavior to all students in the school. Schools that have been successful in building school-wide systems develop procedures to accomplish the following: 1. Behavioral Expectations are Defined - A small number of clearly defined behavioral expectations are defined and posted in all areas of every school. The primary prevention of Tier I positive behavioral interventions and supports consists of rules, routines, and physical arrangements that are developed and taught by school staff to prevent initial occurrences of behavior the school would like to target for change. Behavior expectation examples (see Sample Behavior Expectations under student) 2. Behavioral Expectations are Taught and Re-Taught - The behavioral expectations are taught to all students in the building, and are taught in real contexts. Teaching appropriate behavior involves much more than simply telling students what behaviors they should avoid. Specific behavioral expectations should be defined and taught in all areas. A few examples are listed below: Respectful in the Classroom means following directions and listening to the speaker. Responsible in the Cafeteria means cleaning up after yourself. Ready to learn/succeed means being on time and coming to class prepared and with all the required materials. Lesson plan examples (see Lesson Plans) 3. Expected Behaviors are Acknowledged - Once expected behaviors have been defined and taught, they need to be acknowledged on a regular basis. Specific praise is extremely important in increasing the reoccurrence of appropriate behavior. To effectively improve the culture, climate, safety, and learning within a school setting, all adults should give out acknowledgments on a regular basis. Rewards (reward schedules, free rewards for students- see Rewards) 4. Behavioral Errors are Corrected Proactively - When students violate behavioral expectations, clear procedures are needed for providing information to them that their behavior was unacceptable, and preventing that unacceptable behavior from resulting in inadvertent rewards. Students, teachers, and administrators all should be able to predict what will occur when behavioral errors are identified. Matrix and Consequences (Minor and majors, matrix of expectations) 5. Decisions About Behavior Management are Data Based - One of the most important features of Behavioral Tier 1 is the use of Santa Rosa County's data management system. The SIS database tracks what types of discipline incidents are occurring, where, what time of the school day and who is involved in them. The system eliminates guesswork from the decision making process about what is and is not working in a building's behavior management system. It allows decision makers to create reports that enable them to devote resources and time to the precise place, parts of the school day and people that need them. By tracking school-wide and individual behavior incidents, teams are able to quickly use data for decision making and provide meaningful intervention to the whole school, targeted groups of students, or individualized students. Being able to identify trends and patterns allows for early pro-active and preventative intervention with in a specific school. TIER 1 (80%) - School Wide Positive Behavior Support at

School Setting within General Education \* 3 Day Training with School Team \* School- Wide Expectations Given, Taught, and Posted \* Classroom Expectations and Management plan is provided from each teacher \* Reward System Scheduled based on age appropriate reinforcers \* Data Driven Decisions based Teacher Referrals and Office Discipline referrals (location, times, grades, offenses) \* Monthly Newsletter to staff /parents/and community members about Discipline/ODR/OSS/ISS \* School-Wide Cohesive Consequence System of Teacher Referrals and ODR's \* PBS meeting at least once a month (Action Plan Reviewed , Data Based Decisions w/graph, and Agenda) Each person has a designated job \* PBS coaches meet quarterly with District Coordinator to update school Action \* Plans and network with PBS teams around the county \* Involve parents and community business for implementation/financial support \* Maintaining 80% established expectations with all students. \* Fidelity and Implementation needs to be established with 75% or higher in the school with all faculty and staff. \* Staff Trainings on McKinney Vento(Homeless) and Ruby Payne (Framework for Understanding Poverty) TIER 2 (10-15%) At-Risk - Targeted Group at School Setting within General Education - RTI Team - PBS/Behavior Coach, School Counselor, Admin, ESE teacher, Gen. Ed., CDAC \* Meets bi weekly based on top 10% of ODR & teacher referrals \* Utilizes behavioral documentation given by district (Behavioral Intervention Problem Solving Process- BIPSP) \* Utilizes data graphing tool for targeted behavior expectations \* Social Skills Curriculum (Elem & Mid) each week within small group with mental health counselor \* Implement Daily Check-in Check Out or Daily Data Collection and SelfMonitoring \* Reinforces Weekly or Daily for Expectations of Appropriate Behaviors \* Focusing on Function of Behavior \* Observation of students are gathered \* Student receives mentor services \* Targeted Behaviors are established \* Fidelity is established with 80% or higher for all implementation Tier 3 (3-5%) At-Risk - Individual Intervention within General Education - Behavior Specialist, PBS Coach, School Counselor, Admin, Parent, Individual Teacher, CDAC \* Team meets weekly with established list of students needing Tier III interventions \* Targeted behaviors are Established \* Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) completed \* Positive Behavior Intervention Plan (BPIP)/ Individual Plan is created and implemented \* One on One Mental Health counseling \* Individualized Crisis Plan, Preventative Strategies, and Replacement Behaviors \* 60-90 day timeline for evaluation and fidelity of 3:1 \* Hourly/ transitional Data collection is documented for all Replacement Behaviors \* Daily Reinforcers are presented with given contingencies \* Intervention are delivered to a very small group 1:3 or students individually for behavioral needs Monitoring of Tier 3 \* Weekly team meetings with PF and progress monitoring (daily/hourly/ sections) \* WRAP Team Meetings w/ parent , counselor, JPO, student, and team \* Graphing system for every 30 minutes \* Fidelity with District Behavior Team \* PMP is updated Daily/weekly based tiered III level \* All Level System/ Point Sheets/ anecdotes / refocus sheets are all placed in student file for review

**How does the district monitor implementation and fidelity of problem identification, problem analysis, intervention development and intervention effectiveness? Address the following in your response: (a) How problem-solving is documented (b) Procedures for monitoring fidelity**

The Four Step Problem-Solving Process is described in each level of the academic setting (Elementary, Middle, and High School) and tracked accurately and with fidelity in the SRC SIS System. MTSS Teams in each school setting will conduct the following steps utilizing the Tiered Intervention Methods: 1) Defining the Problem - Determining the goal - Determine the gap or difference between the expectation and what is actually occurring in terms of student performance or behavior 2) Problem Analysis - Why is it occurring? - Hypothesis possible root causes; Analyze supplemental data to support or refute each hypothesis; Validate whether your hypothesis is true based on the additional data 3) Implement Plan - What can be done to solve it? - Select intervention(s) or strategies that will address the problem; Develop and implement the plan with fidelity 4) Evaluate - Did it work? - Collect and use school-wide, small group, and individual student data to determine if the plan is working to address the problem; Progress monitor and modify, if necessary; Evaluate the response: good, questionable, or poor Deadline #1: November 6, 2020 -- A Hypothesis is created. Tier 1 or 2 supports are assigned by way of Tier 1 (differentiated instruction, strategies, supports), Tier 2 (instructional interventions). PMPs are to be printed and sent home to parent. Parent signs and returns the Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP). PMP is to be placed in student's cumulative folder. Progress monitoring data is collected and analyzed and documented in the PMP : --Student is not exhibiting mastery - If the achievement gap is not closed, continue the intervention. --Student shows mastery - If the achievement gap is closed, stop the intervention. PMPs Required by State Statute: Tier 1 and 2 \*The school triggers academic Content Areas as soon as possible based on current/prior STAR, Performance Matters, state assessments, grades for ELA, Math, and Science. Deadline #2: December 4, 2020 -- Enter one data point for all school created Content Areas. -- If student is meeting expectations and the achievement gap is closed the Content Area can be closed. (70+ in the PMP generated content area core course in the first grading period or student shows proficiency on STAR/PM Test A) -- If closing PMPs document with data points. (Required) Note: The school can choose to leave the PMP open, but data points are required. Print closed PMP, send home, have parent sign, and place in CUM folder. Deadline #3: January 29, 2021 -- At end of Semester 1 re-open any closed PMPs for students with D/F. The PMP should have an assigned hypothesis , a Tier 2 intervention, and one data point from the December 4 deadline. Deadline #4: April 16, 2021 -- Add an additional data point to all open Content Areas. Deadline #5: June 14 2021 -- Enter one last data point. PMPs sent home to parents. File final PMP in CUM.

**How are parents engaged in the problem-solving process? (Include the frequency and format for sharing student response to intervention data with parents.) Address the following in your response: (a) How information is disseminated explaining the school's multi-tiered system of supports (global awareness) (b) Procedures or policies for including parents in problem solving (c) Frequency and format for sharing data on the student response to intervention with parents (d) When and how parents are notified of their right to request an evaluation**

Parents are informed in writing regarding their student's participation in the MTSS process. Assessment data is documented and parent is notified of their student not meeting the district expectation in specific area(s). Through this formal letter notification, they are informed of the appropriate interventions that will be in place too identify individual needs in order to increase the rate of learning for area(s). Letter includes: A. The data used to identify the area(s) of need B. The intervention(s) put in place to address the need C. The most recent progress monitoring updates regarding the intervention

7. Procedures for children who are below mandatory school age and who are not enrolled in kindergarten include the following:

- a. Review existing social, psychological, and medical data. Refer for a health screening when needed.
- b. Conduct vision and hearing screenings for the purpose of ruling out sensory deficits.
- c. Conduct additional screenings to assist in determining interventions as appropriate.

## Section H.1: Initiating an Evaluation for Exceptional Student Education

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.300–300.305

Chapter 490, F.S.

Sections 1003.57 and 1003.575, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.044, 6A-1.0502, 6A-4.0311, 6A-6.0331, 6A-6.03311 and 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

### Definition

The school district must ensure that all students with disabilities or who are gifted and who are in need of exceptional student education (ESE) are identified, located, and evaluated, and FAPE is made available to them if it is determined that the student meets the eligibility criteria.

### Procedures for Initiating an Evaluation

Each school district must conduct a full and individual initial evaluation before the initial provision of ESE services. Either a parent of a kindergarten through Grade 12 student, or child age three to kindergarten-entry age, or a school district may initiate a request for initial evaluation to determine if the student is a student with a disability. Either a parent of a kindergarten through Grade 12 student or a school district may initiate a request for initial evaluation to determine if a student is gifted. The request for an evaluation is documented on the district's Consent for Evaluation form.

The school district must seek consent from the parent or guardian to conduct an evaluation whenever the district suspects that a kindergarten through Grade 12 student, or a child age three to kindergarten-entry age, is a student with a disability and needs special education and related services. Circumstances that would indicate that a kindergarten through Grade 12 student may be a student with a disability who needs special education and related services include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. When a school-based team determines that the kindergarten through Grade 12 student's response to intervention data indicates that intensive interventions implemented are effective but require a level of intensity and resources to sustain growth or performance that is beyond that which is accessible through general education resources;
2. When a school-based team determines that the kindergarten through Grade 12 student's response to interventions implemented indicates that the student does not make adequate growth given effective core instruction and intensive, evidence-based interventions; and
3. When a child age three to kindergarten entry age receives a developmental screening through the school district or the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System and, based on the results of the screening, it is suspected that the child may be a child with a disability in need of special education and related services; or
4. When a parent requests an evaluation and there is documentation or evidence that the kindergarten through Grade 12 student or child age three to kindergarten-entry age who is enrolled in a school- district operated preschool program may be a student with a disability and needs special education and related services.

Within 30 days of a determination that a circumstance described in subsections 1., 2. or 3. above exists for a student in grades kindergarten through Grade 12 or a child age three to kindergarten entry age, the school district must request consent from the parent to conduct an evaluation, unless the parent and the school agree otherwise in writing.

If a parent requests that the school conduct an evaluation to determine whether their child is a child with a disability in need of special education and related services, the school district must within 30 days, unless the parent and the school agree otherwise in writing:

1. Obtain consent for the evaluation; or
2. Provide the parent with written notice in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03311, F.A.C., explaining its refusal to conduct the evaluation.

Prior to a school district request for an initial evaluation for students in Grade K through 12, school personnel must make one of the following determinations about general education procedures:

1. Whether the general education intervention procedures have been implemented as required under Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., and that the data indicate that the student may be a student with a disability who needs special education and related services;
2. Whether the evaluation was initiated at the parent's request and the general education activities will be completed concurrently with the evaluation but prior to the determination of the student's eligibility for special education and related services; or
3. Whether the nature or severity of the student's areas of concern makes the general education intervention procedures inappropriate in addressing the immediate needs of the student.

**Describe the district's procedure for obtaining parental consent for an evaluation when, through the FDLRS or school district child find process, it is suspected that a child ages three to kindergarten-entry age may be a child with a disability. In addition, describe how the district will ensure that the parent will be given the opportunity to provide consent within 30 days of the parent's request.**

The Screening Committee or designated MTSS Team will take all Rtl data into consideration and determines the following: - That a student's Rtl data indicates that the student is responding to intensive intervention but the level of intensity and resources to sustain growth exceeds that available through general education OR - That a student's Rtl data indicates that the student is not making adequate growth given effective core instruction and intensive evidence-based interventions OR - That extenuating circumstances are presented to the team that require them to consider factors of safety, intensive emotional/behaviors supports and/or therapeutic interventions, persistent behaviors that may require additional structures, specialized instruction, and restrictive settings not offered in the general education environment. The MTSS Team works collaboratively with the school liaison to inform parents of the data received from the team and the request of the evaluation to determine if the student is a child with a disability in need of special education and/or related services. Contact is made through the guidance department to receive parent/guardian consent for evaluation. If parents cannot be reached by phone, a certified notification of request will be mailed home. Upon the written consent of the evaluation, the school guidance counselor will ensure all parties are notified through the District IEP System, and that the evaluators have notification to their task pages as to the requested assessments needed for eligibility determination. Once all required assessments have been completed within the allocated 60 calendar days, the school liaison is notified immediately. Parent invitations are mailed to notify parents of the completed evaluation(s) as well as the date and time of the Eligibility Determination Meeting. If a parent requests that the school conduct an evaluation to determine whether their child is a child with a disability in need of special education and related services, the school will respond within 30 days to gain their written consent. The school counselor will produce a general referral, to include all areas in which the parent is requesting evaluations and/or related service areas. If the student is currently in MTSS, the parent will be notified that the interventions will be provided concurrently, while the evaluations are being conducted. Parents will be notified that the evaluations will be completed within 60 calendar days, after the signed consent has been provided. Schools have been advised that we respond to all requests. It is not our practice to refuse a parent request for an evaluation.

**Describe the district's procedures for responding within 30 days to a parent who requests that an evaluation be conducted to determine the student's eligibility for special education and related services.**

Similar provisions are made when a parent requests that an evaluation be conducted to determine the student's eligibility for special education and related services. Parents are informed of the MTSS procedures that the school is required to consider prior to making a special education eligibility determination. However, this does not prevent the evaluation process. The progress monitoring procedures will occur concurrently and/or be initiated, if the team has not gathered the data prior to the request. The Screening Committee or designated MTSS Team will take all RtI data into consideration and determines the following: - That a student's RtI data indicates that the student is responding to intensive intervention but the level of intensity and resources to sustain growth exceeds that available through general education OR - That a student's RtI data indicates that the student is not making adequate growth given effective core instruction and intensive evidence-based interventions OR - That extenuating circumstances are presented to the team that require them to consider factors of safety, intensive emotional/behaviors supports and/or therapeutic interventions, persistent behaviors that may require additional structures, specialized instruction, and restrictive settings not offered in the general education environment. If a parent requests that the school conduct an evaluation to determine whether their child is a child with a disability in need of special education and related services, the school will respond within 10 days to gain their written consent. The school counselor will produce a general referral, to include all areas in which the parent is requesting evaluations and/or related service areas. If the student is currently in MTSS, the parent will be notified that the interventions will be provided concurrently, while the evaluations are being conducted. Parents will be notified that the evaluations will be completed within 60 calendar days, after the signed consent has been provided. Schools have been advised that we respond to all requests. It is not our practice to refuse a parent request for an evaluation. Because the referral was initiated by the parent, the permission to evaluate should not be a barrier to determining if there is a need for special education and related services. Contact is made through the guidance department to receive parent/guardian consent for evaluation. If parents cannot be reached by phone, a certified notification of request will be mailed home. Upon the written consent of the evaluation, the school guidance counselor will ensure all parties are notified through the District IEP System, and that the evaluators have notification to their task pages as to the requested assessments needed for eligibility determination. Once all required assessments have been completed within the allocated 60 calendar days, the school liaison is notified immediately. Parent invitations are mailed to notify parents of the completed evaluation(s) as well as the date and time of the Eligibility Determination Meeting.

**Describe the district's procedures for requesting an initial evaluation for students enrolled in the school district who may have disabilities and students who may be gifted.**

Initial Evaluation for students who may have a disability are as follows: 1. Referrals for screening may be made by school personnel, parents, student's peers, community members, or through District screening procedures. 2. The Screening Committee may include, but is not limited to the school counselor, school psychologist, the student's teacher, as well as the student's parent. 3. Screening information and the action taken will be recorded in the Screening Committee minutes. 4. Students who meet the screening criteria will be referred to the appropriate school personnel for individual evaluation. 5. Evaluation must be completed within sixty calendar days after the receipt of a parent consent. 6. Days that do not count as a calendar day are as follows: - School holidays, Thanksgiving, winter and spring break, as adopted by the School Board - Summer vacation beginning the day after the last day of school for students and ending the first day of school for students as adopted by the School Board - Absences of a student for more than eight school days in the sixty (60) calendar day period Gifted Procedures are as follows: In the state of Florida, gifted programming comes under the Exceptional Student Education umbrella. Gifted students are those described as having superior intellectual development and being of high performance. A student is eligible for special instructional programs for the gifted if the following criteria are met: 1. Superior intellectual development as measured by an intelligence quotient (IQ) of two standard deviations above the mean (100=mean, one standard deviation=115, two standard deviations=130) on an individually administered standardized test of intelligence (Page 235 of Volume I-B of the Florida Statutes and State Board of Education Rules.) 2. A majority (81 out of a possible 160) of points earned on a Gifted Characteristics Checklist. 3. Need for a differentiated curriculum above and beyond that of the regular classroom. PROCESS FOR IDENTIFICATION AND PLACEMENT OF GIFTED STUDENTS 1. Student is referred to their School Counselor by their teacher or parent/guardian, or may self-identify. 2. School Counselor prepares Consent to Screen form for parent/guardian permission. 3. Visual and hearing screenings are conducted to make sure both are within normal range. 4. School Counselor may administer preliminary screening instrument. 5. School Counselor advises parent/guardian of screening results. 6. If parents want to continue with an intelligence quotient evaluation, School Counselor prepares Consent to Evaluate form for parent/guardian to give permission for the School Psychologist to complete an individually-administered evaluation. 7. Gifted Characteristics Checklist is completed by the classroom teacher(s). 8. The entire referral packet is forwarded to the School Psychologist and added to their testing queue. School Psychologists have ninety (90) calendar days to complete the evaluation. School Psychologists test for all exceptionalities and frequently their queue is quite lengthy. Parents are not notified prior to the evaluation. A student may be evaluated any time after permission is given by parent/guardian. 9. Gifted Program Facilitator receives Psychological Report and either calls parent/guardian to schedule an Eligibility Meeting or sends the results of the evaluation home to the parent/guardian if the student does not qualify.

**Describe the district's procedures for requesting an initial evaluation for students who may have disabilities and students who may be gifted who are enrolled in nonpublic schools or agency programs.**

Any student, age 0-22, suspected of having a disability or of being gifted, not enrolled in any school may be referred to Child Find for appropriate screenings. Based on the screenings the student will then be referred to the appropriate evaluator(s) for the necessary evaluations.

**Describe the district's procedures for requesting an initial evaluation for students who may have disabilities and students who may be gifted who are not enrolled in any school.**

Based on the screenings the student will then be referred to the appropriate evaluator(s) for the necessary evaluations. School liaisons work collaboratively with School Choice administrator of the District and Home School coordinator to assist students in home school setting, as well as the Private School Sector.

## Section H.2: Conducting Student Evaluations and Reevaluations

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.131 and 300.300–300.305

Chapter 490, F.S.

Sections 1003.57 and 1003.575, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.044, 6A-1.0502, 6A-6.03013, 6A-6.03014, 6A-6.03022, 6A-4.0311, 6A-6.0331, and 6A-6.03411, 6A-6.0361 and 6A-10.019, F.A.C.

### Definitions

1. Evaluation means procedures used to determine whether a student has a disability or is gifted and in need of specially designed instruction and related services, and the nature and extent of the exceptional student education (ESE) that the student needs.
2. Reevaluation of a student with a disability is the process whereby existing evaluation data about the student is reviewed and additional data collected (if necessary) to determine whether the student continues to have a disability and be in need of specially designed instruction and related services, and the educational needs of the student.

### Procedures for Evaluation

#### 1. Responsibility for evaluation

- a. The school district is responsible for conducting a full and individual initial evaluation necessary to determine if the student is eligible for ESE services and to determine the educational needs of the student.
- b. Evaluations are conducted by qualified examiners (e.g., physicians, school psychologists, psychologists, speech language pathologists, teachers, audiologists, and social workers) as evidenced by a valid license or certificate to practice in Florida. In circumstances where the student's medical care is provided by a physician licensed in another state, at the discretion of the school district administrator for exceptional student education, a report of a physician licensed in another state may be accepted for the purpose of evaluation and consideration of eligibility as a student with a disability.
- c. Tests of intellectual functioning are administered and interpreted by a professional person qualified in accordance with Rule 6A-4.0311, F.A.C., or licensed under Chapter 490, F.S.
- d. Unless statutory restrictions apply, the responsibility for determining who is qualified to administer and interpret a particular assessment instrument lies with the local school district. In determining qualified evaluators, districts may consider the following:
  - State Board of Education rules and the requirements of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA)
  - Testing standards (e.g., *Standards for Educational and Psychological Testing*)
  - User qualifications recommended by the publisher in the test manual
  - Level of training, supervision, experience, and certification of the individual administering or interpreting the instrument

#### 2. Evaluation timelines

- a. The school district shall ensure that initial evaluations of students and preschool-age children age three through kindergarten-entry age suspected of having a disability are completed within 60 calendar days after the school district's receipt of parent consent for evaluation. Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(g), F.A.C., states that the following calendar days shall not be counted toward the 60 calendar day requirement:
  - i. All school holidays and Thanksgiving, winter and spring breaks as adopted by the district school board as required by Rule 6A-10.019, F.A.C.;
  - ii. The summer vacation period beginning the day after the last day of school for students and ending on the first day of school for students in accordance with the calendar adopted by the district school board as required by Rule 6A-



10.019, F.A.C. However, the school district is not prohibited from conducting evaluations during the summer vacation period; and

- iii. In the circumstance when a student is absent for more than eight school days in the 60 calendar day period, the student's absences shall not be counted toward the 60 calendar day requirement.

b. The 60-day timeline for evaluation does not apply if:

- The parent repeatedly fails or refuses to produce the student for the evaluation
- A student's school district of enrollment changes after the timeline has begun and prior to a determination by the student's previous school district as to whether the student has a disability

This exception only applies when the current school district is making sufficient progress to ensure a prompt completion of the evaluation and the parent agrees to a specific time when the evaluation will be completed.

Assessments of students who transfer within the same school year must be coordinated between schools to ensure prompt completion of evaluations.

- c. The school district will ensure that students suspected of being gifted are evaluated within a reasonable time as defined in the district's ESE Policies and Procedures document as required by Rule 6A-6.03411(2), F.A.C., but no more than 90 school days that the student is in attendance after the school district's receipt of parental consent for the evaluation.

#### **Describe the district's timeframe to ensure completion of gifted evaluations.**

The timeline for evaluating a student recommended for gifted is the state allotted 90 calendar days of which the student is in attendance. The same guidelines apply for attendance as shown in sections a and c above.

### **3. Parent consent**

- a. The school district will provide the parent written notice to the parent that describes any evaluation procedures the school district proposes to conduct. The school district will obtain written informed consent from the parent of a student to determine whether the student is a student with a disability or is gifted and needs ESE.

Parental consent is not required before reviewing existing data as part of an evaluation or administering a test or other evaluation that is administered to all students unless, before administration of that test or evaluation, consent is required of parents of all students.

Parental consent for evaluation is not construed as consent for initial provision of exceptional student education services.

- b. The school district must make reasonable efforts to obtain the informed consent from the parent for an initial evaluation to determine whether the student is a student with a disability or gifted. In the event that the parent fails to respond to the district's request to obtain informed written consent, the district must maintain documentation of attempts made to obtain consent.
- c. Based on 34 CFR §300.300(a) (2), the school district is not required to obtain informed consent from the parent for an initial evaluation if the child is a ward of the State and is not residing with the parent if:
  - The school district cannot discover the whereabouts of the parent,
  - The rights of the parent have been terminated, or
  - The rights of the parent to make educational decisions have been subrogated by a judge and consent for initial evaluation has been given by an individual appointed by the judge to represent the student.

Based upon the definition of parent in Rule 6A-6.03411(1)(bb), F.A.C., "Parent means" **any** of the following:

- i. A biological or adoptive parent of a student
- ii. A foster parent

- iii. A guardian generally authorized to act as the student's parent, or authorized to make educational decisions for the student (but not the State if the student is a ward of the State)
  - iv. An individual acting in the place of a biological or adoptive parent (including a grandparent, stepparent, or other relative) with whom the student lives, or an individual who is legally responsible for the student's welfare
  - v. A surrogate parent who has been appointed in accordance with Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.
- d. If the parent refuses consent for an evaluation to determine eligibility as a student with a disability, the school district may continue to pursue consent for the evaluation by using the mediation or due process procedures. A district is not required to pursue an initial evaluation when the parent refuses consent and does not violate its child find or evaluation obligations if it declines to do so.
- e. The school district may not use a parent's refusal to consent to initial evaluation to deny the parent or student any other service of the school district, except as provided by Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

#### 4. Evaluation procedures

- a. As part of an initial evaluation, a team of qualified professionals and the parent, as appropriate, must take the following actions:
- i. Review existing evaluation data on the student, including:
    - Evaluations and information provided by the student's parents,
    - Current classroom-based, local, or State assessments and classroom-based observations, and
    - Observations by teachers and related services providers.
  - ii. Identify, on the basis of that review and input from the student's parents, what additional data, if any, are needed to determine the following:
    - Whether the student is a student with a disability
    - The educational needs of the student
  - iii. The group conducting this review may do so without a meeting.
  - iv. The school district shall administer tests and other evaluation measures as may be needed to produce the data that is to be reviewed under this section.
  - v. If the determination under this section is that no additional data are needed to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability and to determine the student's educational needs, the school district shall notify the student's parents of:
    - That determination and the reasons for the determination; and
    - The right of the parents to request an assessment to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability and to determine the student's educational needs. The school district is not required to conduct the assessment unless requested to do so by the student's parents.
  - vi. In conducting an evaluation, the school district:
    - Uses a variety of assessment tools and strategies to gather relevant functional, developmental, and academic information about the student within a data-based problem-solving process, including information about the student's response to evidence-based interventions as applicable, and information provided by the parent. This evaluation data may assist in determining whether the student is eligible for ESE and the content of the student's IEP or EP. The evaluation should include information that enables a student with a disability to be involved and progress in the general curriculum (or for a preschool child, to participate in appropriate activities) or identifies the needs beyond the general curriculum of a student who is gifted.

- Does not use any single measure or assessment as the sole criterion for determining eligibility or educational programming.
  - Uses technically sound instruments that assess the relative contribution of cognitive and behavioral factors, in addition to physical and developmental factors.
- b. The school district ensures that assessments and other evaluation materials and procedures used to assess a student:
- Are selected and administered so as not to discriminate on a racial or cultural basis
  - Are provided and administered in the student's native language, or other mode of communication, and in the form that most accurately measures what the student knows and can do
  - Are used for purposes for which the measures are reliable and valid
  - Are administered by trained and knowledgeable personnel in accordance with any instructions provided by the producer of the assessments
- c. Assessments are selected and administered to best ensure that, if administered to a student with impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, the assessment results accurately reflect the student's aptitude or achievement level, or whatever other factors the test purports to measure, rather than reflecting the student's sensory, manual, or speaking skills, unless those are the factors being measured.
- d. Assessments and other evaluation materials and procedures include measures that assess specific areas of educational need rather than those merely designed to provide a single general intelligence quotient. The school district uses assessment tools and strategies that provide relevant information that directly assists in determining the educational needs of the student.
- e. The student is assessed in all areas of the suspected disability, including, if appropriate, health; vision, hearing, social emotional status, general intelligence, academic performance, communicative status, and motor abilities. The evaluation is sufficiently comprehensive to identify all of the student's ESE needs, whether or not commonly linked to the suspected disability.

A [Web-based Evaluation Resource](http://sss.usf.edu/resources/topic/ease/ESE_Eval/General/General.html) developed to assist districts in selection of instruments for conducting diagnostic assessments, eligibility evaluations and for screening and progress monitoring is available at [http://sss.usf.edu/resources/topic/ease/ESE\\_Eval/General/General.html](http://sss.usf.edu/resources/topic/ease/ESE_Eval/General/General.html).

5. If the parent obtains an independent educational evaluation at their own expense, the results shall be considered by the school district when making decisions regarding the student, if the evaluation meets school district criteria.
6. Following completion of the student's evaluation, the school district shall not unreasonably delay the determination of a student's eligibility for ESE services.

**Describe the district's procedures for ensuring that a student's eligibility for ESE services is determined within a reasonable time following completion of the student's evaluation.**

In the case where the Multi-Tier System of Support has been initiated and has not been completed, the parent's request for evaluation will be honored and the district will promptly obtain consent for and conduct the evaluation and complete the general education interventions concurrently with the evaluation but prior to the determination of the student's eligibility. The school district ensures that initial evaluations of students suspected of having a disability are completed within 60 calendar school days of which the student is in attendance, after the receipt of the parental consent for evaluation. Immediately upon the completion of the eligibility assessments, the school psychologist and/or other potential service provider(s), notifies the designated school liaison to establish a date and time for the eligibility meeting. Liaisons are strongly encouraged to schedule the eligibility meeting within three weeks after receiving the evaluation reports from assigned evaluators and provision of appropriate notification to parent/guardian to determine if the student meets criteria to receive ESE services.

## Procedures for Reevaluation

1. Reevaluation is required in the following circumstances.

- a. Reevaluations must occur at least every three years, unless the parent and the school district agree that reevaluation is not needed. Reevaluation for Deaf/Hard-of-Hearing, Dual Sensory Impaired and Visually Impaired are not able to have reevaluation procedures waived.
- b. Reevaluation is required whenever the educational or related services needs of the student warrant a reevaluation or if the student's parent or teacher requests it.
- c. Reevaluation is required prior to the determination that the student is no longer a student with a disability in need of specially designed instruction and related services.
- d. Reevaluation of the student may **not** occur more than once a year, unless the parent and the school district agree otherwise.
- e. Reevaluation is not required for a student before termination of eligibility due to graduation with a standard diploma or exiting upon reaching the student's 22nd birthday. However, the school district will provide the student with a summary of the student's academic achievement and functional performance, including recommendations to assist the student in meeting the student's postsecondary goals.
- f. Based on 34 CFR §§300.130 and 300.131, the district is responsible for reevaluation of students with disabilities attending:
  - Nonprofit private schools located within the district
  - For-profit private schools and are residents in the district
  - Home education

2. Reevaluation procedures

As part of any reevaluation, the IEP team and other qualified professionals, as appropriate, must take the following actions:

- a. Review existing evaluation data on the student, including evaluations and information provided by the parents of the student and the student; current classroom-based district or state assessments and classroom-based observations by teachers and related services providers.
- b. Identify, on the basis of the review and parent input, what additional data, if any, are needed to determine the following:
  - Whether the student continues to have a disability;
  - The educational needs of the student;
  - The present levels of academic achievement and related developmental needs of the student;
  - Whether the student continues to need special education and related services; and
  - Whether any additions or modifications to the special education and related services are necessary to enable the student to meet the measurable annual goals set out in the student's IEP and to participate, as appropriate, in the general curriculum.
- c. The IEP team may conduct the review of existing evaluation data without a meeting.
- d. If the IEP team determines that no additional evaluation data are needed to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability, and to determine the student's educational needs, the reevaluation is complete and the school district shall notify the student's parent(s) of the following:
  - The determination and the reasons for that determination and
  - The right of the parents to request an assessment to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability and determine the student's educational needs.

The school district is not required to conduct the assessment unless requested to do so by the student's parents.

- e. Reevaluation is not required for a student before termination of eligibility due to graduation with a standard diploma or exiting upon reaching the student's 22nd birthday. However, the school district will provide the student with a summary of the student's academic achievement and functional performance, including recommendations to assist the student in meeting the student's postsecondary goals.
- f. The following rules require the administration of specific assessments as a part of a student's reevaluation:
  - Rule 6A-6.03013, F.A.C., Students Who Are Deaf or Hard-of Hearing
  - Rule 6A-6.03014, F.A.C., Students Who Are Visually Impaired
  - Rule 6A-6.03022, F.A.C., Students Who Are Dual-Sensory Impaired

For students determined eligible under these rules, the administration of formal assessments at reevaluation must be completed in accordance with the requirements of these rules.

### 3. Parental consent when additional data are needed

- a. The school district must obtain informed parental consent prior to conducting any reevaluation of a student with a disability.
- b. Informed parental consent for reevaluation need not be obtained if the district can demonstrate that it made reasonable efforts to obtain such consent and the student's parent failed to respond.

### 4. Reevaluation timelines

- a. The district must complete a reevaluation every three years unless the parent and the school district agree that a reevaluation is unnecessary.
- b. If the IEP team identifies the need for additional data, the additional data collection must be completed within a reasonable time and prior to reevaluation due date if a triennial evaluation.
- c. If an IEP team makes a recommendation for a student with a disability to receive an assistive technology assessment, that assessment must be completed within 60 school days after the team's recommendation.

### **Describe the district's procedures for ensuring that a reevaluation is conducted at least every three (3) years.**

The electronic IEP system utilized by Santa Rosa District Schools (FOCUS) automatically calculates the three (3) year reevaluation due date on each IEP developed. The ESE Liaison may also print a reevaluation due listing at any time to cross check the due dates printed on the IEP. In addition, when the ESE liaison opens a new IEP for a student's annual review, an alert pops up on the screen for those students whose 3 year reevaluation due date will occur during the course of the IEP being developed. Liaisons are instructed to address the need for reevaluation at this IEP meeting to ensure compliance with the 3 year due date.

### **Describe the district's procedures for ensuring that assessments and other data collection procedures are completed within a reasonable time following the review when the IEP team determines that additional data are needed.**

Ensuring that reevaluations are completed within no more than 150 calendar days, following the reevaluation review that identifies the need for additional assessment: ESE Liaisons are instructed to use a timeline that allows obtaining consent for reevaluation at least 5 months prior to the actual due date. The due date for the reevaluation to be completed is documented on the Consent for Reevaluation form and the electronic IEP system has an extensive tracking system to ensure that timelines documented on the reevaluation consent form are honored.

Note: When a parent requests a reevaluation, the school's IEP team may request a meeting with the parent for the purpose of reviewing existing data and to determine what additional data may be needed. The school may then, at that meeting, obtain parental consent for reevaluation, if appropriate. If the parent refuses to meet in a timely manner, the school must send the parent one of the following:

- A Prior Written Notice of Consent for Reevaluation form indicating what assessments will be administered based on the IEP team's review of data, or
- A Prior Written Notice of Refusal.

**Describe the district's procedures in place when a parent requests a reevaluation.**

Ensuring that reevaluations are completed within 150 calendar days, following the reevaluation review that identifies the need for additional assessment: ESE Liaisons are instructed to use a timeline that allows obtaining consent for reevaluation at least 5 months prior to the actual due date. The due date for the reevaluation to be completed is documented on the Consent for Reevaluation form and the electronic IEP system has an extensive tracking system to ensure that timelines documented on the reevaluation consent form are honored. If the parent refuses to meet in a timely manner, the school liaison must send the parent one of the following: o A Prior Written Notice of Consent for Reevaluation form indicating what assessments will be administered based on the IEP team's review of data, or o A Prior Written Notice of Refusal. If the team does not recommend a re-evaluation, but the parent requests an evaluation, the team will accommodate the parent's request. The school psychologists and/or related service providers will work with the parent to determine what information they are seeking and ask if parent needs additional clarification regarding the data that was presented during the Re-evaluation Team Meeting and/or the Annual IEP meeting. During the course of the IEP, data is collected on student performance on classroom performance, informal/formal assessments, performance on IEP goals/objectives, Academic/Behavior Progress Monitoring Plans (if student has been placed in Tier II or III), data derived from PBIP, as well as any medical data that has been provided to the team. The review of the culmination of documented information is utilized to present to the IEP team to determine if formal re-evaluation(s) is/are needed at that time, or if they have sufficient data to make academic/behavior recommendations to ensure student success.

**Describe the district's procedures for ensuring that an assistive technology assessment is completed within 60 school days after an IEP team makes the recommendation.**

If, at an IEP meeting, the question of the possible need for assistive technology arises, the LEA will discuss with all IEP team members, including the school-based speech pathologist, who serves as the assistive technology contact, the possibility of a recommendation for an assistive technology assessment. As a result of this discussion, one of two decisions will be made. If the team, including the parent(s), concurs that the need for an assistive technology assessment is indicated, this recommendation is noted on the IEP and the 60 day timeline begins. Parental consent for re-evaluation is obtained. During this 60 day period, strategies are implemented and data collected to be included in the Summary of Assistive Technology Assessment document. Upon completion of the assessment, the IEP team is convened to report/discuss assessment results. If necessary, a new IEP is developed to include results of assessment and any other changes necessary to address the assistive technology needs of the student. However, the team, including the parent(s), may agree that various interventions may be implemented first, to help determine student needs, before a formal evaluation is recommended. If that is the decision, no formal recommendation will be documented at that time. Rather, a school-based team, including the parent(s), meets to complete the School-Based Assistive Technology Recommendations and Findings form. Strategies are implemented and data collected. The IEP team then meets to review data. The team determines, based on the documented findings, that (1) strategies should be altered or new strategies implemented and additional data collected, or (2) that a formal recommendation for assistive technology is necessary. If the team, including the parent(s), concurs that the need for an assistive technology assessment is indicated, this recommendation is noted on the IEP and the 60 day timeline begins. Parental consent for re-evaluation is obtained. During this 60 day period, strategies are implemented and data collected to be included in the Summary of Assistive Technology Assessment document. Upon completion of the assessment, the IEP team is convened to report/discuss assessment results. If necessary, a new IEP is developed to include results of assessment and any other changes necessary to address the assistive technology needs of the student.

**5. Determination of continued need for special education and related services**

- a. A meeting of the individual educational plan team is convened to review all available information about the student, including reports from the additional evaluations, and to determine whether the student continues to be a student with a disability in need of special education and related services. If the student continues to be an eligible student, the student's individual educational plan is reviewed and revised, as appropriate, to incorporate the results of the reevaluation.
- b. If the reevaluation indicates that the student is no longer a student with a disability or that special education and related services are no longer needed, the parent must be provided prior written notice that these services will be discontinued.
- c. If the reevaluation indicates that the student's disability has changed (i.e., adding, deleting, or changing a disability category), the applicable eligibility staffing procedures are followed.

## Section I: Independent Educational Evaluations

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.502

Rule 6A-6.03311, F.A.C.

### Definition

An independent educational evaluation (IEE) is an evaluation conducted by a qualified evaluation specialist who is not employed by the school district responsible for the education of the student in question.

### General

1. The parents of a student with a disability have the right to an IEE at public expense if the parent disagrees with an evaluation obtained by the school district.
2. The parent of a student with a disability is to be provided, upon request for an IEE, information about where an IEE may be obtained and the school district criteria applicable to IEEs.
3. Public expense means that the school district either pays for the full cost of the evaluation or ensures that the evaluation is otherwise provided at no cost to the parent.
4. Whenever an IEE is conducted, the criteria under which the evaluation is obtained, including the location of the evaluation and the qualifications of the evaluation specialist, shall be the same as the criteria used by the school district when it initiates an evaluation, to the extent that those criteria are consistent with the parent's right to an IEE.
5. The school district may not impose conditions or timelines for obtaining an IEE at public expense other than those criteria described in rule 6A-6.03311, F.A.C.
6. If a parent requests an IEE at public expense, the school district must, without unnecessary delay, **either** :
  - o Ensure that an IEE is provided at public expense.
  - o Initiate a due process hearing under Rule 6A-6.03311, F.A.C. to show that its evaluation is appropriate or that the evaluation obtained by the parent did not meet the school district's criteria. If the school district initiates a hearing and the final decision from the hearing is that the school district's evaluation is appropriate, then the parent still has a right to an IEE but not at public expense.
7. If a parent requests an IEE, the school district may ask for the parent to give a reason why he or she objects to the district's evaluation. However, the explanation by the parent may not be required, and the school district may not unreasonably delay either providing the IEE at public expense or initiating a due process hearing to defend the district's evaluation.
8. A parent is entitled to only one IEE at public expense each time the school district conducts an evaluation with which the parent disagrees.
9. If the parent obtains an IEE at public expense or shares with the school district an evaluation obtained at private expense:
  - o The school district shall consider the results of such evaluation in any decision regarding the provision of FAPE to the student, if it meets appropriate school district criteria described in Rule 6A- 6.03311, F.A.C.
  - o The results of such evaluation may be presented by any party as evidence at any due process hearing regarding that student.
10. If an administrative law judge requests an IEE as part of a due process hearing, the cost of the evaluation must be at public expense.

**Describe the district's policies and procedures for responding to a parent's request for an IEE at public expense.**

The parent/guardian requests an Independent Education Evaluation (IEE) from school site personnel or district level personnel. The district school personnel will refer the parent/guardian to the Director of Exceptional Student Education (ESE) to initiate the process of a request for an IEE as follows: 1. The ESE Director/designee will provide the parent/guardian the Santa Rosa County Public Schools Request for an Independent Education Evaluation form to be completed along with procedural steps and timelines for the process. NOTE: All Independent Education Evaluations (IEEs) must include the same type of information as the original evaluation. The evaluation must include private evaluator observations in the classroom setting. Test protocols used in the original evaluation cannot be duplicated in a reassessment within one (1) calendar year. An alternate test of like substance must be used. Information will be provided to parents to alert any private evaluator of the requirements, certification or licensure required for assessment of all public school student. 2. The signed Request for IEE form will be dated the day the form is received by the Director of ESE/designee. This date initiates the timeline. 3. An IEE Request Review meeting is scheduled within ten (10) school days of the dated receipt of the IEE request form to discuss evaluation concerns. Minimally the IEE Request Review participants will include the Director of Student Services/designee, the Director of ESE/designee, the evaluators named in the evaluation report and the parents. 4. If parent/guardian evaluation concerns are not resolved in the IEE Request Review meeting, the Director of ESE/designee or the Director of Student Services/designee will offer, in lieu of an IEE, an the completion of an evaluation by an alternate evaluator within the district. If the parent accepts this option, the evaluation and written report will be completed by the alternate district evaluator within twenty (20) school days (student attendance days) of the date of the parent acceptance. If the parent/guardian rejects the provision of an alternate evaluator(s) within the district, the Director of ESE/designee will take one of the following actions: a. Grant the request for an IEE, to be completed, at public expense, by a qualified evaluation specialist who is not employed by the school district and is mutually agreed upon, or b. initiate the Due Process procedure to move the request to the state level within ten (10) working days of the notice of the said rejection. If the administrative law judge requests an IEE as a result of a due process hearing, the cost of the evaluation will be at public expense.

**Describe the district's policies and procedures for consideration of the results of an IEE obtained at private expense.**

The public school district Eligibility Staffing/Individual Education Plan teams will consider all evaluation results, public or private. Results will be recorded on the Eligibility Summary and in the current evaluation data section of the IEP document if applicable. Eligibility/ineligibility for ESE placement will be determined based on criteria set forth in Florida Statute and the district's Special Policies and Procedures (SPP) document.

**Part II. Policies and Procedures for Students with Disabilities**



## Section A: Instructional Program

### Statutory and Regulatory Citation

Rule 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

The following applies to the instructional program for students with disabilities in general. In addition to the philosophical, curricular, and instructional support issues included here, there are disability-specific expectations or requirements for certain categories of disability. That information is provided in the relevant *Exceptional Student Education Eligibility* sections of this document.

### Philosophy

1. Each student with a disability is entitled to receive FAPE in the least restrictive environment that will enable the student to progress in the general curriculum to the maximum extent possible.
2. Special education, which refers to specially designed instruction and related services, is provided to meet the unique needs of the student that result from the student's disability and to prepare the student for further education, employment, and independent living. Related services are defined in Rule 6A-6.03411(1)(dd), F.A.C.
3. Specially designed instruction means adapting, as appropriate, the content, methodology, or delivery of instruction.
4. Specially designed instruction may employ universal design for learning, assistive technology, accommodations, or modifications.

### Curriculum

1. To maximize accessibility to the curriculum, students will access the state standards through appropriate programming, support from special education and regular education teachers, support in the use of assistive technology, and through the use of universal design principals.
2. For all students with disabilities, these supports provide progress toward a standard high school diploma.

### Instructional Support

1. Students receive instructional support through specially designed instruction and related services as determined through the IEP process.
2. Teachers are trained in designing and implementing individualized programs to address the learning needs of each student.
3. Teachers are provided with administrative support to assure reasonable class size and workload, adequate funds for materials, and professional development.
4. Teachers instruct students in the unique skills necessary to access and benefit from the core curriculum. These skills may include, but are not limited to, curriculum and learning strategies, compensatory skills, independent functioning, social emotional behavior, use of assistive technology, and communication.
5. A range of service delivery options is available to meet the student's needs: consultation, itinerant instruction, resource room, special class, separate school, residential placement, homebound or hospitalized, and community-based or home-based services.
6. School districts may provide professional development for teachers in coordination with community agencies, the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind, discretionary projects funded by the Department of Education and other agencies of state and local government, including, but not limited to, the Division of Blind Services, the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, Department of Children and Families, and the Department of Health, Children's Medical Services, as appropriate.

## Section B.1: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students with Autism Spectrum Disorder

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.8

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03023, 6A-6.0331 and 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

### Definition

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a condition that reflects a wide range of symptoms and levels of impairment, which vary in severity from one individual to another. Autism spectrum disorder is characterized by an atypical developmental profile with a pattern of qualitative impairments in social interaction and social communication, and the presence of restricted or repetitive, patterns of behavior, interests, or activities, which occur across settings.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with ASD if evidence of **all** of the following criteria are met:

1. Impairment in social interaction as evidenced by delayed, absent, or atypical ability to relate to individuals or the environment;
2. Impairment in verbal or nonverbal language skills used for social communication
3. Restricted or repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities;
4. The core features identified in 1, 2, and 3 occur across settings.
5. The student demonstrates a need for special education as defined in Rule 6A-6.03411(1)(kk), F.A.C.

### Student Evaluation

In addition to the provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331(5), F.A.C., the district shall conduct a full and individual evaluation that addresses the core features of ASD to include deficits in social interaction, social communication, and restricted or repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities. An evaluation for determining eligibility shall include the following components:

1. Behavioral observations conducted by members of the evaluation team targeting social interaction, social communication skills, and restricted or repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities across settings;
2. A social developmental history based on an interview with the parents(s) or guardian(s);
3. A psychological evaluation that includes assessment of academic, intellectual, social-emotional, and behavioral functioning and must include at least one standardized instrument specific to ASD;
4. A language evaluation that includes assessment of the pragmatic (both verbal and nonverbal) and social interaction components of social communication (an observation of the student's social communication skills must be conducted by a speech language pathologist);
5. A standardized assessment of adaptive behavior; and
6. If behavioral concerns are present, a functional behavioral assessment is conducted to inform behavioral interventions on the student's individual educational plan.

### Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

1. While students with ASD share instructional needs with other students, there are characteristics that are specific to ASD, including the development and use of language and communication skills, the development of appropriate social skills, and the development of appropriate behavioral skills. The need to tailor instruction to the individual learning styles and needs of each student requires that teachers of students with ASD be knowledgeable in a variety of educational strategies.

2. Inherent in a program for students with ASD is the recognition that ASD is a developmental disability that adversely impacts the student's communication, social, and behavioral skills. It is important to take into consideration the student's strengths and needs in all three areas when tailoring educational services for the student.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with autism spectrum disorders.**

- ☒ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☐ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.2: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students who are Deaf or Hard-of-Hearing

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.8, 300.34, and 300.113

Sections 1003.01, 1003.55, and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03013, 6A-2.0010, 6A-6.03028 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### Definition

A student who is deaf or hard-of-hearing has a hearing loss, aided or unaided, that impacts the processing of linguistic information and which adversely affects performance in the educational environment. The degree of loss may range from mild to profound.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student who is deaf or hard-of-hearing if the following criteria are met:

1. Medical: An audiological evaluation documents a permanent or fluctuating hearing threshold level that interferes with progress in any one of the following areas: developmental skills or academic performance, social-emotional development, or linguistic and communicative skills as evidenced by:
  - a. 25 decibel (dB) + or - 5 dB or greater based on pure tone average or average of 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz unaided in the better ear; or
  - b. A high frequency hearing threshold level of 25 dB + or - 5 dB or greater based on pure tone average of 1000, 2000, and 3000 Hz unaided in the better ear; or
  - c. A unilateral hearing threshold level of 50 dB + or - 5 dB or greater based on pure tone average of 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz unaided; or
  - d. Auditory Evoked Potential responses evidencing permanent hearing loss at multiple frequencies equivalent to or in excess of the decibel hearing loss threshold criteria for pure tone audiometric testing specified above,
2. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

### Student Evaluation

In addition to the provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., regarding general education intervention procedures, the minimum student evaluation shall include **all** of the following:

1. Audiological evaluation
2. Evaluation of developmental skills or academic achievement, including information on the student's academic strengths and weaknesses
3. Evaluation of social development
4. Evaluation of receptive and expressive communication
5. A comprehensive nonverbal assessment of intellectual functioning or developmental scales, if more appropriate, for children under age seven

Selection of assessment instruments shall take into consideration the student's functioning level, degree of hearing loss, and method of communication.

### Student Reevaluation

A reevaluation will occur at least every three years and will include at a minimum an audiological evaluation and, if appropriate, any other formal evaluations addressed in the initial evaluation in accordance with the Student Evaluation section above.

## Qualified Evaluators

All evaluators must hold a valid license or certificate in the state of Florida, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C. The following are qualified evaluators for specialized evaluations:

1. An audiologist for an audiological evaluation
2. A teacher of the deaf or hard-of-hearing
3. A speech and language pathologist
4. A school psychologist

## Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

1. All students who are identified as deaf or hard-of-hearing will be screened for Usher syndrome at least one time between grades 6 and 12. Qualified evaluators include: teachers of the deaf or hard-of-hearing, speech language pathologists, audiologists, teachers of the blind or visually impaired, and school health personnel who have been trained in Usher's screening procedures.
2. Students shall have access to instruction using the method of communication most readily understood by the student. Each student who is deaf or hard-of-hearing shall have the opportunity to develop expressive and receptive language skills using any or all of the following:
  - a. Residual hearing
  - b. Speech reading
  - c. Manual communication systems
  - d. Speech
  - e. Appropriate amplification
3. Rule 6A-6.03028(3)(g), F.A.C., requires the use of the Communication Plan form adopted by the State Board of Education during the development of the IEP for students who are deaf, hard of hearing or dual sensory impaired. Use of this plan will ensure that IEP teams are considering the instructional needs of these students in a more comprehensive manner. The school district shall consider the communication and language needs of students who are deaf or hard-of-hearing, including opportunities for direct communication with peers and professional personnel in the student's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, and opportunities for direct instruction in the student's language and communication mode.
4. Routine checking of hearing aids worn in school by students with hearing loss and the external components of surgically implanted medical devices (i.e., cochlear implants) is required to ensure that these devices are functioning properly.
5. Assistive technology and related services do not include a medical device that is surgically implanted, or the replacement of such device. Although cochlear implants are not considered assistive technology, children with cochlear implants maintain the right to receive related services that are determined by the IEP team to be necessary for the student. School districts are responsible for providing appropriate services for the students. However, appropriate services do not include maintaining, optimizing (i.e., mapping), or replacing cochlear implants.
6. Interpreting services includes the following, when used with respect to children who are deaf or hard-of-hearing: oral transliteration services; cued language transliteration services; sign language transliteration and interpreting services, and transcription services, such as communication access real-time translation (CART), C-Print; and TypeWell; and special interpreting services for children who are deaf-blind.
7. Each learning environment shall have appropriate acoustic treatment, lighting, and auditory amplification equipment to meet the individual needs of each student. Auditory equipment shall be made available through the school district (e.g., Personal or Soundfield FM systems, infrared systems, induction loop systems, and other assistive listening devices). Auditory equipment will be calibrated annually, maintained, and considered for replacement on a five (5)-year cycle. Visual alarm devices shall be provided in all areas where students who are deaf or hard-of-hearing may be separated from persons with normal hearing—group bathrooms, corridors, specific areas designated for the deaf, etc., in accordance with Rule 6A-2.0010, F.A.C.

8. The school district will provide information describing the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind and all other programs and methods of instruction available to the parent of a student with sensory impairments. This information will be provided annually.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students who are deaf or hard-of-hearing.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.3: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Prekindergarten Children who are Developmentally Delayed

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.8 and 303.21

Sections 1003.01, 1003.21, and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03026, 6A-6.03027 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.03029, 6A-6.03031, 6A-6.0331 and 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

### Definitions

1. For an infant or toddler from birth through two years of age, developmental delay is defined as delay in one or more of the following developmental domains: adaptive development; cognitive development; communication development; social or emotional development; or physical development.
2. For a child three through five years of age, developmental delay is defined as a delay in one or more of the following areas: adaptive or self-help development; cognitive development; communication development; social or emotional development; or physical development, including fine, gross, or perceptual motor.

### Eligibility Criteria

1. For a child three through five years of age

A child is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with developmental delay when the following criteria are met:

- a. The child is three through five years of age.
- b. There is documentation of **one** of the following:
  - A score of two standard deviations (SD) below the mean or a 25 percent delay on measures yielding scores in months in at least one area of development
  - A score of 1.5 SD below the mean or a 20 percent delay on measures yielding scores in months in at least two areas of development
  - Based on informed clinical opinion, the eligibility staffing committee makes a recommendation that a developmental delay exists and exceptional student education services are needed
- c. The eligibility staffing committee or multidisciplinary team, which includes the invited parent(s), makes a determination concerning the effects of the environment, cultural differences, or economic disadvantage.

2. For a child birth through two years of age (below 36 months)

An infant or toddler is eligible for exceptional student education when a team of qualified professionals and the parent or guardian, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(6), F.A.C., determine that **all** the following criteria are met:

- a. The child is below the age of 36 months;
- b. There is documentation of **one** of the following:
  - i. A score of 1.5 standard deviations below the mean in two or more developmental domains as measured by at least one appropriate diagnostic instrument and procedures, and informed clinical opinion; or
  - ii. A score of 2.0 standard deviations below the mean in one developmental domain as measured by at least one appropriate diagnostic instrument and procedures, and informed clinical opinion; or
  - iii. Based on informed clinical opinion a determination has been made that a developmental delay exists.
- c. The requirements of Rule 6A-6.0331(2), F.A.C., have been met;

- d. There is written evidence that the Department of Health, Children's Medical Services, Part C Local Early Steps has determined that the infant or toddler has a developmental delay as defined in section (2)(b) of this rule; and,
- e. The infant or toddler needs early intervention services as defined in Rule 6A-6.03411(1)(i), F.A.C.

## Child Evaluation

In addition to the provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331(2), F.A.C., regarding procedures prior to initial evaluation for prekindergarten children, the evaluation for determination of eligibility shall include the following:

Procedures for evaluation for children three through five years:

1. The school district must seek consent from the parent or guardian to conduct an evaluation within 30 days, unless the parent and the school district agree otherwise in writing, whenever:
  - a. The Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resource Center's or the district's developmental screening results indicate that the child, three years to kindergarten-entry age, is a child with a disability and needs special education and related services.
  - b. A parent requests an evaluation and there is documentation or evidence that the child may be a student with a disability in need of special education.
2. Developmental delay is documented by a multidisciplinary team using multiple measures of assessment, which include the following:
  - o Standardized instruments, judgement-based assessments, criterion-referenced instruments, systematic observation, functional skills assessments, or other procedures selected in consultation with the parent(s); or
  - o Informed clinical opinion using qualitative and quantitative information to determine the need for early intervention services; and
  - o Parent report, which can confirm or modify information obtained and describe behavior in environments that the district may not be able to access.
3. When a developmental delay cannot be verified by the use of standardized instruments, the delay(s) may be established through observation of atypical functioning in any one or more of the developmental areas. A report shall be written documenting the evaluation procedures used, the results obtained, the reasons for overriding those results from standardized instruments, and the basis for recommending eligibility.

## Continued Eligibility for ESE Services

1. For a child three through five years of age, continued eligibility as a student with a disability under another category will be determined before the child is six years old.
2. For a child birth through two years of age (below 36 months), continued eligibility as a child with a disability will be determined before the child's third birthday.

## Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

1. For a child three through five years of age
  - a. As appropriate, the individualized family support plan (IFSP) or individual educational plan (IEP) shall be developed through interagency collaboration with the family and other providers of services to the child and family and in accordance with Rules 6A-6.03026, 6A-6.03028, and 6A-6.03029, F.A.C.
  - b. Because of the rapid development of young children, on-going observations and assessments shall be conducted as needed to plan for IFSP or IEP modifications.
2. For a child birth through two years of age (below 36 months)



- a. The IFSP shall be developed in collaboration with the family and other providers of service to the child and family and in accordance with Rules 6A-6.03026, 6A-6.03029, and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.
- b. Because of the rapid development of young children and the changing needs of families, ongoing observations or assessments shall be conducted at least every six months for the purpose of completing the periodic review of the IFSP.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for prekindergarten children with developmental delays.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.4: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students who are Dual-Sensory Impaired

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.8, 300.34, 300.113, and 300.172 and 300.324

Chapters 458 and 463, F.S.

Sections 1003.55, 1003.57, and 1003.575, F.S.

Rules 6A-2.0010, 6A-6.03014, 6A-6.03022 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### Definition

Dual-sensory impairment is defined to mean concomitant hearing and visual impairments, or etiology or diagnosed medical condition that indicates a potential dual sensory loss, the combination of which impacts communication, independence, and other developmental and educational needs.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with a dual-sensory impairment if the following criteria are met:

1. One or more of the following visual impairments:

- a. A visual acuity of 20/70 or less in the better eye after best correction;
- b. A peripheral field so constricted that it affects the student's ability to function in an educational setting;
- c. A diagnosis of visual impairment after best correction;
- d. A progressive loss of vision that may affect the student's ability to function in an educational setting; as stated in Rule 6A-6.03014(3)(a), F.A.C.; **or**
- e. Functional blindness;

and

2. One or more of the following hearing impairments:

- a. 25 decibel (dB) + or - 5 dB or greater based on pure tone average or average of 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz unaided in the better ear;
- b. A high frequency hearing threshold level of 25 dB + or - 5 dB or greater based on pure tone average of 1000, 2000, and 3000 Hz unaided in the better ear;
- c. A unilateral hearing threshold level of 50 dB + or - 5 dB or greater based on pure tone average of 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz unaided;
- d. Auditory evoked potential responses evidencing permanent hearing loss at multiple frequencies equivalent to or in excess of the decibel hearing loss threshold criteria for pure tone audiometric testing specified above; **or**
- e. Functional hearing loss;

and

3. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

**OR**

4. The student has a medical report from a physician licensed in Florida in accordance with Chapter 458 or Chapter 463, F.S., unless a report of medical examination from a physician licensed in another state is permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(e), F.A.C., confirming the existence of such a medical condition having the potential for dual sensory loss to include the diagnosis, its prognosis, and the potential for dual sensory loss; and

5. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

## Student Evaluation

In addition, to the procedures defined in Rule 6A-6.0331(5), F.A.C., the minimum student evaluations include:

1. A medical eye exam by an ophthalmologist or optometrist licensed in Florida in accordance with Chapter 458 or Chapter 463, F.S., unless a report of medical examination from a physician licensed in another state is permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(e), F.A.C., describing etiology, diagnosis, treatment regimen, prognosis, near and distance vision, corrected and uncorrected acuity measures for left eye, right eye, and both eyes, measure of field of vision, and recommendations for lighting levels, physical activity, aids, or use of glasses, as appropriate;
2. An audiological evaluation;
3. A functional vision evaluation;
4. A functional hearing assessment;
5. An assessment of social development;
6. An evaluation of receptive and expressive communication by a speech and language pathologist;
7. A learning media assessment;
8. If appropriate, an orientation and mobility assessment and sign language assessment; and
9. If available, a medical report from a physician licensed in Florida in accordance with Chapter 458 or Chapter 463, F.S., unless a report of a medical examination from a physician licensed in another state is permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(e), F.A.C., describing the etiology or diagnosis of the student's medical condition that does, or has the potential to, result in dual sensory loss.

## Student Reevaluation

1. A reevaluation shall occur at least every three years and shall include, at a minimum, the following:
  - a. A functional vision evaluation;
  - b. A functional hearing assessment;
  - c. An assessment of social development;
  - d. An evaluation of receptive and expressive communication by a speech and language pathologist;
  - e. A learning media assessment;
  - f. If appropriate, an orientation and mobility assessment and a sign language assessment; and
  - g. Any other evaluations specified by an evaluation specialist and an exceptional student teacher after examination of available information in all areas addressed in the initial evaluation or in subsequent reevaluations of the student in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

## Qualified Evaluators

All evaluators must hold a valid license or certificate in the state of Florida, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

The following are qualified evaluators for specialized evaluations:

1. An optometrist or ophthalmologist for a medical eye exam
2. A teacher of the visually impaired, orientation and mobility specialist, or low vision specialist for a functional vision assessment
3. An audiologist for an audiological evaluation

4. A teacher of the deaf or hard-of-hearing, speech and language pathologist, or audiologist for a functional hearing assessment.

### **Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations**

1. All students with visual impairments, including students with dual-sensory impairment, are registered for services from the Florida Instructional Materials Center for the Visually Impaired. Additionally, information regarding all students who are dual-sensory impaired shall be submitted to the state's annual census report for the national child count of students and youth who are both deaf and blind.
2. In accordance with 34 CFR §300.324, students will be provided with instruction in braille unless otherwise determined by the IEP team. This determination is based upon the student's present reading and writing skills, functional vision assessment, and learning media assessment, as well as documentation indicating the need for instruction or use of braille in the future.
3. Orientation and mobility is a related service provided to blind or visually impaired students if determined necessary by the IEP team that enables those students to attain systematic orientation to and safe movement within their environments in school, home, and community. Orientation and mobility instruction encompasses skill and conceptual awareness that includes, but is not limited to: spatial awareness, use of sensory information to maintain orientation, the use of mobility devices (i.e., long cane, distance low vision aids, assistive technology), and other skills and techniques used to travel safely and efficiently across a variety of settings.
4. Rule 6A-6.03028(3)(g), F.A.C., requires the use of the Model Communication Plan adopted by the State Board of Education during the development of the IEP for students who are deaf, hard of hearing or dual sensory impaired. Use of this plan will ensure that IEP teams are considering the instructional needs of these students in a more comprehensive manner. School districts shall consider the communication and language needs of students who are deaf or hard-of-hearing, including opportunities for direct communication with peers and professional personnel in the student's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the student's language and communication mode in accordance with 34 CFR §300.324.
5. Students shall have access to instruction using the method of communication most readily understood by the student. Each student who is deaf or hard-of-hearing shall have the opportunity to develop expressive and receptive language skills using any or all of the following:
  - a. Residual hearing
  - b. Speech reading
  - c. Manual communication systems
  - d. Speech
  - e. Appropriate amplification
6. Routine checking of hearing aids worn in school by students with hearing loss and the external components of surgically implanted medical devices (i.e., cochlear implants) is required to ensure that these devices are functioning properly.
7. Assistive technology and related services do not include a medical device that is surgically implanted, or the replacement of such device. Although cochlear implants are not considered assistive technology, children with cochlear implants maintain the right to receive related services that are determined by the IEP team to be necessary for the student. School districts are responsible for providing appropriate services for the students. However, appropriate services do not include maintaining, optimizing (i.e., mapping), or replacing cochlear implants.
8. Interpreting services include the following, when used with respect to children who are deaf or hard-of-hearing: oral transliteration services; cued language transliteration services; sign language transliteration and interpreting services; transcription services, such as CART, C-Print, and TypeWell; and special interpreting services, such as an intervener, for children who are deaf-blind.
9. Each learning environment shall have appropriate acoustic treatment, lighting, and auditory amplification equipment to meet the individual needs of each student. Auditory equipment shall be made available through the school district (e.g., personal or Soundfield FM systems, infrared systems, induction loop systems, and other assistive listening devices). Auditory equipment will be calibrated annually, maintained, and considered for replacement on a five-year cycle. Visual alarm devices shall be provided in all

areas where students who are deaf or hard-of-hearing may be separated from persons with normal hearing, such as group bathrooms, corridors, specific areas designated for the deaf, etc., in accordance with Rule 6A-2.0010, F.A.C.

10. The school district will provide information describing the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind and all other programs and methods of instruction available to the parent of a student with sensory impairments. This information will be provided annually. Additionally, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03014, F.A.C., cooperative planning with the Division of Blind Services (DBS) may occur for students eligible for DBS services, with parent participation and agreement.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with dual-sensory impairment.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.5: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students with Emotional or Behavioral Disabilities

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.8

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03016 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### Definition

A student with an emotional or behavioral disability (EBD) has persistent (is not sufficiently responsive to implemented evidence-based interventions) and consistent emotional or behavioral responses that adversely affect performance in the educational environment that cannot be attributed to age, culture, gender, or ethnicity.

### Evidence-Based Interventions in General Education

Prior to an evaluation, the district must meet the general education requirements in Rule 6A-6.0331(1), F.A.C., including the responsibility to implement evidence-based interventions for students requiring additional academic and emotional or behavioral support in the general education environment. General education activities and interventions conducted prior to an evaluation in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(1) F.A.C., may be used to satisfy the requirements of Rule 6A-6.03016, F.A.C.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with emotional or behavioral disabilities if the following criteria are met:

1. A student with an emotional or behavioral disability demonstrates an inability to maintain adequate performance in the educational environment that cannot be explained by physical, sensory, socio-cultural, developmental, medical, or health (with the exception of mental health) factors; and one or more of the following characteristics:
  - a. Internal factors characterized by:
    - Feelings of sadness, or frequent crying, or restlessness, or loss of interest in friends or school work, or mood swings, or erratic behavior; or
    - The presence of symptoms such as fears, phobias, or excessive worrying and anxiety regarding personal or school problems; or
    - Behaviors that result from thoughts and feelings that are inconsistent with actual events or circumstances, or difficulty maintaining normal thought processes, or excessive levels of withdrawal from persons or events; or
  - b. External factors characterized by:
    - An inability to build or maintain satisfactory interpersonal relationships with peers, teachers, and other adults in the school setting; or
    - Behaviors that are chronic and disruptive such as noncompliance, verbal or physical aggression, or poorly developed social skills that are manifestations of feelings, symptoms, or behaviors as specified in section 1.a) above.
2. The characteristics described above are present for a minimum of six months duration and in two or more settings, including but not limited to, school, educational environment, transition to or from school, or home and community settings. At least one setting must include school.
3. The student demonstrates a need for special education.
4. In extraordinary circumstances, the general education requirements in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., and the criteria for eligibility relating to duration and setting described in 2. Above may be waived when immediate intervention is required to address an acute onset of an internal characteristic listed above in 1. a) of the Eligibility Criteria section.

5. The characteristics described below are not indicative of a student with an emotional or behavioral disability:
- a. Normal, temporary (fewer than six months) reactions to life event(s) or crisis, or
  - b. Emotional or behavioral difficulties that improve significantly from the presence of evidence-based implemented interventions, or
  - c. Social maladjustment unless also found to meet the criteria for an emotional or behavioral disability

## Student Evaluation

In addition to the provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., regarding general education intervention procedures, the minimum student evaluation shall include **all** of the following:

1. A functional behavioral assessment (FBA) must be conducted. The FBA must identify the specific behavior(s) of concern, conditions under which the behavior is most and least likely to occur, and function or purpose of the behavior. A review and, if necessary, a revision of an FBA completed as part of general education interventions may meet this requirement if it meets the conditions described in this section. If an FBA was not completed to assist in the development of general education interventions, one must be completed and a well-delivered scientific, research-based behavioral intervention plan of reasonable intensity and duration must be implemented with fidelity prior to determining eligibility.
2. The evaluation must include documentation of the student's response to general education interventions implemented to target the function of the behavior as identified in the FBA.
3. A social developmental history compiled from a structured interview with the parent or guardian that addresses developmental, familial, medical, health, and environmental factors impacting learning and behavior, and which identifies the relationship between social developmental and socio-cultural factors, and the presence or nonpresence of emotional or behavioral responses beyond the school environment.
4. A psychological evaluation conducted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C. The psychological evaluation should include assessment procedures necessary to identify the factors contributing to the development of an emotional or behavioral disability, which include behavioral observations and interview data relative to the referral concerns, and assessment of emotional and behavioral functioning, and may also include information on developmental functioning and skills. The psychological evaluation shall include a review of general education interventions that have already been implemented and the criteria used to evaluate their success.
5. A review of educational data that includes information on the student's academic levels of performance, and the relationship between the student's academic performance and the emotional or behavioral disability; additional academic evaluation may be completed if needed.
6. A medical evaluation must be conducted when it is determined by the administrator of the exceptional student program or the designee that the emotional or behavioral responses may be precipitated by a physical problem.

## Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

1. When making a distinction between students with internalized or externalized characteristics, the IEP team will consider these presenting manifestations as they determine the needs of the students when recommending: goals and short-term objectives or benchmarks, if appropriate; specially designed instruction and related services; and the location of such services.
2. Services for students with EBD provide an integrated curriculum of academic, affective, and behavioral interventions. These services are designed to support the improvement of academic and social functioning through academic (e.g., differentiated instruction, mastery learning), affective (e.g., individual or group counseling, parent education and support), and behavioral (e.g., behavior support; consultation from mental health, medical, or other professionals) interventions. Student improvement is measured through continuous progress monitoring of responses to intervention. A critical component of effective EBD services is parent involvement and on-going communication about implementation and outcomes of interventions.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with emotional or behavioral disabilities.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## **Section B.6: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Infants or Toddlers Birth through Two Years Old who have Established Conditions**

### **Statutory and Regulatory Citations**

34 CFR §§303.21 and 303.300

Sections 1003.01, 1003.21, and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03030, 6A-6.0331 and 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

### **Definition**

An infant or toddler with an established condition is defined as a child from birth through two years of age with a diagnosed physical or mental condition known to have a high probability of resulting in developmental delay. Such conditions shall include genetic and metabolic disorders, neurological disorders, a severe attachment disorder, an autism spectrum disorder, a sensory impairment (vision or hearing), or the infant's birth weight was less than 1,200 grams.

### **Eligibility Criteria**

An infant or toddler is eligible for exceptional student education when a team of qualified professionals and the parent or guardian in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(6), F.A.C., determine that **all** the following criteria are met:

1. The infant or toddler is below the age of 36 months;
2. The requirements of Rule 6A-6.0331(2), F.A.C., have been met;
3. There is written evidence that the Department of Health, Children's Medical Services, Part C Local Early Steps has determined that the infant or toddler has an established condition as defined in section (1) of Rule 6A-6.03030, F.A.C.; and,
4. The infant or toddler needs early intervention services as defined in Rule 6A-6.03411(1)(i), F.A.C.

### **Continued Eligibility**

Continued eligibility for exceptional student education programs will be determined before the child's third birthday.

### **Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations**

The individualized family support plan (IFSP) shall be developed with the Local Early Steps, the family, and other providers of service to the child and family, and shall include services to provide the parent, guardian, or primary caregiver the opportunity to acquire specific skills and knowledge that will enable them to enhance the child's cognitive, physical, social, communication, and adaptive behavior. In the provision of an appropriate educational program for eligible children with disabilities ages birth through two years, home instruction may include direct instruction of the parent, guardian, or primary caregiver.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for children birth through two years old with established conditions.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.



## Section B.7: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students who are Homebound or Hospitalized

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.115

Chapters 458 and 459, F.S.

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03011, 6A-6.03012, 6A-6.03013, 6A-6.03014, 6A-6.030151, 6A-6.030152, 6A-6.030153, 6A-6.03016, 6A-6.03018, 6A-6.03020, 6A-6.03022, 6A-6.03023, 6A-6.03027 and 6A-6.03028, F.A.C.

### Definitions

1. A homebound or hospitalized student is a student who has a medically diagnosed physical or psychiatric condition that is acute or catastrophic in nature, a chronic illness or a repeated intermittent illness due to a persisting medical problem, which confines the student to home or hospital and restricts activities for an extended period of time.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for educational instruction through homebound or hospitalized services if the following criteria are met:

1. A physician licensed in Florida in accordance with Chapter 458 or 459, F.S., unless a report of medical examination from a physician licensed in another state is permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(e), F.A.C., must certify:
  - a. That the student is expected to be absent from school due to a physical or psychiatric condition for at least 15 consecutive school days (or the equivalent on a block schedule), or due to a chronic condition for at least 15 school days (or the equivalent on a block schedule), which need not run consecutively; and
  - b. That the student is confined to home or hospital; and
  - c. That the student will be able to participate in and benefit from an instructional program; and
  - d. That the student is under medical care for illness or injury that is acute, catastrophic, or chronic in nature; and
  - e. That the student can receive instructional services without endangering the health and safety of the instructor or other students with whom the instructor may come in contact.
2. The student is in kindergarten through twelfth grade and is enrolled in public school, unless the student meets criteria for eligibility under Rules 6A-6.03011, 6A-6.03012, 6A-6.030121, 6A-6.03013, 6A-6.03014, 6A-6.030151, 6A-6.030152, 6A-6.030153, 6A-6.03016, 6A-6.03018, 6A-6.03022, 6A-6.03023, or 6A-6.03027, F.A.C.
3. A child is three (3) through (5) years of age and has been determined eligible as a student with a disability in accordance with s. 1003.571, F.S., and Rule 6A-6.03011, 6A-6.03012, 6A-6.030121, 6A-6.03013, 6A-6.03014, 6A-6.030151, 6A-6.030152, 6A-6.030153, 6A-6.03016, 6A-6.03018, 6A-6.03022, 6A-6.03023, 6A-6.03026, 6A-6.03027, or 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.
4. A parent, guardian, or primary caregiver signs a parental agreement concerning homebound or hospitalized policies and parental cooperation.

### Student Evaluation

In addition to the provisions of Rule 6A-6.0331(5), F.A.C., the minimum evaluation for determining eligibility shall include the following:

1. A current medical report from a licensed physician, as defined above, describing the following:
  - a. A disabling condition or diagnosis with any medical implications for instruction;
  - b. A statement that the student is unable to attend school;
  - c. The plan of treatment;

d. Recommendations regarding school re-entry and other school- related activities; and

e. An estimated duration of condition or prognosis.

2. The team determining eligibility may require additional evaluation data. This additional evaluation data must be obtained at no cost to the parent.
3. A physical reexamination and a medical report by a licensed physician or physicians, which may be requested by the administrator of exceptional student education or the administrator's designee on a more frequent basis than annually, may be required if the student is scheduled to attend school part of a day during a recuperative period of readjustment to a full school schedule. This physical examination and medical report shall be obtained at no cost to the parent.

## **Procedures for Providing an Individual Educational Plan (IEP) or Individualized Family Support Plan (IFSP)**

1. The IEP or IFSP shall be developed or revised following determination of eligibility in accordance with this rule.
2. A student may be assigned to both a homebound or hospitalized program and to a school-based program due to an acute, chronic or intermittent condition as certified by a licensed physician.
3. This decision shall be made by the IEP or IFSP team in accordance with the requirements of Rule 6A-6.03028 or 6A-6.03029, F.A.C.

## **Instructional Services**

The following settings and instructional modes, or a combination thereof, are appropriate methods for providing instruction to students determined eligible for these services:

1. Instruction in a home. The parent, guardian or primary caregiver shall provide a quiet, clean, and well-ventilated setting where the teacher and student will work; ensure that a responsible adult is present; and establish a schedule for student study between teacher visits that takes into account the student's medical condition and the requirements of the student's coursework.
2. Instruction in a hospital. The hospital administrator or designee shall provide appropriate space for the teacher and student to work and allow for the establishment of a schedule for student study between teacher visits.
3. Instruction through telecommunications or electronic devices. When the IEP or IFSP team determines that instruction is by telecommunications or electronic devices, an open, uninterrupted telecommunication link shall be provided, at no additional cost to the parent, during the instructional period. The parent shall ensure that the student is prepared to actively participate in learning.
4. Instruction in other specified settings. The IEP or IFSP team may determine that instruction would be best delivered in a mutually agreed upon alternate setting other than the home, or hospital or through telecommunications or electronic devices.
5. Instruction in a school setting on a part-time basis may be appropriate as the student transitions back to the student's regular class schedule, if the IEP or IFSP team determines this meets the student's needs.
6. Services for students in speciality hospitals. In accordance with the requirements of s 1003.57, F.S., eligible students receiving treatment in a children's speciality hospital licensed in accordance with Chapter 395, Part I, F.S., must be provided educational instruction from the school district in which the hospital is located until the school district in which the hospital is located enters into an agreement with the school district in which the student resides. The agreement must ensure the timely provision of seamless educational instruction to students who transition between school districts while receiving treatment in the children's speciality hospital.
7. Notification Agreement. A school district in which a children's speciality hospital is located must enter into an agreement with the hospital that establishes a process by which the hospital must notify the school district of students who may be eligible for educational instruction through homebound or hospitalized services pursuant to s. 1003.57, F.S.

## **Students Receiving Treatment in a Children's Specialty Hospital**

Eligible students receiving treatment in a children's specialty hospital licensed under Chapter 395, Part I, F.S., must be provided educational instruction from the school district in which the hospital is located until the school district in which the hospital is located enters into an agreement with the school district in which the student resides.

The district must enter into an agreement with children's specialty hospitals in the district. This agreement establishes a process by which the hospital must notify the school district of students who may be eligible for instruction consistent with the eligibility for homebound and hospitalized services.

**The district has entered into an agreement with a children's specialty hospital.**

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ N/A

**If yes, identify the children's specialty hospitals licensed under Chapter 395, Part I, F.S., which are located in your district.**

|

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students who are hospitalized or homebound.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.8: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students with Intellectual Disabilities

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.8

Chapter 490, F.S.

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-4.0311, 6A-6.03011 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### Definition

An intellectual disability is defined as significantly below average general intellectual and adaptive functioning manifested during the developmental period, with significant delays in academic skills. Developmental period refers to birth to 18 years of age.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with an intellectual disability if the following criteria are met:

1. The measured level of intellectual functioning is more than two standard deviations below the mean on an individually measured, standardized test of intellectual functioning.
2. The level of adaptive functioning is more than two standard deviations below the mean on the adaptive behavior composite or on two out of three domains on a standardized test of adaptive behavior. The adaptive behavior measure shall include parental or guardian input.
3. The level of academic or pre-academic performance on a standardized test is consistent with the performance expected of a student of comparable intellectual functioning.
4. The social developmental history identifies the developmental, familial, medical, health, and environmental factors impacting student functioning and documents the student's functional skills outside of the school environment.
5. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

### Student Evaluation

1. In addition to the procedures identified in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., the minimum evaluation for determining eligibility shall include all of the following:
  - a. A standardized individual test of intellectual functioning individually administered by a professional person qualified in accordance with Rule 6A-4.0311, F.A.C., or licensed under Chapter 490, F.S.
  - b. A standardized assessment of adaptive behavior to include parental or guardian input.
  - c. An individually administered standardized test of academic or pre-academic achievement. A standardized developmental scale shall be used when a student's level of functioning cannot be measured by an academic or pre-academic test.
  - d. A social developmental history that has been compiled directly from the parent, guardian, or primary caregiver.
2. Eligibility is determined by a group of qualified professionals and the parent or guardian in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C. The documentation of the determination of eligibility must include a written summary of the group's analysis of the data that incorporates the following information:
  - a. The basis for making the determination, including an assurance that the determination has been made in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.
  - b. Noted behavior during the observation of the student and the relationship of that behavior to the student's academic and intellectual functioning.
  - c. The educationally relevant medical findings, if any.

- d. The determination of the group concerning the effects on the student's achievement level of a visual, hearing, motor, or emotional or behavioral disability; cultural factors; environmental or economic factors; an irregular pattern of attendance or high mobility rate; classroom behavior; or limited English proficiency.
- e. The signature of each group member certifying that the documentation of determination of eligibility reflects the member's conclusion. If it does not reflect the member's conclusion, the group member must submit a separate statement presenting the member's conclusion.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with intellectual disabilities.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## **Section B.9: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students with Orthopedic Impairment**

### **Statutory and Regulatory Citations**

34 CFR §300.8

Chapters 458 and 459, F.S.

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.030151 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### **Definition**

Orthopedic impairment means a severe skeletal, muscular, or neuromuscular impairment. The term includes impairments resulting from congenital anomalies (e.g., including, but not limited to, skeletal deformity or spina bifida) and impairments resulting from other causes (e.g., including, but not limited to, cerebral palsy or amputations).

### **Eligibility Criteria**

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with an orthopedic impairment if the following criteria are met:

1. There is evidence of an orthopedic impairment that adversely affects the student's performance in the educational environment in any of the following: ambulation, hand movement, coordination, or daily living skills.
2. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

### **Student Evaluation**

In addition to the provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., regarding general education intervention procedures, the minimum student evaluation shall include all of the following:

1. A report of a medical examination, within the previous 12-month period, from a physician(s) licensed in Florida in accordance with Chapter 458 or Chapter 459, F.S., unless a report of medical examination from a physician licensed in another state is determined by the district to be permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(c), F.A.C. The physician's report must provide a description of the impairment and any medical implications for instruction.
2. An educational evaluation that identifies educational and environmental needs of the student.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with an orthopedic impairment.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.10: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students with Other Health Impairment

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.8

Chapters 458 and 459, F.S.

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.030152 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### Definition

Other health impairment means having limited strength, vitality, or alertness, including a heightened alertness to environmental stimuli, that results in limited alertness with respect to the educational environment, that is due to chronic or acute health problems. This includes, but is not limited to, asthma, attention deficit disorder or attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, Tourette syndrome, diabetes, epilepsy, a heart condition, hemophilia, lead poisoning, leukemia, nephritis, rheumatic fever, sickle cell anemia, and acquired brain injury.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with an other health impairment if the following criteria are met:

1. There is evidence of a health impairment that results in reduced efficiency in schoolwork and adversely affects the student's performance in the educational environment.
2. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

### Student Evaluation

In addition to the provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., regarding general education intervention procedures, the minimum student evaluations shall include all of the following:

1. A report of a medical examination, within the previous 12-month period, from a physician(s) licensed in Florida in accordance with Chapter 458 or Chapter 459, F.S., unless a report of medical examination from a physician licensed in another state is determined by the district to be permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(c), F.A.C. The physician's report must provide a description of the impairment and any medical implications for instruction.
2. An educational evaluation that identifies educational and environmental needs of the student.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with other health impairment.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.11: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students with Traumatic Brain Injury

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.8

Chapters 458 and 459, F.S.

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.030153 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### Definition

A traumatic brain injury means an acquired injury to the brain caused by an external physical force resulting in total or partial functional disability or psychosocial impairment, or both, that adversely affects educational performance. The term applies to mild, moderate, or severe open or closed head injuries resulting in impairments in one or more areas, such as cognition; language; memory; attention; reasoning; abstract thinking; judgment; problem solving; sensory, perceptual and motor abilities; psychosocial behavior; physical functions; information processing; or speech. The term includes anoxia due to trauma. The term does not include brain injuries that are congenital, degenerative, or induced by birth trauma.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with a traumatic brain injury if the following criteria are met:

1. There is evidence of a traumatic brain injury that impacts one or more of the areas identified in the definition.
2. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

### Student Evaluation

1. In addition to the provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., regarding general education intervention procedures, the minimum student evaluations shall include all of the following:
  - a. A report of a medical examination, within the previous 12-month period, from a physician(s) licensed in Florida in accordance with Chapter 458 or Chapter 459, F.S., unless a report of medical examination from a physician licensed in another state is determined by the district to be permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(c), F.A.C. The physician's report must provide a description of the traumatic brain injury and any medical implications for instruction.
  - b. Documented evidence by more than one person, including the parent, guardian, or primary caregiver, in more than one situation. The documentation shall include evidence of a marked contrast of pre- and post-injury capabilities in one or more of the following areas: cognition; language; memory; attention; reasoning; abstract thinking; judgment; problem solving; sensory, perceptual, and motor abilities; psychosocial behavior; physical functions; information processing or speech.
  - c. An educational evaluation that identifies educational and environmental needs of the student.
2. The evaluation may also include a neuropsychological evaluation when requested by the exceptional student education administrator or designee.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with traumatic brain injury.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.12: Exceptional Education Eligibility for Students with Specific Learning Disabilities

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.8

Section 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.09401, 6A-6.03018, 6A-6.0331 and 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

### Definition

A specific learning disability is defined as a disorder in one or more of the basic learning processes involved in understanding or in using language, spoken or written, that may manifest in significant difficulties affecting the ability to listen, speak, read, write, spell, or do mathematics. Associated conditions may include, but are not limited to, dyslexia, dyscalculia, dysgraphia, or developmental aphasia. A specific learning disability does not include learning problems that are primarily the result of a visual, hearing, motor, intellectual, or emotional or behavioral disability, limited English proficiency, or environmental, cultural, or economic factors.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for specially designed instruction and related services as a student with a specific learning disability if all of the following criteria are met:

1. Evidence of specific learning disability

The student's parent(s) or guardian(s) and group of qualified personnel may determine that a student has a specific learning disability if there is evidence of each of the following:

a. When provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the student's chronological age or grade-level standards, in accordance with Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C., the student does not achieve adequately for the student's chronological age or does not meet grade-level standards as adopted in Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C., in **one or more** of the following areas based on the review of multiple sources that may include group or individual criterion or norm-referenced measures, including individual diagnostic procedures:

- Oral expression
- Listening comprehension
- Written expression
- Basic reading skills
- Reading fluency skills
- Reading comprehension
- Mathematics calculation
- Mathematics problem solving

**The school district has the option of requiring that an individually-administered, standardized test of achievement be administered by a qualified evaluator in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03018(4)(b)2., F.A.C., as one of the evaluation procedures used to address the requirements of Rule 6A-6.03018(4)(a)1., F.A.C.**

- ☐ The district requires that an individually administered, standardized test of achievement (that addresses the relevant areas of concern as identified by the team) be given by a qualified evaluator after obtaining parental consent for an evaluation.
- ☒ The district does not require that an individually administered, standardized test of achievement be given by a qualified evaluator after obtaining parental consent for an evaluation. The team responsible for the evaluation may determine the need for an individually administered, standardized test of achievement on an individual basis.

b. The student does not make adequate progress to meet chronological age or grade-level standards adopted in Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C., in one or more of the areas identified in section 1.a) (above) as determined through:



- A process based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention, consistent with the comprehensive evaluation procedures in Rule 6A-6.0331 F.A.C.
- c. The group determines that its findings under paragraph a) of this subsection are not primarily the result of one or more of the following:
- A visual, hearing, or motor disability
  - Intellectual disability
  - Emotional or behavioral disability
  - Cultural factors
  - Irregular pattern of attendance or high mobility rate
  - Classroom behavior
  - Environmental or economic factors
  - Limited English proficiency
2. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

## Student Evaluation

The evaluation procedures shall include the following:

1. The school district must promptly request parental or guardian consent to conduct an evaluation to determine if the student needs specially designed instruction in the following circumstances:

- a. The student does not make adequate progress when:

- Prior to a referral, the student has not made adequate progress after an appropriate period of time when provided appropriate instruction and intense, individualized interventions; or
- Prior to referral, intensive interventions are demonstrated to be effective but require sustained and substantial effort that may include the provision of specially designed instruction and related services;

and

- b. Whenever a referral is made to conduct an evaluation to determine the student's need for specially designed instruction and the existence of a disability.

2. Observation requirement

In determining whether a student needs specially designed instruction and has a specific learning disability, and in order to document the relationship between the student's classroom behavior and academic performance, the group must do the following:

- a. Use information from an observation in routine classroom instruction and monitoring of the student's performance that was completed before referral for an evaluation; or
- b. Have at least one member of the group conduct an observation of the student's performance in the student's typical learning environment, or in an environment appropriate for a student of that chronological age, after referral for an evaluation and parental or guardian consent has been obtained.

3. In addition to the procedures identified in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., the evaluation must also include the district's procedures as specified in the SP&P as required by Rule 6A-6.03411, F.A.C. The evaluation must adhere to the timeframe required by Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., unless extended by mutual written agreement of the student's parent(s) or guardian(s) and a group of qualified professionals.

## Procedures

## 1. General education intervention procedures and activities

a. In order to ensure that lack of academic progress is not due to lack of appropriate instruction, a group of qualified personnel must consider the following:

- Data that demonstrate that the student was provided well-delivered scientific, research-based instruction and interventions addressing the identified area(s) of concern and delivered by qualified personnel in general education settings; and
- Data-based documentation, which was provided to the student's parent(s) or guardian(s), of repeated measures of achievement at reasonable intervals, graphically reflecting the student's response to intervention during instruction.

b. General education activities and interventions conducted prior to referral in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(1), F.A.C., may be used to satisfy the requirements of this rule.

## 2. Members of the group determining eligibility

The determination of whether a student suspected of having a specific learning disability is a student who demonstrates a need for specially designed instruction and related services and meets the eligibility criteria must be made by the student's parents or guardians and a group of qualified professionals, which must include, but is not limited to, all of the following:

- a. The student's general education teacher; if the student does not have a general education teacher, a general education teacher qualified to teach a student of his or her chronological age;
- b. At least one person qualified to conduct and interpret individual diagnostic examinations of students, including, but not limited to, a school psychologist, speech-language pathologist, or reading specialist; and
- c. The district administrator of exceptional student education or designee.

## 3. Documentation of determination of eligibility

For a student suspected of having a specific learning disability, the documentation of the determination of eligibility must include a written summary of the group's analysis of the data that incorporates the following information:

- a. The basis for making the determination, including an assurance that the determination has been made in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.
- b. Noted behavior during the observation of the student and the relationship of that behavior to the student's academic functioning
- c. The educationally relevant medical findings, if any
- d. Whether the student has a specific learning disability as evidenced by response to intervention data confirming each of the following:
  - Performance discrepancy  
The student's academic performance is significantly discrepant for the chronological age or grade level in which the student is enrolled, based on multiple sources of data when compared to multiple groups, which include the peer subgroup, classroom, school, district, and state level comparison groups
  - Rate of progress  
When provided with well-delivered scientific, research-based general education instruction and interventions of reasonable intensity and duration with evidence of implementation fidelity, the student's rate of progress is insufficient or requires sustained and substantial effort to close the achievement gap with typical peers or academic expectations for the chronological age or grade level in which the student is currently enrolled; and
  - Educational need  
The student continues to need evidence-based interventions that significantly differ in intensity and duration from what can be provided solely through general education resources to make or maintain sufficient progress.

e. The determination of the group concerning the effects on the student's achievement level of a visual, hearing, motor, intellectual, or emotional or behavioral disability; cultural factors; environmental or economic factors; an irregular pattern of

attendance or high mobility rate; classroom behavior; or limited English proficiency

f. Documentation based on data derived from a process that assesses the student's response to well-delivered scientific, research-based instruction and interventions, including the following:

- Documentation of the specific instructional interventions used, the support provided to the individual(s) implementing interventions, adherence to the critical elements of the intervention design and delivery methods, the duration and frequency of intervention implementation (e.g., number of weeks, minutes per week, sessions per week), and the student-centered data collected
- Documentation that the student's parent(s) or guardian(s) were notified about the state's policies regarding the amount and nature of student performance data that would be collected and the general education services that would be provided; interventions for increasing the student's rate of progress; and the parental or guardian right to request an evaluation

g. The signature of each group member certifying that the documentation of determination of eligibility reflects the member's conclusion; if it does not reflect the member's conclusion, the group member must submit a separate statement presenting the member's conclusions

**Describe how the district documents a student's response to intervention data to determine eligibility as a student with a specific learning disability, including the progress-monitoring tools used to measure the student's response to intervention and how the team determines the adequacy of the student's response to intervention.**

The district maintains a data folder or notebook for each student receiving Tier II or III interventions. These data folders/notebooks contain forms documenting the problem solving process; prescribed interventions; progress monitoring tools used; gap analysis with peer groups; data from progress monitors including who, when, how often and graphs of data; conference notes with Intervention Support Teams and Child Study Teams; observations and Intervention Calendars. The team reviews the data and determines whether the interventions have been appropriate and delivered with fidelity. The team then reviews the progress of the student and determines whether the achievement gap is closing at an appropriate rate and the interventions require sustained and substantial support commensurate with specialized instruction for the student to continue with progress. If the Child Study Team determines that the achievement gap is not closing or that interventions require sustained and substantial support, Consent for Evaluation is requested and data is considered for program eligibility for Students with Specific Learning Disabilities. After targeted and intensive intervention at Tier II and Tier III of the MTSS process, a problem-solving team may determine a student is not making enough progress or suspect the need for exceptional student education and related services. The MTSS team initiates a request for an additional evaluation, providing the data obtained from the intervention process which may include parent/teacher conferences and student observations, to assist in the determination of whether the student meets criteria for eligibility for exceptional student education. The same guidelines and procedures apply for evaluation as shown in Part I, Section H:Procedures for Evaluation. Methods of documenting intervention procedures can be met by the following:

- Problem-solving team meeting notes documenting discussions about area(s) of concern identified in Reading or Math, etc.
- Written Summary of Groups' Analysis and Overall Findings
- Progress Monitoring Tools:
  - Progress-monitoring tools are identified to address the area(s) of concern
  - Progress-monitoring data graphs/charts showing student's progress
- MTSS Team determines the adequacy of response to intervention by conducting the following:
  - Use of Decision Rule – positive (closing the gap), poor (no change) or questionable (improving but rate of progress is slow)
  - The PS/RtI team uses data to determine if intensive interventions are effective but require sustained and substantial effort that may require special education and related services.

**Describe how parents are engaged as team members in the problem-solving process (include the frequency and graphic format for sharing student progress data with parents).**

Parents are informed initially about the expectations of the problem-solving model as well as the overall objectives of the collaborative effort to assist their student. The following goals are shared with the parent on the RtI mission: - Research-based assessments to gather reliable data. - Reliable interventions delivered with fidelity to meet a need revealed through data analysis. - Frequent monitoring to assess the impact of an intervention. - Each school and staff member are committed to the success of all students. Parents receive information at a minimum of once monthly. Parents are provided results of progress monitoring assessments, performance charts that indicate areas of growth and or consistent areas of concern, as well as the level of intervention required on designated Tier Model. Parents are encouraged to be actively involved in the decision making process. They may have input as to which strategies appear to be successful and if they have transferred to the home environment, provide feedback to the team if they observe or receive information from teachers regarding continuous concerns, as well if they feel that the student has been in the MTSS process for an extended period of time without positive responses to selected interventions. Parents have been informed of the procedural rights and safeguards regarding the evaluation process as well.

**Describe the types of data used to make comparisons to other students and how teams determine the findings are not primarily due to the exclusionary factors outlined in Rule 6A-6.03018, F.A.C., lack of instruction in reading or math or limited English proficiency.**

Requirements for the MTSS Process to Ensure Monitoring of Students Not Demonstrating Proficiency: Students not demonstrating proficiency on grade-level assessments for reading and/or mathematics will be provided Tier II interventions until proficiency is achieved. A gap analysis will be an integral part of the Tier II intervention analysis process. Tier II interventions are delivered to small groups of students at least three days per week. During the first nine weeks of school the teacher must conference with all parents of students receiving Tier II interventions. The teacher will provide: • Justification of intervention • Explanation of intervention • Most recent data reflective of intervention • Intervention goal • Parent advised of role and opportunities for support For this purpose, progress monitoring is collected weekly to assess whether student progress is adequate to meet the student's instructional goal. If not, the teacher adjusts the instructional program to better meet the student's needs and continues to monitor progress. This process recurs throughout intervention to formatively develop an effective, individually tailored instructional program. Comparative analysis and on-going classroom observations are conducted to determine how the student compares to same age peers on formal evaluative measures i.e. data collected from school and District performance on District Selected Progress Monitoring Assessment test(s). Data is used to determine if students are not making gains due to exclusionary factors such as intellectual disabilities, visual, motor or hearing disabilities, emotional / behavioral disabilities, classroom behavior, environmental or economic factors, student chronological age or gender, lack of instruction or the student's limited English proficiency. The team will review data such as student classroom observations, absenteeism, mobility, ESOL records, psychological evaluation reports, speech or language assessments, classroom and school records documenting referrals for behavior, comparisons of like subgroups (i.e., Socio-Economic, cultural, and/or minority status), time spent in core and supplemental intervention and teacher absenteeism rate.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with specific learning disabilities.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.13: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students with Speech Impairments

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.8, 300.306 and 300.34

Sections 1003.01, 1003.57, 1012.44 and 1011.62, F.S.

Chapters 456, 458, 459, and 468, Part I, F.S. Rules 6A-4.0176, 6A-4.01761, 6A-6.03012, 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.0331, 6A-6.03411, and 64B20-2.001, F.A.C.

### Definitions

1. Speech impairments are disorders of speech sounds, fluency, or voice that interfere with communication, adversely affect performance or functioning in the educational environment, and result in the need for exceptional student education.
  - a. Speech sound disorder. A speech sound disorder is a phonological or articulation disorder that is evidenced by the atypical production of speech sounds characterized by substitutions, distortions, additions, or omissions that interfere with intelligibility. A speech sound disorder is not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.
    - Phonological disorder. A phonological disorder is an impairment in the system of phonemes and phoneme patterns within the context of spoken language.
    - Articulation disorder. An articulation disorder is characterized by difficulty in the articulation of speech sounds that may be due to a motoric or structural problem.
  - b. Fluency disorder. A fluency disorder is characterized by deviations in continuity, smoothness, rhythm, or effort in spoken communication. It may be accompanied by excessive tension and secondary behaviors, such as struggle and avoidance. A fluency disorder is not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.
  - c. Voice disorder. A voice disorder is characterized by the atypical production or absence of vocal quality, pitch, loudness, resonance, or duration of phonation that is not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for exceptional student education as a student with a speech impairment if the student meets the following criteria for one or more of the following disorders as determined by the procedures prescribed in Rules 6A-6.03012 and 6A-6.0331(6), F.A.C.

#### 1. Speech sound disorder

A student with a speech sound disorder is eligible for exceptional student education if there is evidence, based on evaluation results, of a significant phonological or articulation disorder that is characterized by the atypical production of speech sound(s). The atypical production of speech sound(s) may be characterized by substitutions, distortions, additions, or omissions. Evaluation results must reveal all of the following:

- a. The speech sound disorder must have a significant impact on the student's intelligibility, although the student may be intelligible to familiar listeners or within known contexts
- b. The student's phonetic or phonological inventory must be significantly below that expected for his or her chronological age or developmental level based on normative data
- c. The speech sound disorder must have an adverse effect on the student's ability to perform or function in the student's typical learning environment, thereby demonstrating the need for exceptional student education
- d. The speech sound disorder is not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency

#### 2. Fluency disorder

A student with a fluency disorder is eligible for exceptional student education if there is evidence, based on evaluation results, of

significant and persistent interruptions in the rhythm or rate of speech. Evaluation results must reveal all of the following:

- a. The student must exhibit significant and persistent dysfluent speech behaviors. The dysfluency may include repetition of phrases, whole words, syllables, and phonemes; prolongations; blocks; and circumlocutions. Additionally, secondary behaviors, such as struggle and avoidance, may be present.
- b. The fluency disorder must have an adverse effect on the student's ability to perform or function in the educational environment, thereby demonstrating the need for exceptional student education.
- c. The dysfluency is not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.

### 3. Voice disorder

A student with a voice disorder is eligible for exceptional student education if there is evidence, based on evaluation results, of significant and persistent atypical voice characteristics. Evaluation results must reveal all of the following:

- a. The student must exhibit significant and persistent atypical production of quality, pitch, loudness, resonance, or duration of phonation. The atypical voice characteristics may include inappropriate range, inflection, loudness, excessive nasality, breathiness, hoarseness, or harshness.
- b. The voice disorder does not refer to vocal disorders that are found to be the direct result or symptom of a medical condition unless the disorder adversely affects the student's ability to perform or function in the educational environment and is amenable to improvement with therapeutic intervention.
- c. The voice disorder must have an adverse effect on the student's ability to perform or function in the educational environment, thereby demonstrating the need for exceptional student education.
- d. The atypical voice characteristics are not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.

### 4. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

## Student Evaluation

In addition to Rule 6A-6.03012, F.A.C., the provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., regarding general education intervention procedures for students in kindergarten through Grade 12, who are suspected of having a disability and enrolled in public school must be implemented. The provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331(2), F.A.C., regarding procedures prior to initial evaluation for prekindergarten children who are below mandatory school attendance ages and not enrolled in kindergarten must be met. In addition, the following must be included for each disorder:

### 1. For a speech sound disorder, the evaluation must include all of the following:

- a. Information gathered from the student's parent(s) or guardian(s) and teacher(s), and, when appropriate, the student, regarding the concerns and description of speech characteristics. This may be completed through a variety of methods, including interviews, checklists, or questionnaires.
- b. Documented and dated observation(s) of the student's speech characteristics conducted by a speech-language pathologist to examine the student's speech characteristics during connected speech or conversation. Observation(s) conducted prior to obtaining consent for evaluation may be used to meet this criterion.
- c. An examination of the oral mechanism structure and function.
- d. One or more standardized, norm-referenced instruments designed to measure speech sound production administered to determine the type and severity of the speech sound errors and whether the errors are articulation (phonetic) or phonological (phonemic) in nature.

### 2. For a fluency disorder, the evaluation must include all of the following:

- a. Information gathered from the student's parent(s) or guardian(s) and teacher(s), and, when appropriate, the student, to address the following areas regarding the speech behaviors: motor aspects, student's attitude, social impact, and

educational impact. This may be completed through a variety of methods, including interviews, checklists, or questionnaires.

- b. A minimum of two documented and dated observations of the student's speech and secondary behaviors conducted by a speech-language pathologist in more than one setting, including the typical learning environment. For prekindergarten children, the observations may occur in an environment or situation appropriate for a child of that chronological age. Observations conducted prior to obtaining consent for evaluation may be used to meet this criterion, if the activities address the areas identified in subsection d) below.
  - c. An examination of the oral mechanism structure and function.
  - d. An assessment of all of the following areas:
    - Motor aspects of the speech behaviors
    - Student's attitude regarding the speech behaviors
    - Social impact of the speech behaviors
    - Educational impact of the speech behaviors
  - e. A speech sample of a minimum of 300–500 words collected and analyzed to determine frequency, duration, and type of dysfluent speech behaviors. If the speech-language pathologist is unable to obtain a speech sample of a minimum of 300–500 words, a smaller sample may be collected and analyzed. The evaluation report must document the rationale for collection and analysis of a smaller sample, the results obtained, and the basis for recommendations.
3. For a voice disorder, the evaluation must include all of the following:
- a. Information gathered from the student's parent(s) or guardian(s) and teacher(s), and, when appropriate, the student, regarding the concerns and description of voice characteristics. This may be completed through a variety of methods, including interviews, checklists, or questionnaires.
  - b. Documented and dated observation(s) of the student's voice characteristics conducted by a speech-language pathologist in one or more setting(s), which must include the typical learning environment. For prekindergarten children, the observation(s) may occur in an environment or situation appropriate for a child of that chronological age. Observation(s) conducted prior to obtaining consent for evaluation may be used to meet this criterion.
  - c. An examination of the oral mechanism structure and function.
  - d. A report of a medical examination of laryngeal structure and function conducted by a physician licensed in Florida in accordance with Chapter 458 or Chapter 459, F.S., unless a report of medical examination from a physician licensed in another state is permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(e), F.A.C. The physician's report must provide a description of the state of the vocal mechanism and any medical implications for therapeutic intervention.

## Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

### 1. Speech services

- a. A group of qualified professionals determining eligibility under requirements of Rules 6A-6.03012 and 6A-6.0331(6), F.A.C., must include a speech-language pathologist.
- b. A speech-language pathologist shall be involved in the development of the individual educational plan for students eligible for speech services, whether as special education or as a related service for an otherwise eligible student with a disability as specified in Rule 6A-6.03012, F.A.C.
- c. Speech therapy services shall be provided by a certified speech-language pathologist pursuant to Rule 6A-4.0176, F.A.C., or a licensed speech-language pathologist pursuant to Chapter 468, F.S., or a speech-language associate pursuant to Rule 6A-4.01761, F.A.C., or a speech-language pathology assistant pursuant to Chapter 468, F.S.
- d. Students determined eligible as a student with a speech impairment have access to any supports and services needed as determined by the individual educational plan team. A student should be identified as a student with a disability using the

most appropriate category, but this does not mean that the team must identify every possible category under which the student may be eligible. In addition, there is no requirement that a student be eligible under a given category in order to receive specific services. For example, students determined eligible as a student with a speech impairment may have counseling as a related service, a functional behavioral assessment, or academic support for reading or writing, even though the student has not been determined to be a student with an emotional or behavioral disability or a specific learning disability.

## 2. Speech-language associate (SLA)

Speech therapy services provided by an SLA as specified in Rule 6A-4.01761, F.A.C., will be under the direction of a certified or licensed speech-language pathologist with a master's degree or higher in speech-language pathology. Services can be provided for a period of three years as described in Section 1012.44, F.S., in districts that qualify for the sparsity supplement as described in Section 1011.62(7), F.S. For more information on the responsibilities and duties of an SLA, go to:

<http://info.fldoe.org/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-4662/TAP2007-137.pdf>.

The district shall submit a plan to the Department of Education for approval before implementation of Rule 6A-4.01761, F.A.C. The components of the plan found in Rule 6A-6.03012(7), F.A.C., will include a description of:

- o The model, specifying the type and amount of direction, including direct observation, support, training, and instruction
- o The rationale for using this model
- o The manner in which the associate will be required to demonstrate competency
- o The process for monitoring the quality of services
- o The process for measuring student progress
- o The manner in which the speech-language associate will meet the requirements of the annual district professional development plan for instructional personnel

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with speech impairments.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.



## Section B.14: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students with Language Impairments

## Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.8, 300.306 and 300.34

Chapters 456 and 468, Part I, F.S.

Sections 1003.01, 1003.57, 1011.62 and 1012.44, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.09401, 6A-4.0176, 6A-4.01761, 6A-6.0331, 6A-6.030121, 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.03411, and 64B20-2.001, F.A.C.

## Definitions

Language impairments are disorders of language that interfere with communication, adversely affect performance or functioning in the student's typical learning environment, and result in the need for exceptional student education. A language impairment is defined as a disorder in one or more of the basic learning processes involved in understanding or in using spoken or written language. These include:

1. **Phonology.** Phonology is defined as the sound systems of a language and the linguistic conventions of a language that guide the sound selection and sound combinations used to convey meaning.
2. **Morphology.** Morphology is defined as the system that governs the internal structure of words and the construction of word forms.
3. **Syntax.** Syntax is defined as the system governing the order and combination of words to form sentences, and the relationships among the elements within a sentence.
4. **Semantics.** Semantics is defined as the system that governs the meanings of words and sentences.
5. **Pragmatics.** Pragmatics is defined as the system that combines language components in functional and socially appropriate communication.

The language impairment may manifest in significant difficulties affecting listening comprehension, oral expression, social interaction, reading, writing, or spelling. A language impairment is not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.

## Eligibility Criteria

1. For prekindergarten children

A prekindergarten child is eligible as a student with a language impairment in need of exceptional student education if all of the following criteria are met:

- a. There is evidence, based on evaluation results, of significant deficits in language. The impairment may manifest in significant difficulties affecting one or more of the following areas:
  - i. Listening comprehension
  - ii. Oral expression
  - iii. Social interaction
  - iv. Emergent literacy skills (e.g., vocabulary development, phonological awareness, narrative concepts)
- b. One or more documented and dated behavioral observation(s) reveals significant language deficits that interfere with performance or functioning in the typical learning environment.
- c. Results of standardized norm-referenced instrument(s) indicate a significant language deficit in one or more of the areas listed in 1.– 5. of the Definitions section as evidenced by standard score(s) significantly below the mean. If the evaluator is unable to administer a norm-referenced instrument and an alternative scientific, research-based instrument is administered, the instrument must reveal a significant language deficit in one or more areas listed in 1.–5. of the Definitions section. Significance of the deficit(s) must be determined and based on specifications in the manual of the instrument(s) utilized for evaluation purposes.

- d. Information gathered from the child's parent(s) or guardian(s), teacher(s), service providers, or caregivers must support the results of the standardized instruments and observations conducted.
- e. The language impairment must have an adverse effect on the child's ability to perform or function in the typical learning environment, thereby demonstrating the need for exceptional student education.
- f. The language impairment is not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.

## 2. For students in kindergarten through Grade 12

A student meets the eligibility criteria as a student with a language impairment in need of exceptional student education if all of the following criteria are met:

- a. Due to deficits in the student's language skills, the student does not perform or function adequately for the student's chronological age or to meet grade-level standards as adopted in Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C., in one or more of the following areas, when provided with learning experiences and instruction appropriate for the student's chronological age or grade:
  - i. Oral expression
  - ii. Listening comprehension
  - iii. Social interaction
  - iv. Written expression
  - v. Phonological processing
  - vi. Reading comprehension
- b. Due to deficits in the student's language skills, the student does not make sufficient progress to meet chronological age or state-approved grade-level standards pursuant to Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C., in one or more of the areas identified in 1. a. of this section when using a process based on the student's response to scientific, research-based intervention.
- c. Evidence of a language impairment is documented based on a comprehensive language evaluation, including all evaluation procedures as specified for students in kindergarten through Grade 12, included under the **Conducting Student Evaluations and Reevaluations** section of this document. There must be documentation of all of the following:
  - i. Documented and dated observations show evidence of significant language deficits that interfere with the student's performance or functioning in the educational environment.
  - ii. Results of standardized norm-referenced instrument(s) indicate a significant language deficit in one or more of the areas listed in 1.–5. of the Definitions section as evidenced by standard score(s) significantly below the mean. If the evaluator is unable to administer a norm-referenced instrument and an alternative scientific, research-based instrument is administered, the instrument must reveal a significant language deficit in one or more areas listed in 1.–5. of the Definitions section. Significance of the deficit(s) must be determined and based on specifications in the manual of the instrument(s) utilized for evaluation purposes.
  - iii. Information gathered from the student's parent(s) or guardian(s), teacher(s), and, when appropriate, the student, must support the results of the standardized instruments and observations conducted.
  - iv. At least one additional observation conducted by the speech-language pathologist when the language impairment is due to a deficit in pragmatic language and cannot be verified by the use of standardized instrument(s). The language impairment may be established through the results of the evaluation procedures as specified in the evaluation procedures for students in kindergarten through Grade 12, included under the **Conducting Student Evaluations and Reevaluations** section of this document, and the additional observation(s) conducted subsequent to obtaining consent for evaluation as part of a comprehensive language evaluation. The evaluation report must document the evaluation procedures used, including the group's rationale for overriding results from standardized instruments, the results obtained, and the basis for recommendations. The information gathered from the student's parent(s) or legal

guardian(s), teacher(s), and, when appropriate, the student, must support the results of the observation(s) conducted.

- d. The group determines that its findings under 2.a of this section are not primarily the result of factors related to chronological age, gender, culture, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency.

## Documentation of Determination of Eligibility

For a student suspected of having a language impairment, the documentation of the determination of eligibility must include a written summary of the group's analysis of the data that incorporates all of the following information:

1. The basis for making the determination, including an assurance that the determination has been made in accordance with subsection 6A-6.0331(6), F.A.C.
2. Noted behavior during the observation of the student and the relationship of that behavior to the student's academic functioning.
3. The educationally relevant medical findings, if any.
4. Whether the student has a language impairment as evidenced by response to intervention data confirming all of the following:
  - a. Performance or functioning discrepancies. The student displays significant discrepancies, for the chronological age or grade level in which the student is enrolled, based on multiple sources of data when compared to multiple groups, including to the extent practicable the peer subgroup, classroom, school, district, and state level comparison groups.
  - b. Rate of progress. When provided with effective implementation of appropriate research-based instruction and interventions of reasonable intensity and duration with evidence of implementation fidelity, the student's rate of progress is insufficient or requires sustained and substantial effort to close the gap with typical peers or expectations for the chronological age or grade level in which the student is currently enrolled.
  - c. Educational need. The student continues to demonstrate the need for interventions that significantly differ in intensity and duration from what can be provided solely through educational resources and services currently in place, thereby demonstrating a need for exceptional student education due to the adverse effect of the language impairment on the student's ability to perform or function in the educational environment.
5. The determination of the student's parent(s) or guardian(s) and group of qualified professionals concerning the effects of chronological age, culture, gender, ethnicity, patterns of irregular attendance, or limited English proficiency on the student's performance or functioning.
6. Documentation based on data derived from a process that assesses the student's response to well-delivered scientific, research-based instruction and interventions, including:
  - a. Documentation of the specific instructional interventions used, the intervention support provided to the individuals implementing interventions, adherence to the critical elements of the intervention design and delivery methods, the duration of intervention implementation (e.g., number of weeks, minutes per week, sessions per week), and the student-centered data collected
  - b. Documentation that the student's parent(s) or guardian(s) were notified about the state's policies regarding the amount and nature of student performance or functioning data that would be collected and the educational resources and services that would be provided; interventions for increasing the student's rate of progress; and the parental or guardian right to request an evaluation.

## Student Evaluation

1. Children in prekindergarten

In addition to the procedures identified in subsection 6A-6.0331(5), F.A.C., the minimum evaluation for a prekindergarten child shall include all of the following:

- a. Information gathered from the child's parent(s) or guardian(s) and others, as appropriate, such as teacher(s), service providers, and caregivers, regarding the concerns and description of language skills. This may be completed through a

variety of methods, including interviews, checklists, or questionnaires.

- b. One or more documented and dated observation(s) of the child's language skills conducted by the speech-language pathologist in one or more setting(s), which must include the child's typical learning environment or an environment or situation appropriate for a child of that chronological age.
- c. One or more standardized norm-referenced instruments designed to measure language skills. The instrument must be administered and interpreted by a speech-language pathologist to determine the nature and severity of the language deficits. If the speech-language pathologist is unable to administer a norm-referenced instrument, a scientific, research-based alternative instrument may be used. The evaluation report must document the evaluation procedures used, including the rationale for use of an alternative instrument, the results obtained, and the basis for recommendations.

## 2. Students in kindergarten through Grade 12

The provisions in Rule 6A-6.0331(1), F.A.C., regarding general education intervention procedures for students in kindergarten through Grade 12, who are suspected of having a disability and enrolled in public school must be implemented, as well as procedures identified in Rule 6A-6.0331(5), F.A.C., and must include all of the following:

- a. In order to ensure that the decreased performance or functioning of a student suspected of having a language impairment is not due to lack of appropriate instruction, the minimum evaluation procedures must include all of the following:
  - i. Review of data that demonstrate the student was provided well-delivered scientific, research-based instruction and interventions addressing the identified area(s) of concern and delivered by qualified personnel in general or exceptional education settings.
  - ii. Data-based documentation, which was provided to the student's parent(s) or guardian(s), of repeated measures of performance or functioning at reasonable intervals, communicated in an understandable format, reflecting the student's response to intervention during instruction.
  - iii. Information gathered from the student's parent(s) or legal guardian(s) and teacher(s), and, when appropriate, the student, regarding the concerns and a description of language skills. This may be completed through a variety of methods including interviews, checklists, or questionnaires.
  - iv. Documented and dated observation(s) of the student's language skills conducted by the speech-language pathologist in one or more setting(s).
  - v. One or more standardized norm-referenced instrument(s) designed to measure language skills. The instrument(s) must be administered and interpreted by a speech-language pathologist to determine the nature and severity of the language deficits. If the speech-language pathologist is unable to administer a norm-referenced instrument, a scientific, research-based alternative instrument may be used. The evaluation report must document the evaluation procedures used, including the rationale for use of an alternative instrument, the results obtained, and the basis for recommendations.
- b. With the exception of one additional observation conducted by the speech-language pathologist when the language impairment is due to a deficient in pragmatic language that cannot be verified by a standardized assessment, general education activities and interventions conducted prior to initial evaluation in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(1), F.A.C., may be used to satisfy the requirements of this rule.

## Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

### Language services

1. A group of qualified professionals determining eligibility under requirements of Rule 6A-6.030121, F.A.C. and Rule 6A-6.0331(6), F.A.C., will include a speech-language pathologist.
2. A speech-language pathologist will be involved in the development of the individual educational plan for programs for students with a language impairment, whether as special education or as a related service for an otherwise eligible student with a disability.
3. Language therapy services will be provided by a certified speech-language pathologist pursuant to Rule 6A-4.0176, F.A.C., or a licensed speech-language pathologist pursuant to Chapter 468, F.S., and Rule 64B20-2.001, F.A.C., or a speech-language

associate pursuant to Rule 6A-4.01761, F.A.C., or a speech-language pathology assistant pursuant to Chapter 468, F.S.

4. Students determined eligible as a student with a language impairment have access to any supports and services needed as determined by the individual educational plan team. A student should be identified as a student with a disability using the most appropriate category, but this does not mean that the team must identify every possible category under which the student may be eligible. In addition, there is no requirement that a student be eligible under a given category in order to receive specific services. For example, students determined eligible as a student with a language impairment may have counseling as a related service, a functional behavioral assessment (FBA), or academic support for reading or writing even though the student has not been determined to be a student with an emotional or behavioral disability (EBD) or a specific learning disability.

5. Speech-language associate

- a. Language therapy services provided by a speech-language associate as specified in Rule 6A-4.01761, F.A.C., will be under the direction of a certified or licensed speech-language pathologist with a master's degree or higher in speech-language pathology. Services under this subsection can be provided for a period of three years as described in Section 1012.44, F.S., in districts that qualify for the sparsity supplement as described in Section 1011.62(7), F.S.
- b. The district will submit a plan to the Department of Education for approval before implementation of Rule 6A-4.01761, F.A.C. The components of the plan must include a description of:
- The model, specifying the type and amount of direction including, but not limited to, direct observation, support, training, and instruction
  - The rationale for using this model
  - The manner in which the associate will be required to demonstrate competency
  - The process for monitoring the quality of services
  - The process for measuring student progress
  - The manner in which the speech-language associate will meet the requirements of the annual district professional development plan for instructional personnel

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with language impairments.**

- ☒ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☐ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.15: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students who are Visually Impaired

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.8, 300.34, 300.172, and 300.324

Sections 1003.55, 1003.57, and 1003.575, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03014 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### Definition

1. Students who are visually impaired include the following:
  - a. A student who is blind, has no vision, or has little potential for using vision.
  - b. A student who has low vision.
  - c. A student who has a visual impairment after best correction that adversely affects the student's educational performance and
  - d. A student who has been diagnosed with a progressive condition that will most likely result in a visual impairment or no vision after best correction.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for special education and related services if the following medical and educational criteria are met:

1. A licensed ophthalmologist or optometrist has documented an eye condition that causes an impairment as manifested by at least one of the following:
  - a. A visual acuity of 20/70 or less in the better eye after best possible correction;
  - b. A peripheral field so constricted that it affects the student's ability to function in an educational setting;
  - c. A diagnosis of visual impairment after best correction, or
  - d. A progressive loss of vision that may affect the student's ability to function in an educational setting.
2. The student demonstrates a need for special education.

### Student Evaluation

The minimum procedures necessary for determining eligibility shall include:

1. A medical eye examination describing: etiology; diagnosis; treatment regimen; prognosis; near and distance; corrected and uncorrected acuity measures for left eye, right eye and both eyes; measure of field of vision; and recommendations for lighting levels, physical activity, aids, prescribed low-vision aids, or use of glasses or contact lenses, as appropriate.
2. For children birth to five years of age or students who are otherwise unable to be assessed, a medical assessment describing visual functioning shall be documented when standard visual acuities and measure of field of vision are unattainable.
3. A comprehensive assessment of skills known to be impacted by visual impairment, which shall include, but is not limited to:
  - a. A functional vision evaluation that includes an assessment of skills known to be impacted by vision impairment that are aligned with the special skills references in Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C., and include assistive technology, compensatory skills, career education, recreation and leisure, sensory efficiency, self-determination, social skills, and independent living;
  - b. A learning media assessment; and
  - c. An orientation and mobility screening.

### Reevaluation

1. Reevaluation shall occur at least every three years and shall include a minimum of a medical eye examination within the last calendar year, a comprehensive assessment of skills known to be impacted by visual impairment as required for determining initial eligibility; and, if appropriate, any other formal evaluations addressed in the initial evaluation in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.
2. The medical aspect of a reevaluation for students with bilateral anophthalmia may be waived by a written recommendation of a physician.

### **Specialized Evaluations: Qualified Evaluators**

The following specialized evaluations are required to be administered by the individuals listed. All evaluators must hold a valid license or certificate in the state of Florida, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331, F.A.C., or a report form is provided from a physician licensed in another state as permitted in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(3)(e), F.A.C.

1. Medical eye exam: ophthalmologist or optometrist
2. Functional vision assessment: teacher of the visually impaired, orientation and mobility specialist, or low vision specialist
3. Learning Media Assessment: teacher of the visually impaired
4. Orientation and mobility (as appropriate): orientation and mobility specialist

### **Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations**

1. All students with visual impairments are registered for services from the Florida Instructional Materials Center for the Visually Impaired. Students will be provided with instruction in braille unless otherwise determined by the IEP team. This determination is based upon the student's present reading and writing skills, functional vision assessment, and learning media assessment, as well as documentation indicating the need for instruction or use of braille in the future.
2. Orientation and mobility is a related service, provided to blind or visually impaired students by qualified personnel if the IEP team determines that it is necessary in order for the student to benefit from specially designed instruction, that enables the student to attain systematic orientation to and safe movement within their environments in school, home, and community. Orientation and mobility instruction encompasses skill and conceptual awareness that includes, but is not limited to: spatial awareness, use of sensory information to maintain orientation, the use of mobility devices (i.e., long cane, distance low vision aids, assistive technology), and other skills and techniques used to travel safely and efficiently across a variety of settings.
3. The school district will provide information describing the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind and all other programs and methods of instruction available to the parent of a student with sensory impairments. This information will be provided annually. Additionally, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03014, F.A.C., cooperative planning with the Division of Blind Services (DBS) may occur for students eligible for DBS services, with parent participation and agreement.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students with visual impairments.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B.16: Provision of Occupational Therapy to Exceptional Students as a Related Service

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §300.34

Chapters 456 and 468, Part III, F.S.

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.030191, 6A-6.03024, 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.03411 and Chapter 64B-11, F.A.C.

### Definitions

1. Occupational therapy means services provided by a licensed occupational therapist and includes improving, developing, or restoring functions impaired or lost through illness, injury, or deprivation; improving ability to perform tasks for independent functioning if functions are impaired or lost; and preventing, through early intervention, initial or further impairment or loss of function.
2. Related service provider means the licensed occupational therapist responsible for the assessment and provision of school-based occupational therapy as a related service.

### Assessments

As defined in S.468.203, F.S., prior to the provision of occupational therapy, assessments shall be conducted by the related service provider as defined in the Occupational Therapy Practice Act, s. 468.203, F.S. Rule 6A-6.03024(1)(c), F.A.C., defines a related service provider as the licensed occupational therapist responsible for the assessment and provision of school-based occupational therapy as a related service as defined in s. 1003.01(3)(b), F.S., and Rule 6A-6.03411(1)(dd)3.f., F.A.C.

### Determination of Need for Occupational Therapy

To determine need for occupational therapy as a related service the individual educational plan (IEP), the educational plan (EP), or the individualized family support plan (IFSP) team shall do the following:

1. Review assessments conducted by the related service provider and all other relevant data.
2. Determine if occupational therapy services are needed to assist a student to benefit from specially designed instruction.
3. Include input from the occupational therapist to assist the IEP, EP, or IFSP team when the educational need for occupational therapy as a related service is being determined

### Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

1. The licensed therapist or licensed assistant shall provide input to assist the IEP, EP, or IFSP team when:
  - a. The educational need for occupational therapy as a related service is being determined, and
  - b. A student who is receiving occupational therapy as a related service is being reviewed by the IEP, EP, or IFSP team.
2. Once the educational need for occupational therapy has been determined in accordance with the provisions of this rule, a plan of treatment as referenced in s.468.203, F.S., shall be developed. The plan of treatment may be included as a part of the IEP, EP, or IFSP.
3. Pursuant to s. 468.203, F.S., occupational therapy:
  - a. May be provided by either a licensed occupational therapist or a licensed occupational therapy assistant.
  - b. The occupational therapy assistant is supervised by the licensed occupational therapist.
  - c. The licensed occupational therapist provides both initial direction in developing a plan of treatment and periodic inspection of the actual implementation of the plan. Such plan of treatment shall not be altered by the supervised individual without prior consultation with, and the approval of, the supervising occupational therapist.



- d. The supervising occupational therapist need not always be physically present or on the premises when the assistant is performing services. However, except in cases of emergency, supervision shall require the availability of the supervising occupational therapist for consultation with and direction of the supervised individual.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students who need occupational therapy.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## **Section B.17: Provision of Physical Therapy to Exceptional Students as a Related Service**

### **Statutory and Regulatory Citations**

34 CFR §300.34

Chapters 456, 458, 459, 461, 466 and 486, F.S.

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.030191, 6A-6.03024, 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.03411 and 64B17-6.001, F.A.C.

### **Definitions**

1. Physical therapy means services provided by a licensed physical therapist.
2. Related service provider means the licensed physical therapist responsible for the assessment and provision of school-based physical therapy as a related service.

### **Assessments**

As defined in s. 486.021, F.S., prior to the provision of physical therapy, assessments shall be conducted by the related service provider as defined in the Physical Therapy Practice Act, Chapter 486, s. 486.021, F.S. Rule 6A-6.03024(1)(c), F.A.C., defines a related service provider as the licensed physical therapist responsible for the assessment and provision of school-based physical therapy as a related service as defined in s. 1003.01(3)(b), F.S., and Rule 6A-6.03411(1)(dd)3.i., F.A.C.

### **Determination of Need for Physical Therapy**

To determine need for physical therapy as a related service the individual educational plan (IEP), the educational plan (EP), or the individualized family support plan (IFSP) team shall do the following:

1. Review assessments conducted by the related service provider and all other relevant data.
2. Determine if physical therapy services are needed to assist a student to benefit from specially designed instruction.
3. Include input from the physical therapist to assist the IEP, EP, or the IFSP team when the educational need for physical therapy as a related service is being determined.

### **Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations**

1. The licensed therapist or licensed assistant shall provide input to assist the IEP, EP, or IFSP team when:
  - a. The educational need for physical therapy as a related service is being determined, and
  - b. A student who is receiving physical therapy as a related service is being reviewed by the IEP, EP, or IFSP team.
2. Once the educational need for physical therapy has been determined in accordance with the provisions of this rule, a plan of treatment as referenced in s. 468.203, F.S., shall be developed. The plan of treatment may be included as a part of the IEP, EP, or IFSP.
3. Pursuant to s. 486.021, F.S., physical therapy may be provided by either a licensed physical therapist or a licensed physical therapist assistant, who is under the general supervision of a physical therapist. The supervision of a physical therapist assistant shall not require on-site supervision by the physical therapist.
4. Pursuant to Rule 64B17-6.001, F.A.C., the supervising physical therapist shall be:
  - a. Accessible at all times by two-way communication, which enables the physical therapist to respond to an inquiry when made and to be readily available for consultation during the delivery of care.
  - b. Within the same geographic location as the assistant.
  - c. Provided both initial direction in developing a plan of treatment and ensuring the plan is appropriately implemented on a consistent basis. The supervised individual cannot change the plan of treatment without prior consultation with, and the

approval of, the supervising physical therapist.

- d. Readily available to the physical therapist assistant with emphasis placed on directing the assistant through frequent reporting, both verbal and written and frequent observations of the care rendered.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students who need physical therapy.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section C: Individual Educational Plan

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.29, 300.106, 300.110, 300.320 through 300.328, and 300.503

Sections 1001.02, 1002.20, 1002.3105, 1003.01, 1003.4203, 1003.4282, 1003.4285, 1003.57, 1003.5715, 1003.5716, 1003.572, 1008.22 and 1008.212, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.0943, 6A-1.09441, 6A-1.0996, 6A-1.09963, 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.0311 through 6A-6.0361, and 6A-6.03311, F.A.C.

### Definition

An IEP is a written statement for a student with a disability that is developed, reviewed, and revised in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03028, F.A.C. Parents are partners with schools and district personnel in developing, reviewing, and revising the IEP. The procedures for the development of IEPs for students with disabilities are as follows:

**Note:** Since an EP is defined in Rule 6A-6.030191, F.A.C., as being developed for students identified solely as gifted, an IEP rather than an EP is developed for students who are gifted and have also been identified as having a disability.

### Procedures

#### 1. Role of parents

The role of the parents in developing IEPs includes, but is not limited to:

- a. Providing critical information regarding the strengths of their student;
- b. Expressing their concerns for enhancing the education of their student so that their student can receive FAPE;
- c. Participating in discussions about the student's need for special education and related services;
- d. Participating in deciding how the student will be involved and progress in the general curriculum, including participation in state and district assessments;
- e. Participating in the determination of what services the district will provide to their student and in what setting; and
- f. Participating in the determination of which course of study leading to a standard diploma the student will pursue, consistent with s. 1003.4282, F.S., to include a course of study leading to a Scholar or Merit designation in accordance with s. 1003.4285, F.S.

#### 2. Parent participation in IEP team meetings

The district shall establish procedures that provide for parents, guardians, surrogate parents, or persons acting in loco parentis to participate in decisions concerning the individual educational plan. Parents of each student with a disability must be members of any group that makes decisions on the educational placement of their child.

- a. In order to ensure that parents are present at each meeting, or are afforded the opportunity to participate at each meeting:
  - Parents are notified of the meeting early enough to ensure that they have an opportunity to attend;
  - The meeting is scheduled at a mutually agreed upon time and place
- b. A written notice to the parent indicates the purpose; time; location of the meeting; who, by title or position, will be in attendance; and includes a statement informing the parents that they have the right to invite individuals with special knowledge or expertise about their child. Parents may also be accompanied by an adult of their choice at a meeting with school district personnel.
  - Parents may also request that a Part C service coordinator or other representative of the Part C system be invited to attend the initial IEP team meeting for a child previously receiving early intervention services under Part C of IDEA.

- Decisions as to which particular teacher(s) or special education provider(s) are members of the IEP team are made by the district, based on the needs of the student.
  - The written notice to the parent clearly indicates which persons invited to the IEP team meeting are required members of the team and, thus, would require excusal as described in subsection 4 below.  
Any time an IEP team meeting is convened for the purpose of reviewing or changing a student's IEP as it relates to administration of the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment and the provision of instruction in the state standards access points curriculum, or placement of the student in an exceptional student education center, the school must provide the notice to the parent at least 10 days prior to the meeting.
- c. No later than the first IEP to be in effect when the student attains the age of 14 (or younger, if determined appropriate by the IEP team), the notice must also indicate that a purpose of the meeting will be to identify transition services needs of the student and that the district will invite the student.
- d. Not later than the first IEP to be in effect when the student turns 16 (or younger, if determined appropriate by the IEP team), the notice must also indicate that a purpose of the meeting will be consideration of the postsecondary and career goals and transition services for the student, that the district will invite the student and will identify any other agency that will be invited to send a representative to the meeting.
- e. If neither parent can attend, the district uses other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual or conference telephone calls or video conferencing.
- f. A meeting may be conducted without a parent in attendance if the district is unable to obtain the attendance of the parent. In this case, the district maintains a record of its attempts to arrange a mutually agreed upon time and place. These records include such items as:
- Detailed records of telephone calls made or attempted, and the results of those calls
  - Copies of correspondence sent to the parents and any responses received
  - Detailed records of visits made to the parents' home or place of employment, and the results of those visits
- g. The district takes whatever action is necessary to ensure that the parents, and the student when the student is the age of 14 or more, understand the proceedings at a meeting, including arranging for an interpreter for parents and students who are deaf or whose native language is other than English.
- h. A meeting does not include informal or unscheduled conversations involving school district personnel and conversations on issues such as teaching methodology, lesson plans, or coordination of service provision. A meeting also does not include preparatory activities that school district personnel engage in to develop a proposal or response to a parent proposal that will be discussed at a later meeting.
- i. The district provides the parent with a copy of the IEP at no cost to the parent.

### 3. IEP team participants

The IEP team, with a reasonable number of participants, shall include:

- a. The parents of the student
- b. At least one regular education teacher of the student, if the student is or may be participating in the regular education environment. The regular education teacher of a student with a disability participates, to the extent appropriate, in the development, review, and revision of the student's IEP, including assisting in the determination of:
  - Appropriate positive behavioral interventions and supports and other strategies for the student
  - Supplementary aids and services, classroom accommodations, modifications, or supports for school personnel to be provided for the student
- c. At least one special education teacher of the student, or, where appropriate, one special education provider of the student

- d. A representative of the school district who is qualified to provide or supervise the provision of specially designed instruction to meet the unique needs of students with disabilities, knowledgeable about the general curriculum, and is knowledgeable about the availability of resources of the district. At the discretion of the district, the student's special education teacher may be designated to also serve as the representative of the district if the teacher meets these requirements
- e. An individual who can interpret the instructional implications of evaluation results. This role may be fulfilled by another member of the IEP team
- f. At the discretion of the parent or the school district, other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise regarding the student, including related services personnel. The determination of the knowledge or special expertise shall be made by the party who invited the individual to participate in the IEP team meeting
- g. The student, if appropriate, and in all cases where a purpose of the meeting will be the identification of the student's transition services needs or consideration of postsecondary and career goals for the student and the transition services needed to assist the student in reaching those goals. If the student does not attend the IEP team meeting to identify transition services needs or consider postsecondary and career goals and transition services, the district takes other steps to ensure that the student's preferences and interests are considered
- h. Agency representatives— To the extent appropriate and with the consent of the parents or a student who has reached the age of majority, the school district will invite a representative of any participating agency that may be responsible for providing or paying for transition services. Parental consent or the consent of the student who has reached the age of majority must also be obtained before personally identifiable information is released to officials of participating agencies providing or paying for transition services
- i. In the case of a student who was previously served and received early intervention services under Part C of the IDEA, the Part C service coordinator or other representatives of the Part C system must be invited to the initial IEP team meeting, at the request of the parent, to assist with the smooth transition of services
- j. The district will determine the specific personnel to fill the roles

#### 4. IEP team member excusal

- a. A member of the IEP team is not required to attend an IEP team meeting, in whole or in part, if the parent of a student with a disability and the school district agree, in writing, that the attendance of the member is not necessary because the member's area of curriculum or related services is not being modified or discussed in the meeting.
- b. A member of the IEP team also may be excused from attending an IEP team meeting, in whole or in part, when the meeting involves a modification to or discussion of the member's area of the curriculum or related services, if the parent, in writing, and the school district consent to the excusal and the member submits, in writing to the parent and the IEP team, input into the development of the IEP prior to the meeting.
- c. The district has designated the following individual(s), by name or position, as having the authority to make the agreement with the parent, or provide consent on behalf of the district, to excuse an IEP team member from attending an IEP team meeting.

#### **Identify the individual(s), by name or position, who have been granted this authority.**

Person(s) designated as the Local Education Agency (LEA) and/or representative. In most cases, the ESE School Liaison assumes the responsibility as the LEA in Santa Rosa County.

- d. If a required IEP team member is unable to attend the meeting as scheduled, the parent can agree to continue with the meeting and request an additional meeting if more information is needed, or request that the meeting be rescheduled.

#### 5. Transition of children with disabilities from the infants and toddlers early intervention program

- a. An IEP or an IFSP must be developed and implemented by the third birthday of a child who has been participating in the early intervention program for infants and toddlers with disabilities.

- b. Each school district shall participate in transition planning conferences arranged by the state lead agency for the infants and toddlers with disabilities early intervention program.
- c. If the child's third birthday occurs during the summer, the child's IEP team shall determine the date when services under the IEP or IFSP will begin.

#### 6. IEP timelines

Timelines for IEPs include the following:

- a. An IEP that has been reviewed, and, if appropriate, revised periodically, but not less than annually, must be in effect at the beginning of each school year for each eligible student with a disability within the district's jurisdiction.
- b. An IEP must be developed within 30 calendar days following the determination of a student's eligibility for special education and related services and be in effect prior to the provision of these services.
- c. A meeting shall be held at least annually to review, and revise, as appropriate, each IEP.

#### 7. Considerations in IEP development, review, and revision

The IEP team considers the following factors in the development, review, and revision of the IEP:

- a. Strengths of the student and concerns of the parents for enhancing the education of their child
- b. Results of the initial or most recent evaluation or reevaluation
- c. As appropriate, results of the student's performance on state or districtwide assessments
- d. Academic, developmental, and functional needs of the student
- e. In the case of a student whose behavior impedes the student's learning or the learning of others, strategies, including the use of positive behavioral interventions, supports, and other strategies to address that behavior
- f. In the case of a student with limited English proficiency, the language needs of the student as related to the IEP
- g. In the case of a student who is blind or visually impaired, provision of instruction in Braille and the use of Braille unless the IEP team determines, after an evaluation of the student's reading and writing skills, needs, including future needs and appropriate reading and writing media (including an evaluation of the student's future need for instruction in Braille or the use of Braille), that instruction in Braille or the use of Braille is not appropriate for the student
- h. The communication needs of the student
  - i. In the case of a student who is deaf or hard-of-hearing, the student's language and communication needs, opportunities for direct communications with peers and professional personnel in the student's language and communication mode, academic level, and full range of needs, including opportunities for direct instruction in the student's language and communication mode. Rule 6A-6.03028(3)(g), F.A.C., requires the use of the Communication Plan form (available at <https://www.flrules.org/gateway/reference.asp?No=Ref-04776>) adopted by the State Board of Education during the development of the IEP for students who are deaf, hard of hearing or dual sensory impaired. Use of this plan will ensure that IEP teams are considering the instructional needs of these students in a more comprehensive manner.
- j. Whether the student requires assistive technology devices or services. On a case-by-case basis, the use of school-purchased assistive technology devices in a student's home or other settings is required if the IEP team determines that the student needs access to those devices in order to receive FAPE
- k. At least annually, whether extended school year (ESY) services are necessary for the provision of FAPE to the student if the IEP team determines, on an individual basis, that the services are necessary. School districts may not limit ESY to particular categories of a disability or unilaterally limit the type, amount, or duration of those services.
  - Pursuant to 34 CFR §300.106, ESY services must be considered by the IEP or individualized family support plan (IFSP) team as part of the provision of FAPE for students with disabilities. ESY is special education and related services that are provided to a student with a disability beyond the normal school year of the public agency, in

accordance with the child's IEP or IFSP and at no cost to the parent of the child and meets the standards of the state educational agency (SEA).

- ESY is not intended to provide education beyond that which has been determined necessary by the IEP or IFSP team to ensure FAPE. In many cases, not all of the services specified in an individual student's IEP or IFSP for the school year need to be provided as part of ESY services.
- Parental requests for ESY services must be considered; however, if ESY services are requested by the parent and the IEP or IFSP team does not determine the provision of the requested ESY services as necessary for the provision of FAPE, then a written informed notice of refusal must be provided.

**Describe the district's procedures for determining the need for ESY services for individual students.**

Determining the need for ESY services must be presented in the context of the IEP process and completed at an IEP meeting. The IEP team should consider the need for these services initially and at a minimum, annually, but the consideration for ESY services should be an integral part of any IEP meeting. In addition, the parent, the student, the student's teacher(s), related service providers, or administrators may request an IEP team meeting for this purpose. The IEP team must address the provision of ESY services, if required, in order for the student to receive FAPE. The IEP, in accordance with the Regulations Governing Special Education Programs for Children with Disabilities in Florida (FLDOE Regulations), must have a statement of the projected dates for initiation of services and the anticipated duration of the services. Thus, any IEP that complies with this requirement already has a built-in mechanism to address the duration of services, whether for the length of the school year or some longer or shorter time. Any procedures used by the Santa Rosa County School District to assist the IEP team in collaboratively determining the need for ESY services: - must be considered for ALL students with disabilities - may not be limited by disability category or severity - must prohibit the postponement of the decision by the IEP team regarding ESY services until after the summer in order to gather data or determine what would happen if the services were not provided; - should allow the decision by the IEP team regarding ESY services to be made early enough to ensure that the parents can meaningfully exercise their due process rights if they wish to challenge an ESY decision; - must ensure that the individual needs of the student are addressed and that the nature of the services provided will vary based upon those needs; - must not unilaterally limit the ESY services to a set number of days, hours of service, nor restrict the provision of ESY services for administrative convenience; - must not allow the availability of ESY services to be limited by the financial resources of the school division; - must not allow the denial of ESY services to those student who need the services in order to receive FAPE; and - must not limit ESY services to predetermined disability categories nor categorically exclude certain students with disabilities. The need for ESY is based on the following need(s): - Information contained in the IEP or FSP - Clear Present Levels of Educational Performance Statement - Data: direct, on-going assessment of the IEP or FSP goals, including benchmarks and short-term objectives - Specially designed instruction and related services provided for the provision of FAPE Critical life skill areas to consider: - Academics (or, for pre-K, developmentally appropriate pre-academic skills) - Communication - Independent Functioning and Self-Sufficiency - Social/Emotional Development or Behavior Service Delivery Options for ESY - School-based programs - "Take-Home" instructional materials, with consultation or periodic student/teacher contact - Behavioral or other training for parents or staff-on-the-job support - Services contracted through community or other agencies Re-Entry Remediation Plan for Students with ESE Services: - Students were identified through Progress Monitoring Assessments to determine who met the criteria for Summer School through CARES ACT (K-5) - Parents were notified if their student fell below proficiency as performance documented from January 2020 District-Wide Assessments - Students who were notified, but parent selected NOT to participate, will be provided with a Prior Written Notice that states the notification of below proficiency performance, District remediation attempt, as well as acknowledge parent decline of available services - Students will have support services during summer school to ensure accommodations are provided with fidelity MTSS Approach for Remediation: - District will provide a Tier I approach for all students to determine potential gaps in the areas of English Language Arts and Mathematics - Diagnostic Assessments will be given to all students to determine individual needs - Tier 2 interventions will be provided for students demonstrating academic gaps and will have supplemental curriculum to assist with meeting those identified needs Tier 3 - Students with ESE Services - who have not demonstrated proficiency as determined through diagnostics and supplemental curriculum, IEP team will meet to review the data and discuss need to initiate ESY services throughout the school year.



**Describe the district's procedures for informing staff that varying amounts, types and durations of ESY services are possible based on the individual needs of a student. (Any predetermination or set policy on the amount of time ESY will be provided is contrary to the regulations.)**

SRC has ESE School Liaisons assigned to all schools throughout the District. The program facilitator for ESY services has trained the liaisons on the adjustments to the guidelines and new regulations resulting from the Statewide Complaint and ensures there are periodic trainings provided throughout the school year. Additionally, the District's IEP System has been updated to reflect the time, duration, type of services and location the ESY services will be received. A webinar was also provided to administrators, ESE departments, as well as general education teachers throughout the District. The webinar discusses how ESY services will be provided as well as the specific guidelines to ensure that the IEP team has adequate information to determine whether the benefits the child gained during the regular school year will be significantly jeopardized if the student does not receive ESY. Based on this analysis, if ESY is determined to as being required, these services, at no cost to the parent, will vary in type, intensity, location, inclusion of related services, and length of time, depending on the individual needs of the student. If needs are determined throughout the school year, that a student requires additional services, beyond the school day to meet expectations as determined by designated goals and objectives, the IEP Team will convene to determine the need for ESY services to be implemented immediately.

- I. If, after considering all the factors mentioned above, the IEP team determines that a student needs a particular device or service, including an intervention, accommodation, or other modification, in order to receive FAPE, the IEP includes a statement to that effect.

#### 8. Prior Written Notice

Written notice must be given to the parents of a child with a disability within a reasonable time before the district:

- a. Proposes to initiate or change the identification, evaluation or educational placement of the child or the provision of FAPE; or
- b. Refuses to initiate or change the identification, evaluation, or educational placement of the child or the provision of FAPE to the child.

The content of the notice must be written in language understandable to the general public and provided in the native language or other mode of communication used by the parents unless it is not feasible to do so. If it is not feasible, the district must take steps to ensure that there is evidence that the notice is translated orally or by other means to the parents in the native language or other mode of communication used by the parents and must include the following:

- A description of the action proposed or refused by the district;
- An explanation of why the district proposes or refuses to take the action;
- A description of each evaluation procedure, assessment, record, or report the district used as a basis for the proposed or refused action;
- A statement that the parents of a child with a disability have protection under the procedural safeguards if the notice is not an initial referral for evaluation and the means by which a copy of a description of the procedural safeguards can be obtained;
- Sources for the parents to contact to obtain assistance in understanding the notice;
- A description of other options that the IEP Team considered and the reasons why those options were rejected; and
- A description of other factors that are relevant to the district's proposal or refusal.

#### 9. Content of the IEP

Each IEP must include the following:

- a. A statement of the student's present levels of academic achievement and functional performance, including how the student's disability affects the student's involvement and progress in the general curriculum, or for prekindergarten children, as appropriate, how the disability affects the student's participation in appropriate activities.
- b. A statement of measurable annual goals, including academic and functional goals designed to meet the student's needs that result from the student's disability to enable the student to be involved in and make progress in the general curriculum or for

preschool children, as appropriate, to participate in appropriate activities and meeting each of the student's other educational needs that result from the student's disability.

- c. A description of benchmarks or short-term objectives for students with disabilities who take alternate assessments aligned to alternate achievement standards, or any other student with a disability, at the discretion of the IEP team.
- d. A statement of the special education and related services, and supplementary aids and services, based on peer-reviewed research to the extent practicable, to be provided to the student, or on behalf of the student.
- e. A statement of the classroom accommodations, modifications, or supports for school personnel that will be provided for the student to advance appropriately toward attaining the annual goals; be involved and progress in the general curriculum; to participate in extracurricular and other nonacademic activities; and to be educated and participate with other students with disabilities and nondisabled students in compliance with state and federal law. (A parent must provide signed consent for a student to receive instructional accommodations that would not be permitted on the statewide assessments and must acknowledge in writing that he or she understands the implications of such accommodations.)
- f. An explanation of the extent, if any, to which the student will not participate with nondisabled students in the regular class or in the activities described above.
- g. A statement addressing any individual appropriate accommodations necessary to measure the academic achievement and functional performance of the student on the statewide, standardized assessments or district assessments.  
Accommodations that negate the validity of a statewide assessment are not allowable in accordance with s. 1008.22, F.S. If the IEP team determines that the student will take the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment instead of other statewide, standardized assessments or an alternate district assessment of student achievement, the IEP must include a statement of why the student cannot participate in other statewide, standardized assessments or district assessments and, if applicable why the particular district alternate assessment selected is appropriate for the student. If a student does not participate in the statewide, standardized assessment program as a result of being granted an extraordinary exemption in accordance with s. 1008.212, F.S., or an exemption due to medical complexity in accordance with s. 1008.22(11), F.S., the district must notify the student's parent and provide the parent with information regarding the implications of such nonparticipation in accordance with s. 1008.22(3), F.S.
- h. The projected date for the beginning of the special education, services, accommodations, and modifications described and the anticipated frequency, location, and duration of those services.
- i. A statement of how the student's progress toward meeting the annual goals will be measured and when periodic reports on the progress the student is making toward meeting the annual goals (such as through the use of quarterly or other periodic reports, concurrent with the issuance of report cards) will be provided.
- j. A statement to identify any Career and Professional Education (CAPE) Digital Tools certificates and CAPE industry certifications the student seeks to attain before high school graduation.

#### 10. Transitional needs addressed within IEP

- a. Before a student attaining the age of 14 years, in order to ensure quality transition planning and services, IEP teams shall begin the process of identifying transition services needs of students with disabilities, to include the following:
  - A statement of intent to pursue a standard high school diploma pursuant to s. 1003.4282(1)-(9), (11), F.S., and a Scholar or Merit designation in accordance with s. 1003.4285, F.S., as determined by the parent;
  - The preparation needed for the student to graduate from high school with a standard diploma and a Scholar or Merit diploma designation as determined by the parent; and
  - Consideration of the student's need for instruction or the provision of information in the area of self-determination and self-advocacy to assist the student to be able to actively and effectively participate in IEP meetings, so that needed postsecondary and career goals may be identified and in place by age 16.
- b. Beginning not later than the first IEP to be in effect when the student attains the age of 16, or younger if determined appropriate by the parent and the IEP team, the IEP must include the following statements that must be updated annually:

- A statement of intent to receive a standard high school diploma before the student attains the age of 22 and a description of how the student will fully meet the requirements in s. 1003.4282, F.S. This requirement does not apply if the student entered Grade 9 prior to the 2014-2015 school year and is pursuing a special diploma in accordance with the student's IEP.
- A statement of the outcomes and the additional benefits expected by the parent and the IEP team at the time of the student's graduation.
- A statement of appropriate measurable long-term postsecondary education and career goals based upon age-appropriate transition assessments related to training, education, employment, and, if appropriate, independent living skills and the transition services, including courses of study needed to assist the student in reaching those goals.
- If a participating agency responsible for transition services, other than the school district, fails to provide the transition services described in the IEP, the school district shall reconvene the IEP team to identify alternative strategies to meet the transition objectives for the student set out in the IEP. However, this does not relieve any participating agency, including the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation Services (VR), of the responsibility to provide or pay for any transition service that the agency would otherwise provide to students with disabilities who meet the eligibility criteria of that agency.

- c. Any change in the IEP for the goals specified in section b (above) must be approved by the parent and is subject to verification for appropriateness by an independent reviewer selected by the parent as provided in s. 1003.572.
- d. Beginning at least one year before the student's eighteenth birthday, a statement that the student has been informed of his or her rights that will transfer from the parent to the student on reaching the age of majority, which is 18 years of age.
- e. Beginning with the 2015-2016 school year, a statement identifying Career and Professional Education (CAPE) digital tool certificates and the CAPE industry certifications that the student seeks to attain before high school graduation, if any, pursuant to s. 1003.4203, F.S.
- f. For students whose eligibility terminates due to graduation from secondary school with a regular diploma or due to exceeding the age eligibility for FAPE under State law, a public agency must provide the child with a summary of the child's academic achievement and functional performance, which should include recommendations on how to assist the student in meeting the postsecondary and career goals.

11. Requirements for a Standard Diploma are found in s. 1003.4282, F.S., and Rule 6A-6.03028, F.A.C.

## 12. High School Graduation Requirements for Students with Disabilities

### a. General requirements.

Beginning in the 2014-2015 school year, students with disabilities entering Grade 9 may attain a standard diploma and earn standard diploma designations by meeting the requirements in ss. 1003.4282(1)-(9) or 1002.3105(5), or 1003.4282(110) and 1003.4285, F.S. Nothing contained in Rule 6A-1.09963, F.A.C., shall be construed to limit or restrict the right of a student with a disability solely to the options described in Rule 6A-1.09963, F.A.C. A certificate of completion will be awarded to students who earn the required 18 or 24 credits required for graduation, but who do not achieve the required grade point average or who do not pass required assessments unless a waiver of the results has been granted in accordance with s.1008.22(3)(c) 2., F.S., or participation in a statewide assessment has been exempted in accordance with s. 1008.212, F.S., or s. 1008.22(11), F.S. Students who entered Grade 9 before the 2014-2015 school year and whose individual educational plan (IEP), as of June 20, 2014, contained a statement of intent to receive a special diploma may continue to work toward a special diploma or a special certificate of completion.

### b. Definitions from Rule 6A-1.09963, F.A.C.

- i. Access courses. Access courses are approved by the State Board of Education and are described in the Course Code Directory and Instructional Personnel Assignments, in accordance with Rule 6A-1.09441, F.A.C. Access courses are based on the access points. Access points are academic expectations intended only for students with significant cognitive disabilities and are designed to provide these students with access to the general curriculum.

- ii. Alternate Assessment. In accordance with s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S., an alternate assessment is a statewide standardized assessment designed for students with significant cognitive disabilities in order to measure performance on the access points.
  - iii. Employment transition plan. A plan that meets the requirements found in s. 1003.4282(10)(b)2.d., F.S. This plan is separate from the IEP.
  - iv. Eligible Career and Technical Education (CTE) course. Eligible CTE courses include any exceptional student education (ESE) or general education CTE course that contains content related to the course for which it is substituting. Modifications to the expectations or outcomes of the curriculum, known as modified occupational completion points (MOCPs), are allowable and may be necessary for a student who takes access courses and participates in the alternate assessment. Modifications may include modified course requirements. Modifications to curriculum outcomes should be considered only after all appropriate accommodations are in place. MOCPs must be developed for students in conjunction with their IEP and must be documented on the IEP. Course outcomes may be modified through the IEP process for secondary students with disabilities who are enrolled in a postsecondary program if the student is earning secondary (high school) credit for the program.
- c. Requirements for a standard diploma for students with disabilities for whom the IEP team has determined that participation in the Florida Alternate Assessment is the most appropriate measure of the student's skills, in accordance with Rule 6A-1.0943(5), F.A.C., and instruction in the access points is the most appropriate means of providing the student access to the general curriculum. Students must meet the graduation requirements specified in s. 1003.4282(1)-(9), F.S., or s. 1002.3105(5), F.S., through the access course specified for each required core course, through more rigorous ESE courses in the same content area, or through core academic courses. Eligible access courses are described in the Course Code Directory and Instructional Personnel Assignments, in accordance with Rule 6A-1.09441, F.A.C.
- i. Eligible CTE courses, as defined in Rule 6A-1.09963(2)(d), F.A.C., may substitute for Access English IV; one mathematics credit, with the exception of Access Algebra 1A and Access Algebra 1B and Access Geometry; one science credit, with the exception of Access Biology; and one social studies credit, with the exception of Access United States History. Eligible courses are described in the Course Code Directory and Instructional Personnel Assignments, in accordance with Rule 6A-1.09441, F.A.C.
  - ii. Participation in the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment in reading, mathematics, and science is required until replaced by Florida Alternate Assessments in English Language Arts I, II, and III, Algebra I, Geometry, Algebra II, Biology I, and United States History.
  - iii. A score of at least 4 on the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment in reading and math must be attained, until replaced by the Grade 10 English Language Arts alternate assessment and the End-of-Course (EOC) assessment for Access Algebra I, unless assessment results are waived in accordance with s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S. A waiver of the results of the statewide, standardized assessment requirements by the IEP team, pursuant to s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S., must be approved by the parents and is subject to verification for appropriateness by an independent reviewer selected by the parents as provided for in s. 1003.572, F.S.
  - iv. For those students whose performance on standardized assessments is waived by the IEP team as approved by the parent, the development of a graduation portfolio of quantifiable evidence of achievement is required. The portfolio must include a listing of courses the student has taken, grades received, student work samples, and other materials that demonstrate growth, improvement, and mastery of required course standards. Multi-media portfolios that contain electronic evidence of progress, including videos and audio recordings, are permissible. Community-based instruction, MOCPs, work experience, internships, community service, and postsecondary credit, if any, must be documented in the portfolio.
- d. Requirements for a standard diploma for students with disabilities for whom the IEP team has determined that mastery of both academic and employment competencies is the most appropriate way for the student to demonstrate his or her skills. A student must meet all of the graduation requirements specified in s. 1003.4282(1)-(9), F.S., or s. 1002.3105(5), F.S. Eligible courses are described in the Course Code Directory and Instructional Personnel Assignments, in accordance with Rule 6A-1.09441, F.A.C.

- i. Eligible CTE courses, as defined in Rule 6A-1.09963(2)(d), F.A.C., may substitute for English IV; one mathematics credit, with the exception of Algebra and Geometry; one science credit, with the exception of Biology; and one social studies credit, with the exception of United States History. Eligible courses are described in the Course Code Directory and Instructional Personnel Assignments, in accordance with Rule 6A-1.09441, F.A.C.
  - ii. Students must earn a minimum of one-half credit in a course that includes employment. Such employment must be at a minimum wage or above in compliance with the requirements of the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act, for the number of hours a week specified in the student's completed and signed employment transition plan, as specified in s. 1003.4282(10)(b)2.d., F.S., for the equivalent of at least one semester. Additional credits in employment-based courses are permitted as electives.
  - iii. Documented achievement of all components defined in s. 1003.4282(10)(b)2.b., F.S., on the student's employment transition plan.
- e. A waiver of the results of the statewide, standardized assessment requirements by the IEP team, pursuant to s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S., must be approved by the parents and is subject to verification for appropriateness by an independent reviewer selected by the parents as provided for in s. 1003.572, F.S.
- f. Deferral of receipt of a standard diploma. A student with a disability who meets the standard high school diploma requirements may defer the receipt of the diploma and continue to receive services if the student meets the requirements found at s. 1003.4282(10)(c), F.S.
- i. The decision to accept or defer the standard high school diploma must be made during the school year in which the student is expected to meet all requirements for a standard high school diploma. The decision must be noted on the IEP and the parent, or the student over the age of 18 for whom rights have transferred in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03311(8), F.A.C., must sign a separate document stating the decision.
    - a. The IEP team must review the benefits of deferring the standard high school diploma, including continuation of educational and related services, and describe to the parent and the student all services and program options available to students who defer. This description must be done in writing.
    - b. School districts must inform the parent and the student, in writing, by January 30 of the year in which the student is expected to meet graduation requirements, that failure to defer receipt of a standard high school diploma after all requirements are met releases the school district from the obligation to provide a free appropriate public education (FAPE). This communication must state that the deadline for acceptance or deferral of the diploma is May 15 of the year in which the student is expected to meet graduation requirements, and that failure to attend a graduation ceremony does not constitute a deferral.
    - c. The school district must ensure that the names of students deferring their diploma be submitted to appropriate district staff for entry in the district's management information system. Improper coding in the district database will not constitute failure to defer.
  - ii. A student with a disability who receives a certificate of completion may continue to receive FAPE until their 22nd birthday, or, at the discretion of the school district, until the end of the school semester or year in which the student turns 22. The repeal of s. 1003.438, F.S., effective July 15, 2015, does not apply to a student with a disability as defined in s. 1003.438, F.S., whose individual educational plan, as of June 20, 2014, contains a statement of intent to receive a special diploma. Such student shall be awarded a special diploma in a form prescribed by the Commissioner of Education if the student meets the requirements specified in s. 1003.438, F.S., and in effect as of June 20, 2014. Any such student who meets all special requirements of the district school board in effect as of June 20, 2014, but who is unable to meet the appropriate special state minimum requirements in effect as of June 20, 2014, shall be awarded a special certificate of completion in a form prescribed by the Commissioner of Education.

### 13. Separate parental consent for specific actions included in an IEP

In accordance with s. 1003.5715, F.S., effective July 1, 2013, separate parental consent for the following actions in a student's IEP is required:

- a. Administration of an alternate assessment pursuant to s. 1008.22, F.S., and instruction in the state standards access points curriculum.

## b. Placement of the student in an ESE center school.

The district must use the following forms adopted by FDOE for obtaining consent.

- o Parental Consent Form: [Instruction in the State Standards Access Points Curriculum and Florida Alternate Assessment Administration](#)
- o Parental Consent Form: [Student Placement in an Exceptional Education Center](#)

In accordance with 34 CFR §300.503, each consent form must be provided in the parent's native language as defined in 34 CFR §300.29. Consent forms can be accessed at the following link: [flrules.org/gateway/reference.asp?no=ref-03384](http://flrules.org/gateway/reference.asp?no=ref-03384). A district may not proceed with the actions described above unless the district documents reasonable efforts to obtain the parent's consent and the student's parent has failed to respond or the district obtains approval through a due process hearing.

Except for a disciplinary change in placement as described in s. 1003.57(1)(h), F.S., if a district determines that there is a need to change a student's IEP related to administration of the alternate assessment, instruction in the access points curriculum, or ESE center school placement, the school must hold an IEP team meeting that includes the parent to discuss the reason for the change. The school shall provide written notice to the parent at least 10 days before the meeting, indicating the purpose, time, and location of the meeting and who, by title or position, will attend the meeting. The IEP team meeting requirement may be waived by informed consent of the parent after the parent receives the written notice.

For a change in a student's IEP related to administration of the alternate assessment, instruction in access points curriculum, or ESE center school placement, the district may not implement the change without parental consent unless the district documents reasonable efforts to obtain the parent's consent and the student's parent has failed to respond or the district obtains approval through a due process hearing and resolution of appeals.

## 14. Least restrictive environment (LRE) and placement determinations

- o To the maximum extent appropriate, students with disabilities, including those in public or private institutions or other facilities, are educated with students who are not disabled. A school district shall use the term "inclusion" to mean that a student is receiving education in a general education regular class setting, reflecting natural proportions and age-appropriate heterogeneous groups in core academic and elective or special areas within the school community; a student with a disability is a valued member of the classroom and school community; the teachers and administrators support universal education and have knowledge and support available to enable them to effectively teach all children; and a student is provided access to technical assistance in best practices, instructional methods, and supports tailored to the student's needs based on current research.

Section 1003.57(1)(f), F.S., requires that, once every three years, each school district and school must complete a Best Practices in Inclusive Education (BPIE) assessment. The BPIE is an internal assessment process designed to facilitate the analysis, implementation and improvement of inclusive educational practices. The results of this process, including all planned short- and long-term improvement efforts, must be included in the school district's ESE policies and procedures.

The district completed the BPIE.

**Date completed. (Please upload the district's BPIE services plan that must include all short- and long-term improvement efforts, in Appendix F).**

The District BPIE was completed on February 6, 2018. The Santa Rosa County District ESE Department will work collaboratively with the Florida Inclusion Network (FIN) to develop a District FIN Services Plan (FSP). The plan will be reviewed by FIN representative in September of 2020. FIN Priority Goal: During the 2020-2021 school year, FIN will work in collaboration with districts to build their capacity to increase the regular education class placements, decrease separate class placement, and decrease other separate environment placements, while supporting increase in reading and math gains of all students with disabilities across all districts. This will be accomplished by developing, implementing, and monitoring regional, district, and site-based FIN services plans statewide.

**The anticipated date for the triennial BPIE assessment, if known.**

The anticipated date for the triennial BPIE will be in February of 2021.

- o Special classes, separate schooling, or other removal of students with disabilities from the regular educational environment occurs only if the nature or severity of the disability is such that education in regular classes with the use of supplementary aids and services cannot be achieved satisfactorily; and
- o A continuum of alternative placements must be available to meet the needs of students with disabilities for special education and related services, including instruction in regular classes, special classes, special schools, home instruction, and instruction in hospitals and institutions. A school district must make provision for supplementary services (such as resource room or itinerant instruction) to be provided in conjunction with regular class placement.

**Describe the district's continuum of alternative placements (e.g., instruction in regular classes, special classes, special schools, home instruction and instruction in hospitals and institutions). If your district contracts with another district to provide a placement option, please indicate this as well.**

SRC strives to offer a continuum of services in schools throughout the district. Locations for service delivery may include, but are not limited to, regular education classes, exceptional student education classes, vocational classes, or community. The delivery of services via consultation or collaboration should follow according to the state's definition: Consultation - sharing of information between teachers, families, agencies and others in order to address the student's needs. Consultation must be regularly scheduled and conducted face-to-face or virtually. Collaboration is a joint effort among teachers, families, agencies and others. Collaboration involves cooperative, proactive work on the part of all participants, with all parties actively planning and carrying out interventions designed to meet a student's needs. If a student requires more intensive services outside of the available LRE, then the following services can be utilized: Homebound Instruction is provided for students who meet the criteria: (a) A licensed physician must certify that the student: 1. Is expected to be absent from school due to a physical or psychiatric condition for at least fifteen (15) consecutive school days, or the equivalent on the block schedule, or due to a chronic condition, for at least fifteen (15) school days, or the equivalent on a block schedule, which need not run consecutively; 2. Is confined to home or hospital; 3. Will be able to participate in and benefit from an instructional program; 4. Is under medical care for illness or injury which is acute, catastrophic, or chronic in nature; and 5. Can receive instructional services without endangering the health and safety of the instructor or other students with whom the instructor may come in contact. Students placed on a modified schedule or home instruction must meet the following criteria: 1) Become so disruptive that they damage the integrity of the classroom, precluding instruction in the classroom for themselves and others 2) Exhibit disruptive behaviors with such frequency and intensity that they are unable to benefit from the traditional school-day instruction 3) Require a temporary alternative placement during which evaluative data is collected or alternatives to expulsion are considered A consideration for Home Instruction must directly involve the ESE Director in which specific guidance will be provided as well as an IEP meeting to discuss the temporary placement, implementation guidelines, and a timeline to ensure instructional delivery, required support services, as well as progress monitoring is established for eligible student. ASD Access Points Model Classroom: Students with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) present unique educational programming challenges, due to their complex neurodevelopmental, social, and behavioral difficulties. The notion of "spectrum" is truly conveyed by this diverse group of learners, and therefore, brought about the development of our Autism Spectrum Disorder Model Classrooms for Santa Rosa County School District. Our classrooms focus on providing a continuum of support and services for the individualized need of all students across an array of functioning and grade levels. The ASD Access Points Model Classroom was designed for students whose participation in the general statewide assessment (FSA, and End of Course Exams) has been deemed as not appropriate by the IEP team, even with accommodations. The students in the ASD Access Points Model classroom will take The Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA) and their instruction will be aligned to the Florida Standards Access Points. The FSAA measures student s academic performance on the Access Points (FS-AP) in Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science. Access points reflect the essence or core intent of the standards that apply to all students in the same grade, but at reduced levels of complexity. Access Points are academic expectations written specifically for students with significant cognitive disabilities. It is expected that only students with the most significant cognitive impairments, who are eligible under Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) will participate in The Florida Standards Alternate Assessment. Communication, Behavioral, Social, and Academic (CBSA) Classrooms: Students who experience severe difficulties communicating present unique educational programming challenges, due to complications with ability to receive, send, process, and comprehend concepts or verbal, nonverbal and graphic symbol systems. This often lends to difficulties with social emotional development and can generate behavioral difficulties. Educating students with these needs requires individualized small group instruction techniques that are research based and proven effective. These needs are what presented Santa Rosa County School District with the opportunity to create our Communication Behavior Social Academic Classrooms (CBSA). CBSA Classrooms focus on providing a low student ratio, intensive teaching sessions, embedded skills, and natural environment teaching using Verbal Behavior techniques, Applied Behavior Analysis methods within a structured classroom (TEACCH strategies). CBSA classrooms provide a collaborative team approach, including the Speech and Language Pathologists (SLP), Occupational and/or Physical Therapy, (if applicable) within the classroom environment. The team approach includes daily communication with the family and is essential for the success of the program. Although communication is the central focus within the CBSA class students will participate in The Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA) in third grade. Therefore, their instruction will be aligned to the Florida Standards Access Points. The FSAA measures students' academic performance on the Access Points (FS-AP) in Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science. Access points reflect the essence or core intent of the standards that apply to all students in the same grade, but at reduced levels of complexity. Social Thinking Model Classroom: Students with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) present unique educational programming challenges, due to their complex neurodevelopmental, social, and behavioral difficulties. The notion of "spectrum" is truly conveyed by this diverse group of learners, and therefore, brought about the development of our Social Thinking Model Classrooms for Santa Rosa County School District. Our classrooms focus on providing a continuum of support and services for the individualized need of all students across an array of functioning and grade levels. The Social Thinking Model Classroom was designed for students who have significant social



skills deficits, which have thus far impacted their performance in a general education classroom. The students in the Social Thinking Model classrooms take The Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) and their instruction is aligned to the general education standards. The Florida Standards reflect our foundational expectations of what ALL students should know and be able to do in each grade from kindergarten through 12th grade.

**Behavior Focus Classrooms:**

- IEP will establish needed interventions through ESE supports for student to progress on all IEP goals and objectives;
- Required Trainings: Crisis Prevention Intervention (CPI), Data Collections, Functional Behavior Assessments, Implementing a Behavior Plan, and Tough Kids;
- Classroom will be based on a Level System with Targeted Behaviors and Percentages for Reinforcer Schedule;
- Daily Social Skills, Coping, and Empathy Training for Students;
- Functional Behavior Assessment completed;
- Behavior Intervention Plan/ Individual Plan is created and implemented;
- One on One Mental Health counseling/School Overlay Services;
- Individualized Crisis Plan, Preventative Strategies, and Replacement Behaviors;
- 20/30 minute Data collection is documented for all Replacement Behaviors;
- Interventions are delivered to a very small group 1:3 or students individually for behavioral needs;
- Behavior Intervention Plan updated and reviewed quarterly.

**Students with Significant Cognitive Disabilities:** Students who meet the criteria for the IND eligibility work in self-contained settings but not full-time, as schools have been encouraged to transition all students to the inclusive educational setting for courses that are deemed appropriate for the individual student (IEP Team Decision). Curriculum has been adopted as supplement to the Core Academics either on general education course codes and/or Access Points Standards. Examples of curriculum utilized to support academic growth of students are as follows:

- i - Ready - K-12 Adaptive Diagnostic and Instructional Program - A diagnostic adaptive growth measure that performs an individual diagnostic for reading and mathematics that pinpoint student needs down to the sub-skill level, and on-going progress monitoring that shows whether students are on track to achieve end-of-year targets.
- Standing Up for Me - Curriculum utilized in Unique Skills and/or Learning Strategies settings to assist in the development of self-determination and self-advocacy skills of students who receive ESE services in self-contained settings (students enrolled in these courses are only in classes with disabled peers, as only students with services are allowed to take designated courses). This curriculum covers primary, intermediate, middle, and high school grades and includes the necessary classroom materials, activities, as well as appropriate evaluations to assess level of self-advocacy.

**ACCESS Classrooms:** ACCESS classrooms focus on providing a continuum of support and services for individualized needs of all students across an array of functioning disabilities and grade levels. Programs for students with varying exceptionalities encompasses instructional and related services that provide significant adaptations and modifications to curriculum, methodology, materials, equipment, or the learning environment.

**Hybrid Classrooms** The Hybrid classrooms were formed in Santa Rosa County at 11 schools to help provide a least restrictive environment for students who were transitioning off of FSAA/Access Points or who did not meet the criteria to be placed on FSAA/Access Points. The goal of the Hybrid class is to utilize research-based interventions and to develop a plan for students to systematically increase opportunities for inclusion. Since its inception, the perception of students who should be placed in a Hybrid classroom has been interpreted differently. In order to provide fidelity with the intensive academic interventions needed for students in the Hybrid classroom, only students with a significant cognitive exceptionality are placed in this setting.

**Blended Pre-K Placement of ESE students in Pre-Kindergarten Programs** will be determined by the Individual Education Planning Team and in compliance with the IEP/IFSP providing services in the least restrictive environment with their non-disabled peers as determined by Indicator 6 in the state Performance Plan. Pre-K Blended Inclusion classes will be a consideration as a least restrictive environment for students who have an IEP, as well as students who are participants of the VPK program. Placement of ESE students in the PreK Blended Inclusion classes is determined by eligibility and a ranking system.

**Camelot School - Alternative School** Due to learning and behavioral deficits designates students will participate in an alternative school setting with low student to staff ratios to ensure HIS/HER safety and the safety of others with specialized instruction daily, academic accommodations, and behavioral modifications.

**Transition Preparation Programs: 18 - 22 years of age - Programs for On the Job Training Opportunities:**

**Skills for Independent Living and Learning (SKILLS):** Program Purpose: To provide vocational training in an adult oriented, community based environment . To provide job coaching for nonpaid work experience . To offer training opportunities at several actual job sites with a small group . To increase vocational skills with the intent to progress into a program with a more independent training level to transition from school to the adult world.

**Skill for Independence, Transition & Employment (SITE):** Program Purpose: To provide vocational training in an adult-oriented, community based environment. To provide job coaching for non-paid employment. To offer training opportunities at actual job sites. To increase student independence and self-advocacy. To assist in transition from the school world to the adult world.

**Project SEARCH:** Project SEARCH is a high school transition program for young adults with disabilities. It is a nine month internship program that follows the academic calendar. It is targeted to young adults whose goal is competitive employment. The program takes place in a healthcare or business setting. Total immersion in the workplace assists in the instruction and learning process. Interns receive continuous feedback and gain employability and competitive work skills. Each site has a certified instructor and job coaches to work with the interns and the business.

**PILOT - Partners in Learning Through Occupational Training** Our PILOT Program is designed to provide on and off-campus training for exceptional students in a variety of public and private community sectors. Designed for high school juniors and seniors, the goal of this program is to help students develop further awareness of their preferences and interests. These experiences also lead to the development of employability skills. Students in this program are nonpaid and receive high school credit toward graduation. They are monitored by an ESE OJT Teacher and Job Coach. Transportation is provided.

**Adult Programs** Santa Rosa Adult School offers a variety of programs throughout Santa Rosa County. These programs include: Co-enrolled High School Credit Courses Adult High

School Completion Adult Basic Education (ABE) High School Equivalency Test (GED® Test) Preparation Classes ESOL (English as a Second Language) for Adults Co-enrolled high school students may take classes through Santa Rosa Adult School for credit recovery. State rules require that students may only take credit recovery courses for the same course they need to replace. (Substitutions for courses are not approved by the state.)

**Describe the district's procedures regarding provision for supplementary services to be provided in conjunction with regular class placement.**

ESE District Staff work collaboratively with schools to assist in the determination if student requires additional supports outside of the traditional, general education classroom setting. As all IEP Teams assume the responsibility of determining the level of service a student requires as well as the time required to support students whether it is in the general education setting and/or more restrictive setting, specific minutes of support are determined in area of need; i.e. Reading, English/Language Arts, Mathematics, Socio/Emotional, and Related Services Supports. Specific services whether instructional, behavioral, related services are determined through annual goals/objectives established by teacher of record, program specialists, and related services providers.

- o In determining the educational placement of a student with a disability, including a preschool child with a disability, each school district must ensure that:
  - The placement decision is made by a group of persons, including the parents, and other persons knowledgeable about the student, the meaning of the evaluation data, and the placement options.
  - The placement decision is made in accordance with the LRE provisions listed above.
  - The student's placement is determined at least annually, is based on the student's IEP, and is as close as possible to the student's home.
  - Unless the IEP of a student with a disability requires some other arrangement, the student is educated in the school that he or she would attend if nondisabled.
  - In selecting the LRE, consideration is given to any potential harmful effect on the student or on the quality of services that he or she needs.
  - A student with a disability is not removed from education in age-appropriate regular classrooms solely because of needed modifications in the general education curriculum.
- o In providing or arranging for the provision of nonacademic and extracurricular services and activities (including meals, recess periods, counseling services, athletics, transportation, health services, recreational activities, special interest groups or clubs sponsored by the school district, referrals to agencies that provide assistance to individuals with disabilities, and employment of students, including both employment by the school district and assistance in making outside employment available), each school district must ensure that each student with a disability participates with students who are not disabled to the maximum extent appropriate to the needs of the student. The school district must ensure that each student with a disability has the supplementary aids and services determined by the student's IEP team to be appropriate and necessary for the student to participate in nonacademic settings.

**15. Review and revision of the IEP**

The district ensures that the IEP team:

- a. Reviews the IEP periodically, but not less than annually, to determine whether the annual goals for the student are being achieved
- b. Revises the IEP as appropriate to address:
  - Any lack of expected progress toward the annual goals and in the general curriculum, if appropriate
  - Results of any reevaluation conducted
  - Information about the student provided to or by the parents

- The student's anticipated needs or other matters
- Consideration of the factors described earlier in subsection 7.

c. Responds to a parent's right to ask for revision of the student's IEP

d. Encourages the consolidation of reevaluation meetings for the student and other IEP team meetings for the student, to the extent possible

#### 16. Changes to the IEP

Generally, changes to the IEP must be made by the entire IEP team at an IEP team meeting and may be made by amending the IEP rather than by redrafting the entire IEP. However, in making changes to the IEP after the annual IEP team meeting for a school year, the parent and school district may agree not to convene an IEP team meeting for purposes of making those changes, and instead may develop a written document to amend or modify the student's current IEP. If changes are made to the student's IEP without a meeting, the district must ensure that the student's IEP team is informed of those changes. Upon request, a parent will be provided a revised copy of the IEP with the amendments incorporated. In addition, the following changes to the IEP and decisions made by the IEP team must be approved by the parent or the adult student, if rights have transferred, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03311(8), F.A.C. Such changes are subject to an independent reviewer selected by the parent as provided in s. 1003.572, F.S., and include:

- a. Changes to the postsecondary or career goals; and,
- b. Beginning with students entering Grade 9 in the 2014-2015 school year, changes in the selected graduation option specified in the student's IEP and any waiver of statewide standardized assessment results made by the IEP team in accordance with the provisions of s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S.

#### 17. Students with disabilities in adult prisons

The requirements relating to participation in general assessments do not apply to students with disabilities who are convicted as adults under state law and incarcerated in adult prisons. In addition, the requirements relating to transition planning and services do not apply with respect to those students whose eligibility for services under Part B of IDEA will end because of their age before they will be eligible to be released from prison based on consideration of their sentence and eligibility for early release. The IEP team may modify the student's IEP or placement if the state has demonstrated a bona fide security or compelling penological interest that cannot otherwise be accommodated. The requirements relating to the IEP content and LRE do not apply with respect to such modifications.

#### 18. IEP implementation and accountability

The school district is responsible for providing special education to students with disabilities in accordance with the students' IEPs. However, it is not required that the school district, teacher, or other person be held accountable if a student does not achieve the growth projected in the annual goals and benchmarks or objectives. An IEP must be in effect before special education and related services are provided to an eligible student and will be implemented as soon as possible following the IEP team meeting. In addition, the IEP will be accessible to each regular education teacher, special education teacher, related service provider, and other service provider who is responsible for its implementation. All teachers and providers will be informed of their specific responsibilities related to the implementation of the IEP and the specific accommodations, modifications, and supports that must be provided for the student in accordance with the IEP. The district must make a good faith effort to assist the student in achieving the goals and objectives or benchmarks listed on the IEP.

#### 19. IEPs and meetings for students with disabilities placed in private schools or community facilities by the school district

If a student with a disability is placed in a private school by the school district, in consultation with the student's parents, the school district will ensure that the student has the same rights as a student with a disability served by the school district. Before placing the student, the school district initiates and conducts a meeting to develop an IEP or IFSP for the student. The district will ensure the attendance of a representative of the private school at the meeting. If the representative cannot attend, the district will use other methods to ensure participation by the private school, including individual or conference telephone calls. After a student with a disability enters a private school or facility, any meetings to review and revise the student's IEP may be initiated and conducted by the private school or facility at the discretion of the school district. However, the school district must ensure that the parents and a school district representative are involved in decisions about the IEP and agree to proposed changes in the IEP before those changes are implemented by the private school. Even if a private school or facility implements a student's IEP, responsibility for compliance with state board rules remains with the school district. These requirements apply only to students who are or have been

placed in or referred to a private school or facility by a school district as a means of providing FAPE. If placement in a public or private residential program is necessary to provide special education to a student with a disability, the program, including non-medical care and room and board, must be at no cost to the parents of the student.

#### 20. Access to instructional materials

The school district will take all reasonable steps to provide instructional materials in accessible formats to students with disabilities who need those instructional materials at the same time as other students receive instructional materials.

#### 21. Physical education

Physical education services, specially designed if necessary, must be made available to every student with a disability receiving FAPE, unless the school district does not provide physical education to students without disabilities in the same grades. Each student with a disability will be afforded the opportunity to participate in the regular physical education program available to nondisabled students unless the student is enrolled full-time in a separate facility or the student needs specially designed physical education, as prescribed in the student's IEP. If specially designed physical education is prescribed in a student's IEP, the school district will provide the services directly or make arrangements for those services to be provided through other public or private programs. The school district responsible for the education of a student with a disability who is enrolled in a separate facility must ensure that the student receives appropriate physical education services in compliance with state and federal law.

#### 22. Treatment of charter school students

Students with disabilities who attend public charter schools, and their parents, retain all rights under Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C. The school district will serve students with disabilities attending those charter schools in the same manner as the district serves students with disabilities in its other schools. This includes the following:

- a. Providing supplementary and related services on site at the charter school to the same extent to which the school district has a policy or practice of providing such services on site to its other public schools
- b. Providing funds under Part B of the IDEA to those charter schools on the same basis as the school district provides funds to the school district's other public schools:
  - i. Including proportional distribution based on relative enrollment of students with disabilities
  - ii. At the same time as the school distributes other federal funds to its other public schools

#### 23. Program options

The school district must take steps to ensure that students with disabilities have available to them the variety of educational programs and services available to nondisabled students in the area served by the school district, including art, music, industrial arts, consumer and homemaking education, and career and technical education.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding the development and implementation of IEPs.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section D: Discipline

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.530–300.537

Sections 893.02, 893.03, 1002.20, 1002.22, 1003.01, 1003.31, 1003.57, and 1006.09, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.0955, 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361 and 6A-6.03312, F.A.C.

### Definitions

1. Change of placement because of disciplinary removals

For the purpose of removing a student with a disability from the student's current educational placement as specified in the student's IEP under Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C., a change of placement occurs with **either** of the following:

- a. The removal is for more than 10 consecutive school days.
- b. The student has been subjected to a series of removals that constitutes a pattern that is a change of placement because the removals cumulate to more than 10 school days in a school year, because the student's behavior is substantially similar to the student's behavior in previous incidents that resulted in the series of removals, and because of additional factors, such as the length of each removal, the total amount of time the student has been removed, and the proximity of the removals to one another. A school district determines on a case-by-case basis whether a pattern of removals constitutes a change of placement, and this determination is subject to review through due process and judicial proceedings.

2. Controlled substance

A controlled substance is any substance named or described in Schedules I–V of s. 893.03, F.S.

3. Illegal drug

An illegal drug means a controlled substance but does not include a substance that is legally possessed or used under the supervision of a licensed health care professional or that is legally possessed or used under any other authority under the Controlled Substances Act, 21 U.S.C. 812(c), or under any other provision of federal law.

4. Serious bodily injury

Serious bodily injury means bodily injury that involves a substantial risk of death; extreme physical pain; protracted and obvious disfigurement; or protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty.

5. Weapon

Weapon means a weapon, device, instrument, material, or substance, animate or inanimate, that is used for, or is readily capable of, causing death or serious bodily injury, except that such term does not include a pocket knife with a blade that is less than two and a half inches in length.

6. Manifestation determination

A manifestation determination is a process by which the relationship between the student's disability and a specific behavior that may result in disciplinary action is examined.

7. Interim alternative educational setting (IAES)

An interim alternative educational setting is a different location where educational services are provided for a specific time period due to disciplinary reasons and that meets the requirements of Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C.

### Procedures

1. For students with disabilities whose behavior impedes their learning or the learning of others, strategies, including positive behavioral interventions and supports to address that behavior, will be considered in the development of their IEPs. School personnel may consider any unique circumstances on a case-by-case basis when determining whether a change in placement, consistent with the requirements and procedures in Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C., is appropriate for a student with a disability who violates the Code of Student Conduct.

**Describe the district's procedures for providing information and training regarding positive behavioral interventions and supports.**

The following training and information is provided to staff within Santa Rosa County: 1) Functional Behavior Assessment 2) Behavior Intervention Plan for preventative strategies, reinforcement schedule, consequences, and crisis plan 3) Data Collection for Targeted Progress Monitoring 4) Non-Violent Crisis Intervention (CPI) - Documentation of restraints and seclusion 5) Tier II and Tier III PBS training 6) Social Skills, Coping, and Empathy Training 7) Classroom Management Series 8) Manifestation Best Practices in Disciplinary Procedures All training and planning of professional development is facilitated by the Coordinator of Behavior Intervention in conjunction with FDLRS/SEDNET and the SRC Office of Professional Learning, as well as Florida PBIS.

**Describe how the district addresses behavior in the development of the IEP for students with disabilities whose behavior impedes their learning or the learning of others.**

If a student's behavior impeded their learning or the learning of others, the IEP team will indicate the student's needs on the IEP and utilize positive behavior interventions in the needs section, create a Behavior Intervention Plan, and/or develop behavioral goals and objectives addressing targeted behaviors.

**2. Authority of school personnel**

Consistent with the school district's Code of Student Conduct and to the extent that removal would be applied to nondisabled students, school personnel:

- a. May remove a student with a disability, who violates a code of student conduct, from the student's current placement for not more than 10 consecutive school days
- b. May remove a student with a disability, for not more than 10 consecutive school days in that same school year, for separate incidents of misconduct, as long as those removals do not constitute a change in placement, as defined in Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C.

**Describe the district's procedures for monitoring out-of-school suspensions, to include the review of suspension and expulsion data.**

Program Facilitator of Behavior will run quarterly reports of all ESE students and Section 504 cumulative days of Out of School suspension. All deans and administrators will participate in training regarding out of school suspension and manifestation criteria for all Section 504 and ESE students. Before any student is recommended for disciplinary hearing for a removal of a school setting, schools must complete tiered levels of intervention with data collection constituting fidelity of each intervention.

**Describe the district's procedures for determining whether a pattern of removals constitutes a change of placement (See Definitions 1 a-b).**

At the start of the school year, the Coordinator for Behavior Intervention meets with the school-based administrators, grade level directors, and school deans on the best practices for ESE/504 discipline. Specific guidance is given as to identifying and addressing patterns of behavior through established guidelines in the Behavior MTSS process. If there is a documented pattern of behavior, which has lead to the removal of student, disciplinary teams at the school level are encouraged to contact district behavior team for guidance and support. At a minimum, an IEP meeting will be held to review current services and supports to determine if any adjustments to students current plan should be made to address the behaviors. The district determines whether the student's removals are due to a pattern of removals such as the student's behavior was substantially similar to the student's behavior in previous incidents that resulted in the series of removals, and because of additional factors, such as the length of each removal, the total amount of time the student has been removed, and the proximity of the removals to one another or that it is determined on a case-by-case basis. Due process in education requires that a student is given notice of what allegation is being made against him/her and that the student is given an opportunity to be heard regarding the allegations. The initial due process is usually at the school where the incident occurred. The Principal or his/her designee provides the student with information regarding the incident and the student is provided with the opportunity to respond. After considering the student's response, the Principal makes a decision on discipline. This level is informal. Subsequent levels of due process may involve the student discipline committee, the Superintendent or the School Board. At each level the procedure becomes more formal and the student is apprised of the procedural safeguards. The ultimate decision of expulsion is made by the School Board in accordance with the requirements of due process.

**DISCIPLINE ALTERNATIVES - STUDENT CONDUCT PROCEDURES:** This list of options is provided to suggest some of the possible actions which may be taken in response to student misconduct. An administrator may select options as is deemed necessary, except when specific actions are required by Board Policy, State Board Rule and/or Florida Statute.

- **Alternative Programs:** A student may be assigned to or offered the opportunity to participate in alternative programs available if, in the opinion of school officials, such assignment would benefit the student. A student with a disability should be referred to the IEP team to determine appropriate services and placement.
- **Behavioral Contract:** A behavioral contract between a student and appropriate school personnel may define expected behaviors, conditions, and consequences.
- **Positive Behavioral Intervention Plans:** A Positive Behavioral Intervention Plan (PBIP) is a written, specific, and purposeful plan which describes behavioral interventions and other strategies that will be implemented to reduce and/or prevent targeted misbehaviors and reinforce appropriate replacement behaviors. An individual PBIP will be developed for a student with a disability if the student's behavioral difficulties significantly interfere with the ability to benefit from his/her education and education of others. Prior to initiation of a PBIP, a Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) must be completed and assessment information used to develop the PBIP. The Positive Behavior Intervention Plan (PBIP) must be noted on the current IEP. If a student with a disability has an individual Positive Behavior Intervention Plan (PBIP), then the PBIP supersedes the Student Code of Conduct and the student will be disciplined in accordance with the procedures delineated in his/her Positive Behavior Intervention Plan. By the 10th day of out-of-school suspension, a student with an IEP/or Section 504 Plan must have a Manifestation Determination Hearing. Manifestation Hearing members will consist of Local Education Agency (LEA) representative, ESE teacher, General Education teacher, Administrator, parent, and student. Students with an IEP/Section 504 Plan may not be suspended greater than 10 days without educational services based on the IEP.
- **Conferences:** Conferences between/among any combination of student, teachers, parent/guardian, and administrator may be held to discuss student conduct and/or problems. Such conferences consider alternatives available and seek to determine a plan of action which will be most beneficial to the student.
- **Counseling/ Intervention Program:** If, in the opinion of school officials, a student would benefit from counseling or participating in an Intervention Program provided by a school psychologist, social worker, guidance counselor, school sponsored program, or an outside agency, a student may be required to obtain counseling or participate in a school district recognized program at parental expense. A student shall be required to show proof of completion/ participation as part of disciplinary procedures, partial criteria for "Early Termination of an Alternative Placement", or requirement for continued enrollment. Offenses that school officials may employ this alternative may include but are not limited to bullying, threats, intimidation, stalking, harassment, teen dating violence or abuse or acts motivated by hate or bias.
- **Referrals:** If, in the opinion of school officials, a student would benefit from services provided by a school or outside agency, such referrals shall be made.
- **Other:** Other alternatives may be used as deemed appropriate by the school administration.

**Alternative Placement/Expulsion With Continuing Educational Services**

**A. Definition:** Alternative Placement or Expulsion with Continuing Educational Services is the removal of the right of the student to attend the regular school program at a district public school for a period of time with educational services provided at an alternative site in lieu of expulsion without continuing educational services. The alternative placement should not exceed the remainder of the current school year and one (1) additional year of attendance.

**B. Conditions:**

1. The Principal or his/her designated representative may recommend to the Superintendent that a Disciplinary Hearing be conducted regarding the removal of a student from the regular school program when a student has committed a serious breach of conduct.
2. Alternative Placement of a student with an identified disability, who has an active IEP or Section 504 Plan, constitutes a change in educational placement. The following procedures shall be followed:
  - a. If a Principal determines that a student with a disability has engaged in a behavior that warrants alternative placement or

expulsion (a disciplinary hearing), he/she shall contact the Director of Exceptional Education and request an Individualized Education Plan (IEP)/Section 504 Accommodation Plan meeting be conducted. The meeting shall be held to make a manifestation determination and if determined by the IEP Team a Functional Behavior Assessment (FBA) and/or Positive Behavioral Intervention Plan (PBIP) may be developed. b. If the team determines that the student's misconduct is a manifestation of the student's disability, a review of the student's IEP/Section 504 Accommodation Plan shall be conducted and an appropriate alternative implemented. A guardian/student may request a recommendation to the Disciplinary Hearing Committee for a due process hearing regardless of the manifestation decision. c. If the misconduct is not a manifestation of the student's disability, then the student will be recommended to the Disciplinary Hearing Committee for a due process hearing. Exceptional students may be recommended for removal from the regular school with the continued provision of services in accordance with the student's IEP. A student identified disabled under Section 504 shall be afforded disciplinary action to the same extent that such disciplinary action is taken against non-disabled students. d. Schools can recommend placement in an alternative education setting for up to forty-five (45) school days for students with a disability, irrespective of the manifestation determination decision (i.e., even if the offense is determined to be related to the disability) if the child engages in the following behaviors: 1. carries or possesses a weapon to or at school, on school premises, or to or at a school function, 2. knowingly possesses or uses illegal drugs, or sells or solicits the sale of a controlled substance, while at school, on school premises, or at a school function, and/or 3. has inflicted serious bodily injury upon another person while at school, on school premises, or at a school function. According to 34 CFR 300.530(i)(3), the term "serious bodily injury" is defined as: - a substantial risk of death - extreme physical pain - protracted and obvious disfigurement - protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ or mental faculty; Within the 45 school day placement, an IEP review will be conducted to determine continuation of services in an alternative education setting or the return to placement in the former school setting. Placement will be recommended based upon the outcome of the earlier manifestation determination and, if appropriate, recommendation of the Disciplinary Hearing Committee. C. Procedures: 1. The Principal or his/her designated representative shall conduct an investigation into the charges and shall obtain a written and signed statement from any witness immediately after the incident. 2. The Principal or his/her designated representative shall hear and consider the student's defense and/or explanation of his/her conduct before making any decision and if removal is appropriate, shall explain to the student the reasons for recommending removal from the school. 3. A written notice of the recommendation for a Disciplinary Hearing, including a detailed report of alternative measures taken prior to the recommendation, shall be sent by mail to the parent/guardian as well as an attempt to contact the parent/guardian via telephone. A written copy of the notice and report shall be sent to the Superintendent and the appropriate Grade Level Director. The requested length of removal of the student from the regular school program shall be included in the written notice and shall not exceed the remainder of the current school year and one (1) additional year. 4. A Disciplinary Hearing Committee consisting of a minimum of four (4) of the following: the Director of Elementary Education, the Director of Middle School Education, the Director of High School Education (in the absence of the Director, a district grade level representative may be present for the previous three), the Director of Workforce Education, the Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum and Instruction, the Director of Alternative Education, a representative of Student Services, and a representative of the district's Exceptional Student Education staff will review each recommendation in a due process hearing, and the student has the right to: a. appear with counsel; b. confront and cross-examine; c. be heard by an impartial tribunal; d. receive a CD of the proceedings; e. appeal. 5. The Disciplinary Hearing Committee will hear all pertinent information relating to the recommendation for the student's removal from school. The committee may then make a recommendation to the Superintendent for the expulsion of the student or a recommendation for an alternative placement. Any member of the committee who has participated in the investigation shall abstain from voting on the recommendation, but may otherwise participate in the hearing. 6. If the Disciplinary Hearing Committee's recommendation is for alternative placement or expulsion with continuing educational services, the Superintendent will notify the parent/guardian by mail for continued education services in an alternative program. A form will be provided for the parent/guardian and student to accept the educational services offered, indicate other educational services the parent/guardian will provide through home education or non-public school or request the action proceed to a hearing before the School Board.

### 3. Manifestation determination

A manifestation determination, consistent with the following requirements, will be made within 10 school days of any decision to change the placement of a student with a disability because of a violation of a Code of Student Conduct.

- a. In conducting the review, the school district, the parent, and relevant members of the IEP team (as determined by the parent and the school district):
  - Will review all relevant information in the student's file, including any information supplied by the parents of the student, any teacher observations of the student, and the student's current IEP
  - Will determine whether the conduct in question was caused by, or had a direct and substantial relationship to, the student's disability or whether the conduct in question was the direct result of the school district's failure to implement the IEP



- b. If the school district, the parent, and relevant members of the IEP team determine that the conduct in question was caused by, or had a direct and substantial relationship to, the student's disability or that the conduct in question was the direct result of the school district's failure to implement the IEP, the conduct will be determined to be a manifestation of the student's disability and the school district will take immediate steps to remedy those deficiencies.
- c. If the school district, the parent, and relevant members of the IEP team determine that the conduct was a manifestation of the student's disability, the IEP team will either:
  - Conduct a functional behavioral assessment (FBA), unless the school district had conducted an FBA before the behavior that resulted in the change of placement occurred, and implement a behavioral intervention plan (BIP) for the student; or
  - If a BIP has already been developed, review and modify it, as necessary, to address the behavior; and
  - Except as provided in 6. of this section, return the student to the placement from which the student was removed, unless the parent and the school district agree to a change in placement as part of the modification of the BIP.
- d. For disciplinary changes of placement, if the behavior that gave rise to the violation of a Code of Student Conduct is determined not to be a manifestation of the student's disability, the relevant disciplinary procedures applicable to nondisabled students may be applied to the student in the same manner and for the same duration in which they would be applied to nondisabled students, except that services necessary to provide FAPE will be provided to the student with a disability, as described in 5. of this section.
- e. If a parent disagrees with the manifestation determination decision made by the IEP team pursuant to this rule, the parent may appeal the decision by requesting an expedited due process hearing as described in 7. of this section.

**Describe the district's procedures for scheduling manifestation determination reviews within required timelines and determining participants for these reviews.**

When a manifestation is required due to a 10 cumulative day suspensions, school administration will contact Program Facilitator of Behavior within the 10 day timeline to schedule a manifestation meeting. The members of the team will consist of school administration, LEA representative, ESE teacher, general education, parent and student.

**Describe the district's procedures for initiating and conducting FBAs and BIPs in a timely manner.**

For an FBA request or requirement due to manifestation determination: 1.A signed consent for evaluation will be completed by team 2.Signed copy of evaluation will be sent to Program Facilitator for Behavior and an evaluator will be assigned into the IEP system within 48 hours after signatures. 3.Assigned Evaluator will assign task in the IEP system and begin evaluation 4.Once evaluation has been completed within 60 days, FBA will be sent electronically to Program Facilitator for Behavior 5.Once Electronically sent, LEA will place completion date in IEP program and a meeting will be scheduled with parent, ESE teacher, evaluator, student, general education teacher, and LEA to review evaluation information and need for a Behavior Intervention Plan.

**Describe the district's procedures for providing training regarding conducting FBAs and developing and implementing BIPs.**

All personnel involved in a Behavior Intervention Plan will be trained and given a copy by the evaluator. All Functional Behavioral Assessments are completed by District Personnel in collaboration with school personnel (School psychologist, Board Certified Behavior Analyst, Behavior Specialist, teachers, parents, and/or Communication Facilitator). Training is provided by district staff for the development, implementation, and monitoring of functional behavioral assessments and positive behavior intervention plans.

**Describe the district's procedures for providing FAPE for students when the behavior is determined not to be a manifestation of the student's disability**

If the misconduct is not a manifestation of the student's disability, and the behaviors do not result in a recommendation for an alternative placement, as defined in the SRC Student Code of Conduct, services will continue and be adjusted, as needed, based upon the decisions of the IEP Meeting. If the misconduct is not a manifestation of the student's disability, and the behaviors do result in a recommendation for an alternate educational placement as defined in the SRC Student Code of Conduct, then the student will be recommended to the Disciplinary Hearing Committee for a due process hearing. Students with Disabilities may be recommended for removal from the regular school with the continued provision of services in accordance with the student's IEP and/or Section 504 Plan. If the student's behavior is not a manifestation of his/her disability, then he/she will be disciplined, as other non-disabled students, in accordance to the guidelines established in the SRC Student Code of Conduct.

**Describe the district's procedures for requesting an expedited due process hearing when parents disagree with a manifestation determination.**

Section 300.510 of Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations (34 CFR 300.510) requires that within 15 days of receiving notice of the parent's due process complaint (<http://www.fldoe.org/esd/pdf/requestforedueprocess.pdf>) and prior to the initiation of a due process hearing, the school district must convene a resolution meeting. Within 7 days of receiving notice of a request for an expedited due process hearing with the parent to discuss the basis of the complaint and to provide the district with the opportunity to resolve the dispute. The meeting must include the relevant member or members of the IEP team who have specific knowledge of the facts identified in the due process complaint and a representative of the district who has decision-making authority on behalf of that agency. It may not include an attorney of the district unless the parent is accompanied by an attorney. The initial steps include notification to the ESE Director. If it is determined that the need for council is pertinent to the expedited due process hearing, then the district's lawyer is contacted to provide consultation in moving forward with the meeting.

4. On the date a decision is made to make a removal that constitutes a change of placement of a student with a disability because of a violation of a code of student conduct, the school district will notify the parent of the removal decision and provide the parent with a copy of the notice of procedural safeguards.
5. Providing FAPE for students with disabilities who are suspended or expelled or placed in an IAES
  - a. A school district is not required to provide services to a student with a disability during removals totaling 10 school days or fewer in that school year if services are not provided to nondisabled students who are similarly removed.
  - b. Students with disabilities who are suspended or expelled from school or placed in an IAES will continue to receive educational services in accordance with s. 1003.01, F.S., including homework assignments, to enable the student to continue to participate in the general curriculum, although in another setting, and to progress toward meeting the goals in the student's IEP and receive, as appropriate, a functional behavioral assessment, behavioral intervention services, and modifications designed to address the behavior violation so that it does not reoccur.
  - c. After a student with a disability has been removed from the current placement for 10 school days in the school year, if the current removal is not more than 10 consecutive school days and is not a change of placement under this rule, school personnel, in consultation with at least one of the student's special education teachers, will determine the extent to which services are needed to enable the student to continue to participate in the general curriculum, although in another setting, and to progress toward meeting the goals in the student's IEP.
  - d. If the removal is a change of placement under Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C., the student's IEP team determines appropriate services under 5.b. of this section.

**Describe the district's procedures for providing FAPE for students with disabilities who are suspended or expelled or placed in an IAES.**

Schools can recommend placement in an alternative education setting for (IAES) up to forty-five (45) school days for students with a disability irrespective of the manifestation determination decision (i.e., even if the offense is determined to be related to the disability) if the child engages in the following behaviors: a. carries or possesses a weapon to or at school, on school premises, or to or at a school function; b. knowingly possesses or uses illegal drugs, or sells or solicits the sale of a controlled substance, while at school, on school premises, or at a school function. c. has inflicted serious bodily injury upon another person while at school, on school premises, or at a school function. According to 34 CFR 300.530(i)(3), the term "serious bodily injury" is defined as: • a substantial risk of death • extreme physical pain • protracted and obvious disfigurement • protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ or mental faculty Within the forty-five (45) school day placement (IAES), an IEP review will be conducted to determine continuation of services in an alternative education setting or the return to placement in the former school setting. Placement will be recommended based upon the outcome of the earlier manifestation determination and, if appropriate, recommendation of the Disciplinary Hearing Committee. Students with disabilities who are placed in IAES will continue to receive educational services including homework assignments, to enable each student to continue to participate in the general curriculum and to progress toward meeting the goals on the IEP and receive, as appropriate a FBA, behavioral intervention services and modifications designed to address the behavior violation so that it does not reoccur.

**Describe the district's procedures for providing training and supports for staff members who provide services to students with disabilities who are suspended or expelled or placed in an IAES.**

All students who are placed in an IAES model are staffed into a behavioral focused classroom within an alternative setting. Teachers will have training in Positive behavior supports, De-escalation techniques, and crisis prevention.

6. Special circumstances and interim alternative educational settings

- a. School personnel may remove a student to an IAES for not more than 45 school days without regard to whether the behavior is determined to be a manifestation of the student's disability, if the student:
  - Carries a weapon to or possesses a weapon at school, on school premises, or to a school function under the jurisdiction of an SEA or a school district;
  - Knowingly possesses or uses illegal drugs or sells or solicits the sale of a controlled substance while at school, on school premises, or at a school function under the jurisdiction of an SEA or a school district; or
  - Has inflicted serious bodily injury upon another person while at school, on school premises, or at a school function under the jurisdiction of an SEA or a school district.
- b. On the date that a decision is made to make a removal that constitutes a change of placement because of a violation of a code of student conduct, the school district will notify the parent of that decision and provide the parent with a copy of the notice of procedural safeguards.

**Describe the district's procedures for notifying parents on the date that a decision is made to make a removal that constitutes a change of placement and providing parents with a copy of the notice of procedural safeguards on this date.**

When a Principal determines that a student with a disability has engaged in a behavior that warrants a recommendation for an alternative placement or expulsion (a disciplinary hearing), he/she shall contact the ESE Coordinator for Behavior Interventions and request that an IEP/504 team be convened and a Manifestation Determination meeting be conducted. This meeting will be held prior to the 11th cumulative day of suspension within one school year. Parent will receive Parent Invitation/Notice of Meeting and Procedural Safeguards prior to the meeting.

**Describe the district's procedures for tracking students' removals to an IAES to ensure that the 45 school-day limit is maintained.**

All ESE students and Section 504 students that have been recommended for change of placement in regards to the 45 days IAES will be monitored by the Program Facilitator for Behavior and an IEP meeting will be reconvened after the 45 school day placement.

## 7. Appeal and expedited hearings

a. An expedited hearing may be requested by:

- The student's parent, if the parent disagrees with a manifestation determination or with any decision not made by an administrative law judge (ALJ) regarding a change of placement under Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C.
- The school district, if it believes that maintaining the current placement of the student is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or to others

b. The school district may repeat the procedures for expedited hearings if it believes that returning the student to the original placement is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or to others.

c. Expedited due process hearings requested under this subsection will be conducted by an ALJ for the Division of Administrative Hearings, Department of Management Services, on behalf of the Department of Education, and will be held at the request of either the parent or the school district regarding disciplinary actions. These hearings will meet the requirements prescribed in Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., except that the hearing will occur within 20 school days of the date the request for due process is filed and an ALJ will make a determination within 10 school days after the hearing. In addition, unless the parents and the school district agree in writing to waive the resolution meeting described herein or agree to use the mediation process set forth in these rules:

- A resolution meeting will occur within seven days of receiving notice of the request for expedited due process hearing
- The expedited due process hearing may proceed unless the matter has been resolved to the satisfaction of both parties within 15 days of the receipt of the request for an expedited due process hearing

d. The decision of the ALJ rendered in an expedited hearing may be appealed by bringing a civil action in a federal district or state circuit court, as provided in s. 1003.57(1)(b), F.S.

### **Describe the district's procedures for setting up resolution meetings within seven days of receiving notice of a request for an expedited due process hearing.**

In compliance with Section 300.510 of Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Santa Rosa County requires that within 7 days of receiving notice of the parent's due process complaint (<http://www.fl DOE.org/ese/pdf/requestforesedueprocess.pdf>) and prior to the initiation of a due process hearing, the school district must convene a resolution meeting within 7 days of receiving notice of a request for an expedited due process hearing. Such a resolution meeting will be convened within the 7 day timeline to discuss the basis of the complaint and to provide the district with the opportunity to resolve the dispute. The meeting will include the parent, relevant member or members of the IEP team who have specific knowledge of the facts identified in the due process complaint and a representative of the district who has decision-making authority on behalf of that agency. It may not include an attorney of the district unless the parent is accompanied by an attorney.

## 8. Authority of an Administrative Law Judge

An ALJ hears and makes a determination regarding an appeal and request for expedited due process hearing under this subsection and, in making the determination:

- a. An ALJ may return the student with a disability to the placement from which the student was removed if the ALJ determines that the removal was a violation of Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C., or that the student's behavior was a manifestation of the student's disability; or
- b. Order a change of placement of the student with a disability to an appropriate IAES for not more than 45 school days if the ALJ determines that maintaining the current placement of the student is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or to others.

The procedures under this subsection may be repeated if a school district believes that returning the student to the original placement is substantially likely to result in injury to the student or to others.

## 9. Student's placement during appeals or expedited due process proceedings

When an appeal as described in 7. above has been made by either the parent or the school district, the student will remain in the IAES determined by the IEP team pending the decision of the ALJ or until the expiration of the time period specified by school personnel, including expulsion for a student where no manifestation was found, unless the parent and the Department of Education or school district agree otherwise.

#### 10. Protections for students not determined eligible for special education and related services

A regular education student who has engaged in behavior that violated a code of student conduct may assert any of the protections afforded to a student with a disability under Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C. if the school district had knowledge of the student's disability before the behavior that precipitated the disciplinary action occurred.

##### a. Basis of knowledge

A school district is deemed to have knowledge that a student is a student with a disability if:

- The parent has expressed concern in writing to supervisory or administrative personnel of the appropriate school district, or a teacher of the student, that the student needs special education and related services; or
- The parent has requested an evaluation to determine whether the student is in need of special education and related services; or
- The teacher of the student, or other school district personnel, expressed specific concerns about a pattern of behavior demonstrated by the student directly to the school district's special education director or to other supervisory school district personnel.

##### b. Exception

A school district would not be deemed to have knowledge of a disability (see above) if:

- The parent of the student has not allowed an evaluation to determine if the student is an eligible student with a disability;
- The parent of the student has refused to provide consent for initial provision of special education and related service;
- The parent of the student revoked consent for the student to receive special education and related services; or
- The school district conducted an evaluation in accordance with Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., and determined that the student was not a student with a disability.

##### c. Conditions that apply if no basis of knowledge

- If the school district has no knowledge that the student is a student with a disability prior to disciplinary action, the student may be disciplined in the same manner as a nondisabled student who engages in comparable behaviors.
- If an evaluation request is made for the student during the time period of the disciplinary action, the evaluation will be conducted in an expedited manner. Until the evaluation is completed, the student remains in the educational placement determined by school authorities, which can include suspension or expulsion without educational services. If the student is determined to be a student with a disability, taking into consideration information from the evaluation and information provided by the parents, the school district will provide special education and related services consistent with the requirements of Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C.

#### 11. Nothing in Rule 6A-6.03312, F.A.C., prohibits a school district from reporting a crime committed by a student with a disability to appropriate authorities or prevents state law enforcement and judicial authorities from exercising their responsibilities with regard to the application of federal and state law to crimes committed by a student with a disability.

#### 12. Student records in disciplinary procedures

Regarding the person making the final determination for the disciplinary action, school districts will ensure that the special education and disciplinary records of students with disabilities are transmitted, consistent with the provisions of 34 CFR §300.535(b), s. 1002.22, F.S., and Rule 6A-1.0955, F.A.C.:

**Describe the district's procedures for ensuring that special education and disciplinary records of students with disabilities are transmitted to the person making the final determination regarding the disciplinary action.**

Any student that has disciplinary actions, IEP, Behavior Intervention Plan, and or Section 504 plan will be briefed by qualified personnel on student needs (ESE Caseload Manager, Behavior Intervention Specialist, Administrator, ESE department Head) in order to make appropriate determination. Each LEA that has made a recommendation for a disciplinary action will designate an administrative staff member (usually the principal/assistant principal and/or the administrative dean) to transport the disciplinary records of the student. The records are then given the appropriate grade level director so that the information is secured and placed in the care of the receiving school/program/service who provides on-going academic/behavioral responsibility to carry out FAPE through alternative education or through manifestation, returns to school with enhanced supports/services as stated in a revised IEP.

**13. Disciplinary records of students with disabilities**

School districts will include in the records of students with disabilities a statement of any current or previous disciplinary action that has been taken against the student and transmit the statement to the same extent that the disciplinary information is included in, and transmitted with, the student records of nondisabled students.

- a. The statement may be a description of any behavior engaged in by the student that required disciplinary action, a description of the disciplinary action taken, and any other information that is relevant to the safety of the student and other individuals involved with the student.
- b. If the student transfers from one school to another, the transmission of any of the student's records will include both the student's current IEP and any statement of current or previous disciplinary action that has been taken against the student.

## Section E: Participation in State and District Assessments

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.8

Chapters 458 and 459, F.S.

Sections 1003.01, 1003.428, 1003.4282, 1003.43, 1003.433, 1007.02, 1008.212, 1008.22, 1008.25 and 1011.62, F.S.

Rules 6A-1.09401, 6A-1.0943, 6A-1.09430, 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, 6A-6.03018, 6A-6.03020,

6A-6.03028, 6A-6.0331, 6A-6.03311 and 6A-6.03411, F.A.C.

### Statewide, Standardized Assessment Program

#### 1. Purpose

The student assessment program provides information about student mastery of grade-level state standards and informs parents of their child's educational progress.

#### 2. Student participation

- a. Each student with a disability has the opportunity to participate in the statewide, standardized assessment program and any districtwide assessment of student achievement with allowable accommodations, if determined appropriate by the individual educational plan (IEP) team and recorded on the student's IEP.
- b. Accommodations identified for testing situations are those identified in the test administration manual and regularly used by the student in the classroom.
- c. A parent must provide signed consent for a student to receive instructional accommodations not permitted on statewide, standardized assessments and acknowledge, in writing, the implications of such accommodations.
- d. Students who are identified solely as gifted are not eligible for statewide, standardized assessment accommodations.

#### 3. Allowable accommodations

Allowable and appropriate accommodations for statewide, standardized assessments are included in the test administration manual. Copies of current statewide, standardized assessment test administration manuals published by the FDOE's Bureau of Assessment and School Performance and Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services are available by contacting the FDOE at <http://www.fldoe.org/asp>.

#### 4. Waiver of assessment requirements

A student with a disability, as defined in s. 1007.02, F.S., for whom the IEP team determines that the statewide, standardized assessments cannot accurately measure the student's abilities, taking into consideration all allowable accommodations, shall have assessment results waived for the purpose of receiving a course grade and a standard high school diploma. Such a waiver shall be designated on the student's transcript.

### Extraordinary Exemption for Students with Disabilities

In accordance with s. 1008.212, F.S., a student with a disability may be eligible for an exemption from participation in the statewide assessment.

#### 1. Definitions:

- a. "Statewide, standardized assessments" – Pursuant to s. 1008.22(3), F.S., the Commissioner of Education shall design and implement a statewide, standardized assessment program aligned to the core curricular content established in the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards. The Commissioner also must develop or select and implement a common battery of assessment tools that will be used in all juvenile justice education programs in the state. These tools must accurately measure the core curricular content established in the Next Generation Sunshine State Standards. Participation in the assessment program is mandatory for all school districts and all students attending public schools, including adult students seeking a standard high school diploma under s. 1003.4282, F.S., and students in Department of Juvenile Justice education programs, except as otherwise provided by law. If a student does not participate in the assessment program, the school

district must notify the student's parent and provide the parent with information regarding the implications of such nonparticipation.

- b. "Circumstance" means a situation in which accommodations allowable for use on the statewide, standardized assessment, a statewide, standardized end-of-course assessment, or an alternate assessment pursuant to s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S., are not offered to a student during the current year's assessment administration due to technological limitations in the testing administration program, which lead to results that reflect the student's impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills, rather than the student's achievement of the benchmarks assessed by the statewide, standardized assessment, a statewide, standardized end-of-course assessment, or an alternate assessment.
  - c. "Condition" means an impairment, whether recently acquired or longstanding, which affects a student's ability to communicate in modes deemed acceptable for statewide assessments, even if appropriate accommodations are provided, and creates a situation in which the results of administration of the statewide, standardized assessment, an end-of-course assessment, or an alternate assessment would reflect the student's impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills rather than the student's achievement of the benchmarks assessed by the statewide, standardized assessment, a statewide, standardized end-of-course assessment, or an alternate assessment.
  - d. "Medical complexity" – Pursuant to s. 1008.22(11), F.S., a child with a medical complexity means a child who, based upon medical documentation from a physician licensed under Chapter 458 or 459, F.S., is medically fragile and needs intensive care due to a condition such as congenital or acquired multisystem disease; has a severe neurological or cognitive disorder with marked functional impairment; or is technology dependent for activities of daily living; and lacks the capacity to take or perform on an assessment.
  - e. "Parent" – Pursuant to Rule 6A-6.03411(1)(bb), F.A.C., parent means:
    - i. A biological or adoptive parent of a student;
    - ii. A foster parent;
    - iii. A guardian generally authorized to act as the student's parent, or authorized to make educational decisions for the student (but not the state if the student is a ward of the State);
    - iv. An individual acting in the place of a biological or adoptive parent (including a grandparent, stepparent, or other relative) with whom the student lives, or an individual who is legally responsible for the student's welfare; or
    - v. A surrogate parent who has been appointed in accordance with Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.
2. A student with a disability for whom the IEP team determines is prevented by a circumstance or condition from physically demonstrating the mastery of skills that have been acquired and are measured by the statewide, standardized assessment, a statewide, standardized end-of-course assessment, or an alternate assessment pursuant to s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S., shall be granted an extraordinary exemption from the administration of the assessment. A learning, emotional, behavioral, or significant cognitive disability, or the receipt of services through the homebound or hospitalized program in accordance with Rule 6A-6.03020, F.A.C., is not, in and of itself, an adequate criterion for the granting of an extraordinary exemption.
3. The IEP team, which must include the parent, may submit to the district school superintendent a written request for an extraordinary exemption at any time during the school year, but not later than 60 days before the current year's assessment administration for which the request is made. A request must include all of the following:
- a. A written description of the student's disabilities, including a specific description of the student's impaired sensory, manual, or speaking skills.
  - b. Written documentation of the most recent evaluation data.
  - c. Written documentation, if available, of the most recent administration of the statewide, standardized assessment, an end-of-course assessment, or an alternate assessment.
  - d. A written description of the condition's effect on the student's participation in the statewide, standardized assessment, an end-of-course assessment, or an alternate assessment.



- e. Written evidence that the student has had the opportunity to learn the skills being tested.
  - f. Written evidence that the student has been provided appropriate instructional accommodations.
  - g. Written evidence as to whether the student has had the opportunity to be assessed using the instructional accommodations on the student's IEP that are allowable in the administration of the statewide, standardized assessment, an end-of-course assessment, or an alternate assessment in prior assessments.
  - h. Written evidence of the circumstance or condition as defined in Rule 6A-1.0943(1), F.A.C.
  - i. The name, address, and phone number of the student's parent.
4. Based upon the documentation provided by the IEP team, the school district superintendent shall recommend to the commissioner whether an extraordinary exemption for a given assessment administration window should be granted or denied. A copy of the school district's procedural safeguards as required in Rule 6A-6.03311, F.A.C., shall be provided to the parent. If the parent disagrees with the IEP team's recommendation, the dispute resolution methods described in the procedural safeguards shall be made available to the parent. Upon receipt of the request, documentation, and recommendation, the commissioner shall verify the information documented, make a determination, and notify the parent and the district school superintendent in writing within 30 days after the receipt of the request whether the exemption has been granted or denied. If the commissioner grants the exemption, the student's progress must be assessed in accordance with the goals established in the student's IEP. If the commissioner denies the exemption, the notification must state the reasons for the denial.
5. The parent of a student with a disability who disagrees with the commissioner's denial of an extraordinary exemption may request an expedited hearing. If the parent requests the expedited hearing, the FDOE shall inform the parent of any no-cost or low-cost legal services and other relevant services available in the area. The FDOE shall arrange a hearing with the Division of Administrative Hearings, which must be commenced within 20 school days after the parent's request for the expedited hearing. The administrative law judges at the division shall make a determination within 10 school days after the expedited hearing. The standard of review for the expedited hearing is de novo, and the Department has the burden of proof.

## Exemption for a Child With Medical Complexity

In accordance with s. 1008.22, F.S., a child with a medical complexity may be exempt from participating in statewide, standardized assessments, including the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA)—Performance Task and the FSAA—Datafolio, pursuant to the following provisions.

1. Child with a medical complexity
  - a. *Definition of child with medical complexity.* A child with a medical complexity means a child who, based upon medical documentation from a physician licensed under Chapter 458 or 459, F.S., is medically fragile and needs intensive care due to a condition such as congenital or acquired multisystem disease; has a severe neurological or cognitive disorder with marked functional impairment; or is technology dependent for activities of daily living; and lacks the capacity to take or perform on an assessment.
  - b. *Exemption options.* In accordance with Rule 6A-1.0943, F.A.C., if the parent consents in writing, and the IEP team determines that the child should not be assessed based upon medical documentation that the child meets the definition of a child with medical complexity, then the parent may choose one of the following three assessment exemption options.
    - i. One-year exemption approved by the district school superintendent. If the superintendent is provided written documentation of parental consent and appropriate medical documentation to support the IEP team's determination that the child is a child with medical complexity, then the superintendent may approve a one-year exemption from all statewide, standardized assessments, including those in the FSAA program. For all students approved by the district superintendent for a one-year exemption, the following information must be reported to the commissioner beginning June 1, 2015, and each June 1 thereafter:
      - a. The total number of students for whom a one-year exemption has been granted by the superintendent; and
      - b. For each student receiving an exemption, the student's name, grade level, and the specific statewide, standardized assessments from which the student was exempted.

- ii. One-, two-, or three-year or permanent exemption approved by the commissioner as described in s. 1008.22(11), F.S. In order for the commissioner to consider such an exemption, the following information must be submitted by the district superintendent to the commissioner no later than 30 calendar days before the first day of the administration window of the statewide, standardized assessment for which the request is made:
  - a. The student's name, grade level, and the statewide, standardized assessment for which the exemption request is made;
  - b. The name, address, and phone number of the student's parent;
  - c. Documentation of parental consent for the exemption;
  - d. Documentation of the superintendent's approval of the exemption;
  - e. Documentation that the IEP team considered and determined that the student meets the definition of a child with medical complexity as defined in s.1008.22(11), F.S.; and
  - f. Medical documentation of the student's condition as determined by a physician licensed in accordance with Chapter 458 or 459, F.S.
- iii. Upon receipt of the request, documentation, and recommendation, the commissioner shall verify the information documented, make a determination, and notify the parent and the district school superintendent in writing within 20 calendar days after the receipt of the request whether the exemption has been granted or denied.

### **Alternate Assessment Based on Alternate Academic Achievement Standards (AA-AAAS)**

1. Students with the most significant cognitive disabilities, for whom the statewide, standardized assessment— even with allowable accommodations—is not appropriate, may be eligible to participate in the statewide assessment program through the AA-AAAS.

2. Eligibility requirements

The decision that a student with a most significant cognitive disability will participate in the statewide alternate assessment program as defined in s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S., is made by the IEP team and recorded on the IEP. The provisions with regard to parental consent for participation in the FSAA program, in accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., must be followed. The following criteria must be met:

- a. Even with appropriate and allowable instructional accommodations, assistive technology, or accessible instructional materials, the student requires modifications as defined in Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C.; and
- b. The student requires direct instruction in academic areas of English language arts, math, social studies, and science based on access points pursuant to Rule 6A-1.09401, F.A.C., in order to acquire, generalize, and transfer skills across settings.

3. Eligibility areas excluded

Per Rule 6A-6.03018, F.A.C., and 34 CFR §300.8(c)(10)(ii), students with a primary exceptionality of a specific learning disability (SLD) cannot include students with learning problems that are primarily the result of an intellectual disability. Per section 1008.22, Florida Statutes, and Rule 6A-1.0943 F.A.C., only students with significant cognitive impairment are eligible to participate in the FSAA program. Therefore, students with a primary disability category of SLD must not participate in the FSAA program and subsequently should not be enrolled in access courses that align to the FSAA program.

4. District and IEP team requirements

If it is determined by the IEP team using the "Checklist for Course and Assessment Participation" that the student will participate in the statewide assessment through the AA-AAAS, the IEP will contain a statement of why the general assessment is not appropriate and why the AA-AAAS is appropriate. It also will indicate that notification was made to the parent and that the implications of the student's nonparticipation in the statewide, standardized assessment program were provided. The "Checklist for Course and Assessment Participation" may be accessed at <https://info.fldoe.org/docushare/dsweb/Get/Document-7301/dps-2014-208.pdf>.

5. Administration of the AA-AAAS

The assessment will be administered individually by the student's exceptional student education teacher. If this is not possible, the test administrator will be a certified teacher or other licensed professional who has worked extensively with the student. All individuals who administer the AA-AAAS must be trained in administration procedures and receive annual update training.

**Additional Information Required:**

An alternate assessment is required for any districtwide assessment of student achievement that is not administered to students on alternate achievement standards.

**The district administers district-wide assessment(s) of student achievement.**

☒ Yes

☐ No

**If yes, include the name of each districtwide assessment and whether the assessment is administered to students on alternate achievement standards. If the districtwide assessment is not administered to students on alternate achievement standards, identify the corresponding alternate assessment. (If your district uses a portfolio as a corresponding district alternate assessment, the data collected should be based on grade-level alternate achievement standards. For portfolios, indicate what information is being collected, how the information is being recorded, what type of scoring rubric is being used, and how the district ensures that all teachers are collecting the same information and scoring the data the same way.)**

Elementary District Assessment Measures: MTSS (Multi-tiered System of Supports) – Tier II and Tier III Supports Specific levels of performance in reading, writing, science and mathematics will be expected for each student at all grade levels. Various measures will be used to determine proficiency including the levels of performance on statewide assessments, as defined by the Commissioner of Education. If below level performance is documented, the student must receive remediation within an intensive program that is different from the previous year's program. Remediation will be provided for students based on assessment results from the previous year. Kindergarten Reading STAR Early Literacy – Equal to or less than 437 Grade 1 (and Retained Kindergarteners) Mathematics Equal to or less than mid-level 2 on Discovery Education Mathematics Assessment D for grade K and based upon teacher observation and classroom data. Reading Equal to or less than mid-level 2 on Discovery Education Reading Assessment D for grade K and based upon teacher observation and classroom data. Grade 2 - Mathematics Equal to or less than mid-level 2 on Discovery Education Mathematics Assessment D for grade 1 and based upon teacher observation and classroom data. Reading Equal to or less than mid-level 2 on Discovery Education Reading Assessment D for grade 1 and based upon teacher observation and classroom data. Science F in Elementary Science for Grade 1. Grade 3 - Mathematics Equal to or less than mid-level 2 on Discovery Education Mathematics Assessment D for grade 2 and based upon teacher observation and classroom data. Reading Equal to or less than mid-level 2 on Discovery Education Reading Assessment D for grade 2 and based upon teacher observation and classroom data. Science F in Elementary Science for Grade 2. Grade 4- Mathematics Less than Level 3 on FSA Math for Grade 3. English/ Language Arts Less than Level 3 on FSA ELA for Grade 3. Science F in Elementary Science for Grade 3. Grade 5 - Mathematics Less than Level 3 on FSA Math for Grade 4. English/ Language Arts Less than Level 3 on FSA ELA for Grade 4. Science F in Elementary Science for Grade 4. Additional diagnostic information and classroom performance may also be used as criteria to determine a need for remediation. Secondary District Assessment Measures: MTSS (Multi-tiered System of Supports) – Tier II and Tier III Supports: Specific levels of performance in English Language Arts, (ELA), science, mathematics, and social Studies will be expected for student at all grade levels. Various measures will be used to determine proficiency including the levels of performance on statewide assessments, as defined by the Commissioner of Education. Additional diagnostic information and classroom performance may also be used as criteria to determine a need for remediation and/or interventions. If below level performance is documented in ELA, science and/or math, the student may be required to receive remediation/interventions within an intensive program. Remediation will be provided for students based on assessment results from the previous year. Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) Needed In accordance with statute 1008.25, the Santa Rosa County School District utilizes a school-wide progress monitoring process. Academic, attendance, and behavioral data on each student is evaluated to determine the effectiveness of the core instructional program as well as additional intervention strategies. Students not demonstrating proficiency are assigned a teacher specifically trained and certified to address that need. This is the first level of intervention. The school administration meets regularly through the year with each teacher to review the progress of these students and adjust instruction as warranted by data reviews. A Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) will be established for those students not demonstrating success with the first level of intervention. The PMP documents additional interventions. Additional diagnostic assessments may be used to determine the nature of the student's difficulty and areas of academic need. The Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) may also be based on the student's academic performance in class or other diagnostic assessment during the year and implemented when the need is recognized during the year. If the student has been identified as having a deficiency in reading, and a PMP is warranted, the PMP will identify the student's specific areas of deficiency in phonemic awareness, phonics, fluency, comprehension and vocabulary, and will also identify the desired levels of performance in these areas. The PMP will identify the instructional and support services to be provided. The PMP will be developed in consultation with the parent. Schools shall also provide for the frequent monitoring of the student's performance in meeting the desired levels of performance. District school boards shall assist schools and teachers in implementing research-based reading activities that have been shown to be successful in teaching reading to low performing students. Upon subsequent evaluation, if the documented deficiency has not been remediated in accordance with the Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP), the student may be retained. Each student who does not meet the minimum performance expectations defined by the Commissioner of Education for the Statewide Assessment Test in reading, writing, science, and mathematics, must continue to be provided with remedial or supplemental instruction until the expectations are met or the student graduates from high school or is not subject to compulsory school attendance. If the student's federally required plan does not address the student's deficiency in reading or mathematics as required by Florida law, then the school must address these deficits in either a school-wide progress monitoring system or an individual Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP). The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) supports implementation of a Multi-Tiered System of Supports because it has proven to be effective in accelerating learning for all students, including students with disabilities (SWDs). When the MTSS framework is

implemented with fidelity, students' educational needs are more intentionally addressed by designing, developing, and delivering needed, appropriate supports. Students who meet the criteria to receive specialized instruction through Exceptional Student Education (ESE) services will be included in the Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP) process, if they do not demonstrate proficiency specifically in English Language Arts (ELA) and mathematics in all grade levels, as determined by state levels of proficiency on state assessments and local levels of proficiency on local assessments. If the IEP Team determines that answers to all four of the questions accurately characterize a student's functioning level as "significantly cognitively disabled," then the student will pursue a Standard Diploma via a curriculum consisting of courses based on Access Points and the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA) should be used to provide a meaningful evaluation of the student's current academic achievement. If "yes" is not indicated in all three areas, then the student should participate in FSA with accommodations, as appropriate. If the decision of the IEP Team is to assess the student through alternate assessment, the parents of the student must be informed that their child's achievement will be based on Access Points and this decision must be documented on the IEP. Students assessed on Access Points will be assigned exceptional student education course code directory numbered courses consistent with Access Points Standard Diploma requirements. The Santa Rosa County School District has elected to use Renaissance Learning, Unify, and IReady progress monitoring tools to assess students K-12. Additional tools are as follows: DISTRICT-WIDE ASSESSMENT CORRESPONDING ALTERNATE ASSESSMENT Florida Kindergarten Readiness Screeners FLKRS Battelle PreK Exit Scores or FLKRS Gr K-5 Istation (Reading) Brigance Unique (Benchmarks & curriculum based assessments) 3-10th grade district assessment; (Benchmarks & curriculum) in ELA, Math, Science, and Social Studies Courses EOCs ACCESS Course EOCs --Universal screenings and progress monitoring tools are encouraged for all students, regardless if performing on general education standards (FSA) and/or alternate standards through the Access Points Curriculum for FSAA.

## Parental Consent Documentation

In accordance with Rule 6A-6.0331(10), F.A.C., the district may not proceed with a student's instruction in access points and the administration of an alternate assessment without written and informed parental consent unless the district documents reasonable efforts to obtain parental consent and the student's parent has failed to respond or the district obtains approval through a due process hearing.

**The district certifies that it either obtains prior parental consent or due process approval for every student participating in the FSAA program. If prior parental consent is not obtained, the district certifies that it has documentation of reasonable efforts to obtain that approval and consent, or a final order from the Division of Administrative Hearings.**

☒ Yes

☐ No

## Percentage of Students on Alternate Assessment

The Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (which can be found at <https://www.ed.gov/essa>), limits the percentage of students that a state may assess with an AA-AAAS to no more than 1 percent of all assessed students in the grades assessed in a state for each subject.

While there is a limit on the percentage of students statewide who may participate in the AA-AAAS, there is no such limit among school districts; however, 34 CFR §200.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv) require that a school district submit information justifying the need to assess more than 1 percent of its students in any subject with an AA-AAAS. The State must make that information publicly available, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student.

It is understood that districts have unique circumstances that may contribute to a higher number of students who are in access courses and participating in the FSAA program. The purpose of this justification is to ensure that districts are cognizant of their current processes and procedures to ensure that an IEP team decision to place a student in access courses is in alignment with state requirements and is the most appropriate academic decision for the student.

**What is your district's 2018–19 participation percentage in FSAA in the following areas?**

### Reading

1.4

**Mathematics**

1.5

**Science**

1.1

**Is the district over 1% in any area?**

- ☒ Yes  
☐ No

The criteria for the following statement is outlined in s. 1008.22(3)(c), F.S., and the Assessment Planning Resource Guide for IEP Teams, which can be found at [https://fsaa-training.onlinehelp.cognia.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/8/docs/FlaAlt\\_ResourceGuideIEP.pdf](https://fsaa-training.onlinehelp.cognia.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/8/docs/FlaAlt_ResourceGuideIEP.pdf), for use in determining student eligibility for participation in the FSAA program.

**If the district is over the 1% in any area, please provide a description of how the district is ensuring that IEP teams are adhering to the criteria (see above.)**

To ensure compliance the district is providing guidance to: 1) ensure all students have access to rigorous, standards-based instruction that is linked to state content, are included in the states accountability systems, and 2) provide IEP teams with the assets to make informed decisions related to answering the 3 questions Checklist of Course and Assessment Participation. Santa Rosa County District ESE Admin Team developed an FSAA Checklist that each IEP Team is required to complete, prior to placing a student on Access Point Standards. The list is divided into the three areas specified in the criteria of participation: 1) Does the student have the most significant cognitive disability? - Has the student been evaluated in the past three years? - Was a standardized test of intellectual functioning administered? - Did the intellectual functioning yield more than two standard deviations below the mean? - Did the adaptive behavior composite yield two standard deviations below the mean? - Did the parent's adaptive behavior composite yield two standard deviations below the mean? - Did the language scores yield commensurate scores with the standardized testing that was conducted? 2) Even with appropriate and allowable instructional accommodations, assistive technology, or accessible instructional materials, does the student require modifications? - Were elements of Universal Design for Learning implemented within the classroom consistently? - Does the student have an active Progress Monitoring Plan (PMP)? - If no PMP, are there other progress monitoring (VBMAPP, Iready)? - Were a variety of interventions used in the PMP? - Are academic gaps NOT closing with interventions? - Were UNIQUE accommodations used? - Did the team utilize assistive technology? - Were a variety of accessible instructional materials used to all access to the curriculum? - Does the student perform significantly below grade level, Level 1, or in the read on ALL state/district progress monitoring assessments? - Have standardized achievement testing been conducted? - Did the student score in the lower extreme or very poor range on standardized achievement testing? - Did the team do everything possible to help the student access the general education curriculum? 3) Does the student require direct instruction in academics based of English Language Arts, mathematics, social studies, and science based on access points in order to acquire, generalize, and transfer skills across settings? - Has Differentiated Instruction been used? - Does the student require modifications to the curriculum to understand the content? - Are modifications needed for reading? - Are modifications needed to the standards for math? - Are modifications needed to the standards for social studies? - Are modifications needed to the standards for science? - Does the student require direct instruction to understand academic content? - Does the student have challenges with applying and generalizing skills outside of the classroom? Once this FSAA Determination Checklist has been completed by the designated team, it is sent to the ESE Coordinator for review of collected data. The ESE Coordinator is an active participant in the IEP that will discuss possibility of student participating on Access Points and Alternate Standards. The data is discussed as an IEP Team and with collected data, makes a decision on whether student meets criteria to participate on the FSAA.

**Provide a justification, with supporting evidence, that identifies specific programs or circumstances within the district that may contribute to higher enrollment of students in access courses and an exceeding of the 1% (e.g., center schools serving surrounding districts).**

Santa Rosa County School District has a reputation for being known for its educational excellence which attracts many families not only from other districts but also from out of state and the country. This district out performs other districts by being one of the top 3 out of 67 and has achieved an A grade every year since 2004. In addition, Santa Rosa County is located geographically convenient to surrounding military bases which also train Special Forces units and Army Rangers. The following bases: Eglin Air Force, Hurlburt Field, NAS Pensacola, NAS Corry Station, and NAS Whiting Field are within a reasonable commuting distance to this district. Military families, especially ones with children who have special needs, are referred to Santa Rosa County Schools due to its reputation. The south end of the district, Navarre area, continues to develop and grow due to it being the closest proximity to Okaloosa County which attracts many families. The south end schools' enrollment numbers are reaching maximum capacity. E.g. The West Navarre School provides services currently to 29 Pre-K students from military families while other schools may only have a few which provides us with a projection for the next few years. • House high-quality, research-based programs for students with significant cognitive disabilities that attract families from around the state and country. Santa Rosa County has created ESE classrooms specific to the needs of SWD population by hiring highly qualified staff consisting of program facilitators for each of the varying exceptionalities, a communication/behavior specialist, a Board Certified Behavior Analyst, newly trained registered behavior technicians this year (10), & paraprofessionals as support to the ESE classroom teachers. In lieu of a special center school, the district has ESE self-contained classrooms in nearly every school in order to allow most students the opportunity to remain in their zoned schools. This information is inclusive of the specific data requested. According to the Analysis of 1% Cap for Reading using the Full Year Enrollment at the District Level, Santa Rosa County Schools exceeded the 1% allowance for Reading by .1% (1.1). In the area of Mathematics, Santa Rosa County Schools exceeded the 1% allowance by .1% (1.1). Although it appears that we are slightly over the allowable percentage in both academic areas, we are working diligently with schools to ensure we are providing every opportunity for students to receive the rigor under the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA) so that students can eventually transition to the Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) with adequate support. As more students consistently perform at a proficiency level of 7-9, we are building the capacity in our schools, at all levels, to encourage students to receive the instruction of the Alternate Standards in the general education classroom setting. Our ultimate goal is to decrease the number of students currently utilizing the FSAA and offer the continuum of services for Student with Significant Cognitive Disabilities in the general education, all-inclusive classroom setting.

**In the text box below, please provide a narrative response describing the district's self-assessment of disproportionality in each content area for each subgroup testing using the FSAA within the district. Please describe the method used to assess the district's proportionality for FSAA testing and the district's plan to address any area of identified disproportionality.**

To ensure compliance the district is providing guidance to: 1) ensure all students have access to rigorous, standards-based instruction that is linked to state content, are included in the states accountability systems, and 2) provide IEP teams with the assets to make informed decisions related to answering the 3 questions Checklist of Course and Assessment Participation. We continue to provide training for all school-based administrators, the grade level directors (primary, elementary, middle, and high), as well as curriculum coordinators, student services, and continuous improvement so that we are all communicating the expectation of students that meet the criteria. We have provided training to our LEA representatives who facilitate the IEP meeting process and provide the following guidelines to our district ESE staff as well as all ESE teachers: Evidence and Documentation Required Prior to Placing Students on FSAA and Access Points: Only students with a demonstrated, documented significant cognitive disability qualify for instruction in the Florida Standards Access Points and assessment via the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA). Students being considered for instruction in Access Points should present with global deficits in ALL of the following domains: -Curriculum and Instruction - Communication - Community Living - Independent Functioning - Leisure and Recreation - Independent Living - Social and Emotional Behavior The IEP team must determine and document that the student is unable to work toward mastery of the Florida Standards, even with all allowable accommodations, due to the significance of his/her cognitive impairment. Documented data should include, but not be limited to: - Cognitive evaluation - Medical records - Diagnostic assessments - Mental health assessments - Achievement test data - Adaptive behavior assessments - Previous state and district assessments - Language assessments - Aptitude tests - Exposure to grade-level curricular content - Observations - Response to Intervention data - Attendance records - Overall level of performance Training and emphasis in all IEP meetings: The IEP teams will be charged with determining the impact of the student's cognitive disability and how it affects all aspects of the student's academic, independent functioning, community living, leisure, and vocational activities, as well as the student's potential for independent adult living. If there is any doubt regarding the appropriateness of a student's participation in Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA), IEP teams are encouraged to allow the student to begin or continue a Florida Standards, general education course of study, while implementing appropriate accommodations to ensure student access. We have worked diligently to remove students whom were previously on FSAA who had a single exceptionality of, or a combination of, Specific Learning Disability (SLD), Emotional/Behavioral Disability (E/BD), or Speech/Language Impairment. Plan for Potential Disproportionality in a subgroup-This includes disability area as well as socio-economic, and ethnic/racial groups. In the review of our current data, we do NOT have a disproportionality of students who are on FSAA, however, in the event that we would have a disproportionality for students on FSAA, we would: 1) Break down data for exceptionality and locations to identify possible trends. 2) Review data for eligibilities to ensure proper protocols and procedures were implemented 3) Pending data, offer training and establish any pertinent procedures. 4) Review data periodically 5) Plan ongoing trainings to ensure disproportionality doesn't occur again. To ensure compliance the district is providing guidance to: 1) ensure all students have access to rigorous, standards-based instruction that is linked to state content, are included in the states accountability systems, and 2) provide IEP teams with the assets to make informed decisions related to answering the 3 questions Checklist of Course and Assessment Participation. We continue to provide training for all school-based administrators, the grade level directors (primary, elementary, middle, and high), as well as curriculum coordinators, student services, and continuous improvement so that we are all communicating the expectation of students that meet the criteria. We have provided training to our LEA representatives who facilitate the IEP meeting process and provide the following guidelines to our district ESE staff as well as all ESE teachers: Evidence and Documentation Required Prior to Placing Students on FSAA and Access Points: Only students with a demonstrated, documented significant cognitive disability qualify for instruction in the Florida Standards Access Points and assessment via the Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA). Students being considered for instruction in Access Points should present with global deficits in ALL of the following domains: -Curriculum and Instruction - Communication - Community Living - Independent Functioning - Leisure and Recreation - Independent Living - Social and Emotional Behavior The IEP team must determine and document that the student is unable to work toward mastery of the Florida Standards, even with all allowable accommodations, due to the significance of his/her cognitive impairment. Documented data should include, but not be limited to: - Cognitive evaluation - Medical records - Diagnostic assessments - Mental health assessments - Achievement test data - Adaptive behavior assessments - Previous state and district assessments - Language assessments - Aptitude tests - Exposure to grade-level curricular content - Observations - Response to Intervention data - Attendance records - Overall level of performance Training and emphasis in all IEP meetings: The IEP teams will be charged with determining the impact of the student's cognitive disability and how it affects all aspects of the student's academic, independent functioning, community living, leisure, and vocational activities, as well as the student's potential for independent adult living. If there is any doubt regarding the appropriateness of a student's participation in Florida Standards Alternate Assessment (FSAA), IEP teams are encouraged to allow the student to begin or continue a Florida Standards, general education course of study, while implementing appropriate accommodations to ensure student access. We have worked diligently to remove students whom were previously on FSAA who had a single exceptionality of, or a combination of, Specific Learning Disability (SLD), Emotional/Behavioral Disability (E/BD), or Speech/Language Impairment. Plan for



Potential Disproportionality in a subgroup-This includes disability area as well as socio-economic, and ethnic/racial groups. In the review of our current data, we do NOT have a disproportionality of students who are on FSAA, however, in the event that we would have a disproportionality for students on FSAA, we would: 1) Break down data for exceptionality and locations to identify possible trends. 2) Review data for eligibilities to ensure proper protocols and procedures were implemented 3) Pending data, offer training and establish any pertinent procedures. 4) Review data periodically 5) Plan ongoing trainings to ensure disproportionality doesn't occur again. Student Performance on 2018-2019 FSAA: English Language Arts Subgroup #of Students on FSA # of Students on FSAA All Student Tested % Alternately Assessed All Students 17,356 241 17,597 1.4 Am.Indian 80 1 81 Black, non-Hispanic 866 21 887 Hispanic 1,293 14 1,307 Pacific Islander 53 1 54 Asian 269 2 271 White, non-Hispanic 13,406 160 13,566 Multi-racial 1,389 22 1,411 Students with Disabilities 2,425 Other 7 Mathematics Subgroup #of Students on FSA # of Students on FSAA All Student Tested % Alternately Assessed All Students 16,332 174 16,506 1.5 Am.Indian 78 1 79 Black, non-Hispanic 814 13 827 Hispanic 1,230 10 1,240 Pacific Islander 51 0 51 Asian 260 2 262 White, non-Hispanic 12,611 116 12,727 Multi-racial 1,288 16 1,304 Students with Disabilities 2,335 Other 7 Science Subgroup #of Students on FSA # of Students on FSAA All Student Tested % Alternately Assessed All Students 5,664 52 5,716 1.1 Am.Indian 22 1 23 Black, non-Hispanic 263 4 267 Hispanic 433 5 438 Pacific Islander 13 0 13 Asian 94 1 95 White, non-Hispanic 4,405 27 4,432 Multi-racial 434 6 440 Students with Disabilities 697 Other 4

## Section F: Eligibility Criteria for Prekindergarten Children with Disabilities

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.25 and 300.101

Sections 1003.01, 1003.21, and 1003.57, F.S.

Rule 6A-6.03026, F.A.C.

### Definition

A prekindergarten child with a disability is a child who meets the following criteria.

### Eligibility Criteria

In accordance with s. 1003.21, F.S., a child is eligible for prekindergarten programs for children with disabilities based upon meeting the eligibility criteria for one or more specific exceptionalities listed below and upon meeting the age requirements shown.

1. The child is below three years of age and meets the criteria for eligibility for any of the following educational programs:
  - a. Deaf or hard of hearing
  - b. Visually impaired
  - c. Orthopedically impaired, other health impairment, or traumatic brain injury
  - d. Intellectual disabilities
  - e. Established conditions
  - f. Developmentally delayed
  - g. Dual-sensory impaired
  - h. Autism Spectrum Disorder
2. The child is age three through five years and meets the criteria for eligibility as a child with one or more of the following disabilities:
  - a. Intellectual disabilities
  - b. Speech and language impaired
  - c. Deaf or hard of hearing
  - d. Visually impaired
  - e. Orthopedically impaired, other health impairment, or traumatic brain injury
  - f. Emotional or behavioral disabilities
  - g. Specific learning disabilities
  - h. Homebound or hospitalized
  - i. Dual-sensory impaired
  - j. Autism Spectrum Disorder
  - k. Developmentally delayed

### Child Evaluation

1. Evaluations are conducted in accordance with the requirements of rules for the eligibility areas listed in Sections 1. and 2. of the Eligibility Criteria.

2. Existing screening and evaluation information available from agencies that previously served the child and family shall be used, as appropriate, to meet evaluation criteria for the rules for the eligibility areas listed in Sections 1. and 2. of the Eligibility Criteria.

## **Instructional Program**

In regards to a child who is eligible for admission to public kindergarten in accordance with Section 1003.21, F.S., an eligible prekindergarten child with a disability may receive instruction for one additional school year in a prekindergarten classroom in accordance with the child's Individual Educational Plan (IEP) or Individualized Family Support Plan (IFSP).

The parent or guardian must be informed in writing of the implications of an additional year in the prekindergarten classroom (i.e., the additional year is not considered a "retention," thus impacting the future consideration of a "good cause exemption"). If a parent disagrees with the IEP team recommendation for an additional year of instruction in a prekindergarten classroom, the team's recommendation may not be used to deny a child admission to kindergarten.

## **Transition from Early Steps Part C Services to Part B Services**

**The district's processes and procedures regarding the transition of eligible children from Early Steps to the Part B Program for Prekindergarten Children with Disabilities, including district procedures that ensure the district's participation in the transition conference and development of the IEP by the third birthday, are described in the text box below.**

-Notification from Early Steps to the Local Education Agency of children receiving services and turning three, for planning purposes 1. On a monthly basis Early Steps will send the Santa Rosa County School District/PreK ESE a notification list for potentially eligible children. The list will identify children nine months before the child's third birthday or as soon as possible for a child referred after 27 months of age, and will include the following information for children residing in the school district (unless the parent opts out of sharing information): a. Child's name b. Child's date of birth c. Parent contact information, including parents' names, addresses and telephone numbers d. Service Coordinator 2. Early Steps will notify the school district of any children for whom the school district has received notification, but who are no longer eligible based on the Early Steps Annual Review of the IFSP. -Transition Planning, Transition Conference and Referrals 1. Transition planning – Transition planning for all children in Early Steps will begin not less than 90 days to one year prior to the child's third birthday to include a discussion of transition options. 2. An individualized transition plan will be developed for each child and family. 3. The transition plan will address strategies to enable a successful transition and specific steps to prepare the child and family for the new environment. 4. Transition Conference For children who may be transitioning to the Santa Rosa County School District Prekindergarten Program for Children with Disabilities, a transition conference will be held no more than 9 months, but not less than 90 calendar days before the child's third birthday to include at least the family, the service coordinator and the local school district representative. Early Steps and the school district attempt to hold transition conferences six months prior to the child's third birthday, except for children entering late (see Late Entry below). 5. Early Steps service coordinator will provide a Referral Packet to the school district either prior to or at the transition conference, with parent/guardian permission, including: a. Current IFSP Santa Rosa County February 22, 2012 – February 21, 2015 15 b. Evaluations and assessments completed within the past 6 months, or most current available c. Any hearing and vision screening(s) completed within the past 6 months, or most current available d. Copy of the written consent for release of information for the local school district e. Any additional information required by the receiving agency and specified on the written consent for release of information form. 6. At the transition conference, the family, the service coordinator, the school district representative and any other representatives review the transition referral packet and provide the family with information to help them understand their role in the transition process. Families can use the information to make decisions about the next steps, including opportunities to visit programs, schedule appointments and gather information. 7. After the transition conference, children who have been served by Santa Rosa County School District Infant Toddler Program will complete the following process: 1. The Infant Toddler teacher administers an exit Battelle Developmental Inventory-2 (BDI-2), 90 calendar days or less prior to the third birthday and writes a report. 2. The Infant Toddler teacher schedules an opportunity for the family to visit the proposed receiving school 30-45 calendar days prior to the child's third birthday 3. The Infant Toddler teacher completes a transition packet and submits it to the ESE PreK Program Facilitator, including: a. BDI-2 report b. Current evaluations from outside agencies c. Physician's statement when appropriate (for children with an established condition) d. Physician's Recommendation for Physical Therapy form when appropriate e. Other registration information 8. Early Steps service coordinator will provide a Referral Packet to the school district either prior to or at the transition conference, with parent/guardian permission, including: a. Current IFSP b. Evaluations and assessments completed within the past 6 months c. Any hearing and vision screening(s) completed within the past 6 months d. Copy of the written consent for release of information for the local school district e. Any additional information required by the receiving agency and specified on the written consent for release of information form. - Eligibility/Evaluation and IEP 1. The Evaluation Team completes necessary evaluations and schedules an eligibility/placement meeting with the family, representatives from Part C and other agencies as appropriate. 2. If the child is eligible, an Individualized Educational Plan (IEP) is written by the child's third birthday, consent is obtained, and upon registration at the school site, the IEP is implemented. 3. If the child is ineligible, information is shared with the family regarding community programs available. 4. A transition conference for children transitioning to other community programs will include providers of other appropriate services for the child Santa Rosa County February 22, 2012 – February 21, 2015 16 as desired by the family, including Head Start and other early care and education programs. 5. Information will be given to families for children who are potentially eligible for services through the Agency for Persons with Disabilities (APD). -Special Circumstances 1. Late entry children— a. Children who are referred to Early Steps at 34 months will have the option of completing either Early Steps eligibility or being referred to FDLRS/Child Find. b. Children who are referred to Early Steps less than 45 calendar days prior to their third birthday will be automatically referred to FDLRS/Child Find. 2. Summer birthdays – Children who are preparing to transition out of Early Steps who turn three years old during the summer months. a. Children who are being served by Santa Rosa County Infant Toddler teachers will be evaluated and have their IEP written prior to the end of the school year. b. Children who are not served by the Santa Rosa County Infant Toddler teachers will be referred by the Early Steps Service Coordinator to the ESE PreK Program Facilitator by March 1st, except for children who enter late. They will be referred as soon as possible. 3. Children from birth to five who have been determined to be victims of verified cases of child abuse or neglect with the Department of Children and Families or service providers will be referred to Early Steps (birth to 36 months) and Child Find (3 to 5 year olds) according to local agreed upon CAPTA referral protocols.

## Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

### 1. Philosophy

- a. The prekindergarten program for children with disabilities supports young children by recognizing and respecting their unique abilities, strengths, and needs.
- b. Services for young children with disabilities and their families include a range of educational, developmental, and therapeutic activities that are provided in least restrictive or natural learning environments where children experience learning opportunities that promote and enhance behavioral and developmental competencies.
- c. For a child with disabilities age three through five years, special education, which refers to specially designed instruction and related services, is provided to meet the unique needs of the child. Specially designed instruction means adapting, as appropriate, the content, methodology, or delivery of instruction.
- d. Programs and services for prekindergarten children with disabilities are based on practices that are developmentally appropriate for all young children. They acknowledge the importance of collaboration and partnerships with families and view the child in the context of the family and community. Understanding and knowledge of early childhood development serves as a foundation for these practices.

### 2. Curriculum

- a. Curriculum content, materials, and activities are consistent with the district's program philosophy.
  - For prekindergarten children with disabilities, during the year prior to kindergarten entry, the Florida Early Learning and Developmental Standards – 4 Years Old to Kindergarten are used to guide the selection of curriculum (curricula) in concert with a knowledge and understanding of the impact of the disability on the growth and development of the child.
  - The Florida Early Learning and Developmental Standards: Birth to Kindergarten help to create a shared framework and common language between early childhood education and early childhood special education by emphasizing the sequence of development across multiple developmental domains and the importance of the classroom environment to include how curricula, materials, and equipment are selected.
  - For children birth to the age of eligibility for the VPK program, Florida Early Learning and Developmental Standards: Birth to Kindergarten may be used to guide the selection of curriculum, materials, and equipment.
- b. Modifications, adaptations, and accommodations of curricula, materials, and activities selected may be needed to meet the unique needs of the child. Ongoing progress monitoring is conducted to ensure that the instruction or interventions provided are effective in attaining the desired outcomes.

### 3. Instructional support

- a. Young children receive instructional support through specially designed instruction and related services as determined by the IEP process or early intervention services as determined through the IFSP process. These services are based on peer-reviewed, research-based practices to the extent practicable.
- b. Teachers and related services personnel are trained in how to design and implement individualized programs to address the learning needs of children with disabilities.
- c. Teachers and related services personnel are provided with administrative support to ensure reasonable class size and workload, adequate funds for materials, and professional development. Teachers provide instruction in the domains of development, including cognitive development, motor development, language and communication, social emotional development, and adaptive behavior. Instruction and related services may be offered in a continuum of placements and settings that may include regular, resource, or special class settings in public, community-based, or home-based settings.
- d. School districts may provide related services to children and professional development for teachers and related services personnel in coordination with community agencies, including other early childhood partners such as Early Learning Coalitions and Head Start. Additionally, support for professional development and related services may, as appropriate, be

provided in collaboration with discretionary projects funded by the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services, the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind, and other agencies of state and local government, including, but not limited to, the Division of Blind Services, the Department of Children and Families, and the Department of Health, Children's Medical Services.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for prekindergarten children with disabilities.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section G: Individualized Family Support Plan for Students with Disabilities Ages Birth through Five Years

### Students with Disabilities Ages Birth through 2 Years

#### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §303.340

Sections 1003.03, 1003.21 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.0331 and 6A-6.03029, F.A.C.

#### Definition

An individualized family support plan (IFSP) is a written plan identifying the specific concerns and priorities of families who have children with disabilities, ages birth through 2 years (below 36 months), related to enhancing a child's development and the resources to provide early intervention services. To meet the identified outcomes for an individual child and family, a planning process involving the family, professionals, and others is used to prepare the document. An IFSP must be used for children ages birth through 2 years.

#### Procedures

##### 1. Content of an IFSP

The IFSP is in writing and includes:

- a. A statement of the child's present levels of physical development (including vision, hearing, and health status), cognitive development, communication development, social or emotional development, and adaptive skills development based on the information from the child's evaluation and assessment;
- b. With concurrence of the family, a statement of the family's resources, priorities, and concerns related to enhancing the development of the child as identified through the assessment of the family;
- c. A statement of the measurable results or measurable outcomes expected to be achieved by the child and the family, including pre-literacy and language skills, as developmentally appropriate for the child, and the goals, criteria, procedures, and timelines used to determine the degree to which progress toward achieving the measurable results or outcomes is being made and whether modifications or revisions of the expected results or outcomes or services are necessary;
- d. A statement of the specific early intervention services based on peer-reviewed research, to the extent practicable, or the special education and related services necessary to meet the unique needs of the child and the family to achieve the results or outcomes identified on the IFSP, including:
  - i. Frequency, intensity and method of delivering services;
  - ii. Location of the services;
  - iii. Length of the services;
  - iv. Funding source or payment arrangements, if any;
  - v. Anticipated duration of these services;
  - vi. Other services;
  - vii. Projected dates for initiation of services for children birth through 2, which must be as soon as possible but within thirty (30) calendar days of the date the parent consents to the service, and the anticipated duration of these services;
  - viii. Steps to be taken to support the transition of the child, when exiting the Early Steps program to preschool services for children with disabilities ages (3) through (5), or other services that may be available. The steps required for transition shall include:

- a. Discussions with, and training of, parents regarding future placements and other matters related to the child's transition;
  - b. Procedures to prepare the child for changes in service delivery, including steps to help the child adjust to, and function in, a new setting;
  - c. Notification information to the school district for the purpose of child find;
  - d. With parental consent, the transmission of information about the child to the school district to ensure continuity of services, including a copy of the most recent evaluation and assessments of the child and family and a copy of the most recent IFSP that has been developed and implemented; and,
  - e. Identification of transition services and other activities that the IFSP team determines are necessary to support the child.
- e. A statement of the natural environments in which early intervention services, or special education and related services, are to be provided, and a justification of the extent, if any, to which the services will not be provided in a natural environment;
- f. A statement of the strategies needed in order to meet the child's and family's outcomes;
- g. The name of the service coordinator from the profession most immediately relevant to the child's or family's needs, or the individual who is otherwise qualified to be responsible for the implementation of the early intervention or special education and related services identified in the IFSP, including transition services and coordination with other agencies and persons. In meeting this requirement, the school district may assign the same service coordinator who was appointed at the time the child was initially referred for evaluation to be responsible for implementing a child's and family's IFSP or appoint a new service coordinator;
- h. Family demographic and contact information;
- i. A statement of eligibility, including recommendations for children not found eligible;
- j. A description of everyday routines, activities and places in which the child lives, learns and plays and individuals with whom the child interacts;
- k. Identification of the most appropriate IFSP team member to serve as the primary service provider;
- l. Documentation of the names of the individuals who participated in the development of the IFSP, the method of participation and the individual responsible for implementing the IFSP; and,
- m. For children ages birth through 2 years:
- i. Identification of any medical and additional supports that the child or family needs or is receiving through other sources, but that are neither required nor funded under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Part C, 34 CFR §303.344(e); and,
  - ii. A description of the steps the service coordinator or family will take to assist the child and family in securing additional supports not currently being provided.

2. Timelines for IFSPs for children with disabilities ages birth through 2 years. These timelines shall include the following:

- a. For a child who has been evaluated for the first time and determined to be eligible, a meeting to develop the initial IFSP must be conducted within forty-five (45) days from referral;
- b. A review of the IFSP for a child and the child's family must be conducted every 6 months from the date of the initial or annual evaluation of the IFSP or more frequently if conditions warrant, or if the family requests such a review. The review may be carried out at a meeting or by another means that is acceptable to the parents and other participants. The purpose of the periodic review is to determine:
  - The degree to which progress toward achieving the results or outcomes identified on the IFSP is being made;
  - Whether modifications or revision of the results or outcomes or services are necessary; and



- Whether additional needs have been identified based on ongoing assessment or observation.

- c. A face-to-face meeting must be conducted on at least an annual basis by the IFSP team to re-determine eligibility and review the IFSP for a child and the child's family, and to revise, change or modify its provisions and assess the continuation of the outcomes, strategies and recommended services, as needed. The results of any current evaluations, and other information available from ongoing assessments of the child and family, must be considered at the annual review of the IFSP to determine continuing eligibility and the early intervention services that are needed and will be provided.
- d. IFSP meetings must be conducted:
  - i. In settings and at times that are convenient to families;
  - ii. In the native language of the family or other mode of communication used by the family, unless it is clearly not feasible to do so;
- e. Meeting arrangements must be made with and written notice provided to the family and other participants early enough before the meeting date to ensure that they will be able to attend;
- f. The contents of the IFSP must be fully explained to the parent(s) and informed written consent from the parent(s) must be obtained prior to the provisions of early intervention services described in the plan. If the parent(s) does not provide consent with respect to a particular early intervention service, that service may not be provided. The early intervention services to which parental consent is obtained must be provided.

### 3. The IFSP must:

- a. Family demographic and contact information;
- b. The frequency, intensity, and method of delivery of the early intervention services;
- c. The location and length of the early intervention services;
- d. Funding source or payment arrangements, if any;
- e. Anticipated duration of the services;
- f. Other services to the extent appropriate;
- g. A statement of eligibility, including recommendations for children not found eligible;
- h. A description of everyday routines, activities, and places in which the child lives, learns, and plays and individuals with whom the child interacts;
- i. Identification of the most appropriate IFSP team member to serve as the primary service provider;
- j. Documentation of the names of the individuals who participated in the development of the IFSP, the method of participation, and the individual responsible for implementing the IFSP;
- k. Identify any medical and additional supports that the child or family needs or is receiving through other sources but that are neither required nor funded under IDEA, Part C;

The name of the service coordinator from the profession most immediately relevant to the child's or family's needs or the individual who is otherwise qualified to be responsible for the implementation of the services identified on the plan including transition services and coordination with other agencies and persons;

- l. Include a description of the steps the service coordinator or family may take to assist the child and family in securing additional supports not currently being provided;

### 4. Participants in IFSP meetings (ages birth through 2 years)

Participants in the development of IFSPs (both initial and annual) for children with disabilities age birth through 2 years must include:

- a. The parent or parent(s) of the child;
  - b. Other family members as requested by the parent(s), if feasible to do so;
  - c. An advocate or individual outside of the family, if the parent(s) request that the individual participate;
  - d. The service coordinator who has been working with the family since the initial referral of the child for evaluation, or the person designated responsible for implementation of the IFSP;
  - e. For initial IFSP meetings, individuals who are directly involved in conducting the evaluation and assessment;
  - f. The individual who will be providing early intervention services to the child or family, as appropriate.
  - g. If any of these participants is unable to attend a meeting, arrangements must be made for the individual's involvement through other means, including:
    - i. Participating in a telephone or video conference call;
    - ii. Having a knowledgeable authorized representative attend the meeting; or
    - iii. Making pertinent records available at the meeting.
5. Provision of services before evaluation and assessments are completed. Early intervention services for a child with disabilities ages birth through 2 years and the child's family may commence before the completion of the evaluation and assessments if the following conditions are met:
- a. Parental consent is obtained;
  - b. An interim IFSP is developed that includes:
    - i. The name of the service coordinator who will be responsible for implementation of the interim IFSP and coordination with other agencies and individuals;
    - ii. The early intervention services that have been determined to be needed immediately by the child and the child's family;
    - iii. Signatures of those who developed the IFSP.
  - c. The evaluation and assessments are completed within 45 calendar days from referral.
6. Financial responsibility. For children ages birth through two years, the school district shall only be responsible for the early intervention services specified and agreed to through the IFSP process.

## Students with Disabilities Ages 3-5 Years

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.323 and 303.340

Sections 1003.03, 1003.21 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03028, 6A-6.03029 and 6A-6.0331, F.A.C.

### Definition

An IFSP is a written plan identifying the specific concerns and priorities of a family related to enhancing their child's development and the resources to provide early intervention services to children with disabilities ages birth through 2 years or special education and related services to children with disabilities ages 3-5 years. School districts may utilize, at the option of the school district and with written parental consent, an IFSP, consistent with Rule 6A-6.03029, F.A.C., in lieu of an IEP. Parents must be provided with a detailed explanation of the difference between an IFSP and an IEP. To meet the identified outcomes for an individual child and family, a planning process involving the family, professionals, and others shall be used to prepare the document.

### Procedures

## 1. Content of an IFSP

The IFSP is in writing and includes:

- a. A statement of the child's present levels of physical development (including vision, hearing, and health status), cognitive development, communication development, social or emotional development, and adaptive skills development based on the information from the child's evaluation and assessment;
- b. With concurrence of the family, a statement of the family's resources, priorities, and concerns related to enhancing the development of the child as identified through the assessment of the family;
- c. A statement of the measurable results or measurable outcomes expected to be achieved by the child and the family, including an educational component that promotes school readiness and incorporates pre-literacy, language, and numeracy skills, as developmentally appropriate for the child, and the goals, criteria, procedures, and timelines used to determine the degree to which progress toward achieving the measurable results or outcomes is being made and whether modifications or revisions of the expected results or outcomes or services are necessary;
- d. A statement of the specific early intervention services based on peer-reviewed research, to the extent practicable, or the special education and related services necessary to meet the unique needs of the child and the family, to achieve the results or outcomes identified on the IFSP;
  - i. Frequency, intensity and method of delivering services;
  - ii. Location of the services;
  - iii. Length of the services;
  - iv. Funding source or payment arrangements, if any;
  - v. Anticipated duration of these services;
  - vi. Other services;
- e. The projected dates for initiation of services;
- f. The name of the service coordinator from the profession most immediately relevant to the child's or family's needs or the individual who is otherwise qualified to be responsible for the implementation of the plan and coordination with other agencies and persons. In meeting this requirement, the school district may assign the same service coordinator who was appointed at the time that the child was initially referred for evaluation to be responsible for implementing a child's and family's IFSP or may appoint a new service coordinator;
- g. Family demographic and contact information;
- h. A statement of eligibility, including recommendations for children not found eligible;
  - i. A description of everyday routines, activities, and places in which the child lives, learns, and plays and individuals with whom the child interacts;
  - j. Identification of the most appropriate IFSP team member to serve as the primary service provider;
- k. Documentation of the names of the individuals who participated in the development of the IFSP, the method of participation and the individual responsible for implementing the IFSP;
- l. The frequency, intensity, and method of delivery of the early intervention services;
- m. The location and length of the services;
- n. The payment arrangements, if any;
- o. Anticipated duration of the services;
- p. Other services; and

q. The steps to be taken to support the transition of the child when exiting the Early Steps program to preschool services for children with disabilities ages 3-5 years, or other services that may be available. The steps required for transition shall include:

- Discussions with, and training of, parent(s) regarding future placements and other matters related to the child's transition;
- Procedures to prepare the child for changes in service delivery, including steps to help the child adjust to, and function in, a new setting;
- With parental consent, the sharing of information about the child to the school district to ensure continuity of services, including evaluation and assessment information and copies of IFSPs that have been developed and implemented; and
- Identification of transition services and other activities that the IFSP team determines are necessary to support the child.

2. Requirements for IFSPs for children ages 3-5 years are in accordance with the requirements in Rule 6A-6.03028, F.A.C.

- By the third birthday of a child who has been participating in the early intervention program for infants and toddlers with disabilities, an IEP consistent with Rule 6A-6.03028(3)(e), F.A.C., or an IFSP consistent with Rule 6A-6.03029, F.A.C., must be developed and implemented.
- For the purpose of implementing the requirements of Rule 6A-6.03029, F.A.C., each school district will participate in transition planning conferences arranged by the state lead agency for the infants and toddlers with disabilities early intervention program.
- If the child's third birthday occurs during the summer, the child's IEP team shall determine the date when services under the IEP or IFSP will begin.

3. Participants in IFSP meetings for children with disabilities (ages 3-5 years) include:

- a. The parent(s);
- b. Not less than one regular education teacher, if the child is or may be participating in the regular education environment;
- c. Not less than one special education teacher or, where appropriate, not less than one special education provider of the student;
- d. A representative of the local district who is qualified to provide or supervise the provision of specially designed instruction for children with disabilities and is knowledgeable about the general curriculum and the availability of resources of the local district;
- e. An individual who can interpret the instructional implications of the evaluation results. This individual may be a member of the committee as described in b.-d. of this subsection;
- f. At the discretion of the parent or the school district, other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise regarding the child, including related services personnel (**Note:** The determination of the knowledge or special expertise shall be made by the party who invited the individual to participate in the IFSP meeting); and
- g. Whenever appropriate, the child.

4. IEPs and meetings for students with disabilities placed in private schools or community facilities by the school district.

- a. If a student with a disability is placed in a private school by the school district, in consultation with the student's parents, the school district shall:
  - Ensure that the student has all of the rights of a student with a disability who is served by a school district.
  - Initiate and conduct a meeting to develop an IEP or an IFSP in accordance with Rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., before the school district places the student; and,

- Ensure the attendance of a representative of the private school at the meeting. If the representative cannot attend, the school district shall use other methods to ensure participation by the private school, including individual or conference telephone calls.
- b. After a student with a disability enters a private school or facility, any meetings to review and revise the student's IEP may be initiated and conducted by the private school or facility at the discretion of the school district, but the school district must ensure that the parents and a school district representative are involved in decisions about the IEP and agree to proposed changes in the IEP before those changes are implemented by the private school.
- c. Even if a private school or facility implements a student's IEP, responsibility for compliance with these rules remains with the school district.
- d. Paragraphs a.-c. of this subsection apply only to students who are or have been placed in or referred to a private school or facility by a school district as a means of providing FAPE.
5. If placement in a public or private residential program is necessary to provide special education to a student with a disability, the program, including nonmedical care and room and board, must be at no cost to the parents of the student.
6. For children ages 3-5 years, the school district is only financially responsible for the provision of special education and related services necessary for the child to benefit from special education.

### **Part III. Policies and Procedures for Students Who are Gifted**

## Section A: Exceptional Student Education Eligibility for Students who are Gifted

☐ This section is not applicable for the Department of Corrections.

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

Sections 1003.01 and 1003.57, F.S.

Rules 6A-6.03019 and 6A-6.030191, F.A.C.

### Definition

A student who is gifted is one who has superior intellectual development and is capable of high performance.

### Eligibility Criteria

A student is eligible for special instructional programs for the gifted from kindergarten through Grade 12 if the student meets the criteria under 1. or 2. below:

1. The student demonstrates:
  - a. The need for a special program
  - b. A majority of characteristics of gifted students according to a standard scale or checklist
  - c. Superior intellectual development as measured by an intelligence quotient of two standard deviations or more above the mean on an individually administered standardized test of intelligence
2. The student is a member of an underrepresented group and meets the criteria specified in an approved school district plan for increasing the participation of underrepresented groups in programs for students who are gifted. Underrepresented groups are defined in Rule 6A-6.03019, F.A.C. as students with limited English proficiency or students from low socio-economic status families.

### Additional Information:

- ☐ The school district has a plan for increasing the participation of underrepresented groups in programs for gifted students. The plan is provided in Appendix C of this document.
- ☒ The school district does not have a plan for increasing the participation of underrepresented groups in programs for gifted students.

### Student Evaluation

1. The minimum evaluations for determining eligibility address the following:
  - a. Need for a special instructional program
  - b. Characteristics of the gifted
  - c. Intellectual development
  - d. May include those evaluation procedures specified in an approved district plan to increase the participation of students from underrepresented groups in programs for the gifted
2. Evaluations and qualified evaluators for students who are gifted are listed in Part I of this document.

### Temporary Break in Service

The team developing the Educational Plan (EP) may determine that a student's needs may currently be appropriately met through other academic options. The parent or student may indicate that they do not desire service for a period of time. Should the decision be made to waive the services offered on the current educational plan, the student may resume service at any time.

### Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

### 1. Philosophy

- a. Each student identified as being eligible for gifted services is entitled to receive a free appropriate public education which will enable the student to progress in the general curriculum to the maximum extent appropriate.
- b. Specially designed instruction, appropriate related services, and programs for students who are gifted shall provide significant adaptations in one or more of the following: curriculum, methodology, materials, equipment, or environment designed to meet the individual and unique needs and goals of each student who is gifted.
- c. A range of service delivery options is available to meet the student's special needs. Teachers are trained to provide the unique services identified for each student and are provided with administrative support to ensure reasonable class size, adequate funds for materials and inservice training.

### 2. Curriculum

- a. Curriculum options include an effective and differentiated curriculum designed for the abilities of the student who is gifted to ensure that each individual student progresses in the curriculum.
- b. The curriculum may include, but is not limited to, opportunities for problem solving, problem-based learning, application of knowledge and skills, and other effective instructional strategies.
- c. The teachers of the students who are gifted are trained to provide a curriculum based on the educational characteristics and needs of the learner who is gifted.
- d. Curriculum for each student will be determined by the EP and will focus on the performance levels for the student and needs for developing further skills and abilities, recognizing opportunities to extend the present program through appropriate scaffolding for students who are gifted.
- e. The curriculum for the student who is gifted will assume access to the general curriculum (State standards) with emphasis on what the EP team determines will offer opportunities for growth for the learner who is gifted based on the student's strengths and present level of performance.

### 3. Instructional support

- a. Students identified as eligible for gifted services receive instructional support through the specially designed instruction and related services as determined through the development of the EP.
- b. Teachers of the students who are gifted provide instruction and support to further develop the student's demonstrated ability.
- c. Teachers are provided with administrative support to develop an individualized program to meet the goals for each student as determined by the EP.
- d. Students who are gifted may indicate a need for special counseling and guidance in understanding their special characteristics.
- e. Support services are provided in coordination with local school district student services and community agencies, the Florida Diagnostic and Learning Resources System associate centers, special projects funded by the Bureau of Exceptional Education and Student Services, and other agencies of state and local government.
- f. No student may be given special instruction for students who are gifted until after he or she has been properly evaluated and found eligible for gifted services.

**The school district has the option to include additional information regarding evaluations, qualified evaluators, or unique philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for students who are gifted.**

- ☐ The school district has provided additional information for this section in Appendix B of this document.
- ☒ There is no additional information for this section.

## Section B: Educational Plans for Students who are Gifted

### Statutory and Regulatory Citation

Sections 1001.02, 1003.01, and 1003.57, F.S.

Rule 6A-6.030191, F.A.C.

### Procedures

1. The district is responsible for developing Educational Plans (EPs) for students who are identified solely as gifted.

**Note:** Individual Educational Plans (IEPs) rather than EPs are developed for those students who are gifted and are also identified as having a disability.

- a. The EP includes:

- A statement of the student's present levels of educational performance that may include, but is not limited to, the student's strengths and interests, the student's needs beyond the general curriculum, results of the student's performance on state and district assessments, and evaluation results
- A statement of goals, including benchmarks or short-term objectives
- A statement of the specially designed instruction to be provided to the student
- A statement of how the student's progress toward the goals will be measured and reported to the parents
- The projected dates for the beginning of services and the anticipated frequency, location, and duration of these services

- b. The EP team considers the following during development, review, and revision of the EP:

- The strengths of the student and the needs resulting from the student's giftedness
- The results of recent evaluations, including class work and state or district assessments
- In the case of a student with limited English proficiency, the language needs of the student as they relate to the EP

- c. Timelines for development of the EP include the following:

- An EP is in effect at the beginning of each school year for each student identified as gifted who is continuing in a special program.
- An EP is developed within 30 calendar days following the determination of eligibility for specially designed instruction in the gifted program and is in effect prior to the provision of these services.
- Meetings are held to develop and revise the EP at least once every three years for students in Grades K–8 and at least every four years for students in Grades 9–12.
- EPs may be reviewed more frequently, as needed, such as when a student transitions from elementary to middle school or from middle to high school.

- d. EP participants include:

- The parents, whose role includes providing information on the student's strengths, expressing concerns for enhancing the education of their child, participating in discussions about the child's need for specially designed instruction, participating in deciding how the child will be involved and participate in the general curriculum, and participating in the determination of what services the district will provide to the child and in what setting
- At least one teacher of the gifted program
- One regular education teacher of the student who, to the extent appropriate, is involved in the development of the student's EP; involvement may include the provision of written documentation of a student's strengths and needs for



review and revision of the subsequent EPs

- A representative of the school district who is qualified to provide or supervise the provision of specially designed instruction for students who are gifted and is knowledgeable about the general curriculum and the availability of resources of the school district; at the discretion of the district, one of the student's teachers may be designated to serve as the representative of the district
- An individual who can interpret the instructional implications of the evaluation results; this individual may be a teacher of the gifted, a regular education teacher, or a representative of the school district as described above
- At the discretion of the parent or the school district, other individuals who have knowledge or special expertise regarding the student, including related services personnel (**Note:** The determination of the knowledge or special expertise shall be made by the party who invited the individual to participate in the EP meeting)
- Whenever appropriate, the student

## 2. Parent participation in EP meetings

The district takes the following steps to ensure that one or both of the parents of a student identified as gifted is present or provided the opportunity to participate at EP meetings:

- a. Notifying parents of the meeting early enough to ensure that they will have an opportunity to attend
- b. Scheduling the meeting at a mutually agreed on time and place
  - i. A written notice to the parent indicates the purpose, time, location of the meeting, and who, by title or position, will be in attendance. It also includes a statement informing the parents that they have the right to invite an individual with special knowledge or expertise about their child. If neither parent can attend, the district uses other methods to ensure parent participation, including individual or conference telephone calls.
  - ii. A meeting may be conducted without a parent in attendance if the district is unable to obtain the attendance of the parents. In this case, the district maintains a record of its attempts to arrange a mutually agreed on time and place. These records include such items as:
    - Detailed records of telephone calls made or attempted and the results of those calls
    - Copies of correspondence sent to the parents and any responses received
    - Detailed records of visits made to the parent's home or place of employment and the results of those visits
  - iii. The district takes whatever action is necessary to ensure that the parent understands the proceedings at the meeting, including arranging for an interpreter for parents who are deaf or whose native language is other than English. A copy of the EP shall be provided to the parent at no cost.

## 3. Implementation of the EP

- a. An EP is in effect before specially designed instruction is provided to an eligible student and is implemented as soon as possible following the EP meeting.
- b. The EP is accessible to each of the student's teachers who are responsible for the implementation, and each teacher of the student is informed of specific responsibilities related to the implementation of the EP.

**One of the following must be selected:**

- ☒ I have read and understand the above information.
- ☐ This section is not applicable for the Department of Corrections.

## Part IV. Policies and Procedures for Parentally-Placed Private School Students with Disabilities

## Section A: Provision of Equitable Services to Parentally-Placed Private School Students with Disabilities

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

34 CFR §§300.130–300.144

Rule 6A-6.030281, F.A.C.

### Definition

Parentally-placed private school students with disabilities means students with disabilities enrolled by their parents in private, including religious, **non-profit** schools or facilities that meet the definition of elementary school or secondary school under rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C., and does not include students with disabilities who are or have been placed in or referred to a private school or facility by the school district as a means of providing special education and related services. This definition does not include students with disabilities enrolled by their parents in **for-profit** private schools.

### Procedures

#### 1. Policies and procedures for parentally-placed private school students with disabilities

The school district will maintain policies and procedures to ensure the provision of equitable services to students with disabilities who have been placed in private schools by their parents.

#### 2. Child find for parentally-placed private school students with disabilities

The school district will locate, identify, and evaluate all students with disabilities who are enrolled by their parents in private, including religious, elementary and secondary schools located in the school district's jurisdiction. The child find process will be designed to ensure the equitable participation of parentally-placed private school students and an accurate count of those students.

##### a. Activities

In carrying out the requirements of this section, the school district will undertake activities similar to the activities undertaken for the school district's public school students.

##### b. Cost

The cost of carrying out the child find requirements, including individual evaluations, may not be considered in determining if the school district has met its obligation.

##### c. Completion period

The child find process will be completed in a time period comparable to that for other students attending public schools in the school district.

##### d. Out-of-state students

The school district in which private, including religious, elementary and secondary schools are located will, in carrying out the child find requirements, include parentally-placed private school students who reside in a state other than Florida.

#### 3. Confidentiality of personally identifiable information

If a student is enrolled, or is going to enroll, in a private school that is not located in the school district of the parent's residence, parental consent will be obtained before any personally identifiable information about the child is released between officials in the school district where the private school is located and officials in the school district of the parent's residence.

#### 4. Provision of services for parentally-placed private school students with disabilities – basic requirement

To the extent consistent with the number and location of students with disabilities who are enrolled by their parents in private, including religious, elementary and secondary schools located in the school district's jurisdiction, provision is made for the participation of those students in the program assisted or carried out under Part B of IDEA by providing them with special education and related services, including direct services determined in accordance with 12. and 13. below, unless the U.S. Secretary of Education has arranged for services to those students under the by-pass provisions in 34 CFR §§300.190 through 300.198.

##### a. Services plan for parentally-placed private school students with disabilities

A services plan will be developed and implemented for each private school student with a disability who has been designated by the school district in which the private school is located to receive special education and related services.

b. Record keeping

The school district will maintain in its records, and provide to the Florida Department of Education (FDOE), the following information related to parentally-placed private school students covered:

- The number of students evaluated
- The number of students determined to be students with disabilities
- The number of students served

5. Expenditures

To meet the requirements, the school district will spend the following on providing special education and related services (including direct services) to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities:

- a. For children and students aged three through 21, an amount that is the same proportion of the school district's total subgrant under Section 611(f) of IDEA as the number of private school students with disabilities aged three through 21 who are enrolled by their parents in private, including religious, elementary, and secondary schools located in the school district's jurisdiction, is to the total number of students with disabilities in its jurisdiction aged three through twenty-one (21).
- b. For children aged three through five years, an amount that is the same proportion of the school district's total subgrant under Section 619(a) of IDEA as the number of parentally-placed private school students with disabilities aged three through five who are enrolled by their parents in private, including religious, elementary and secondary schools located in the school district's jurisdiction, is to the total number of students with disabilities in its jurisdiction aged three through five.
- c. Children aged three through five years are considered to be parentally-placed private school students with disabilities enrolled by their parents in private, including religious, elementary schools, if they are enrolled in a private school that meets the definition of elementary school under Florida law.
- d. If the school district has not expended for equitable services all of the funds described in paragraphs a) and b) above by the end of the fiscal year for which Congress appropriated the funds, the school district will obligate the remaining funds for special education and related services (including direct services) to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities during a carry-over period of one additional year.

6. Calculating proportionate amount

In calculating the proportionate amount of federal funds to be provided for parentally-placed private school students with disabilities, the school district, after timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of private schools, will conduct a thorough and complete child find process to determine the number of parentally-placed students with disabilities attending private schools located in the school district. (See Appendix B to IDEA regulations for an example of how proportionate share is calculated)

7. Annual count of the number of parentally-placed private school students with disabilities

The school district will, after timely and meaningful consultation with representatives of parentally-placed private school students with disabilities, determine the number of parentally-placed private school students with disabilities attending private schools located in the school district and ensure that the count is conducted on any date between October 1 and December 1, inclusive, of each year. The count will be used to determine the amount that the school district will spend on providing special education and related services to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities in the next fiscal year.

8. Supplement, not supplant

State and local funds may supplement and in no case supplant the proportionate amount of federal funds required to be expended for parentally-placed private school students with disabilities.

9. Consultation with private school representatives

To ensure timely and meaningful consultation, the school district will consult with private school representatives and representatives of parents of parentally-placed private school students with disabilities during the design and development of special education and related services for the students regarding the following:

- a. The child find process, including how parentally-placed private school students suspected of having a disability can participate equitably and how parents, teachers, and private school officials will be informed of the process

- b. The determination of the proportionate share of federal funds available to serve parentally-placed private school students with disabilities, including the determination of how the proportionate share of those funds was calculated
- c. The consultation process among the school district, private school officials, and representatives of parents of parentally-placed private school students with disabilities, including how the process will operate throughout the school year to ensure that parentally-placed students with disabilities identified through the child find process can meaningfully participate in special education and related services
- d. Provision of special education and related services; how, where, and by whom special education and related services will be provided for parentally-placed private school students with disabilities, including a discussion of:
  - The types of services, including direct services and alternate service delivery mechanisms
  - How special education and related services will be apportioned if funds are insufficient to serve all parentally-placed private school students
  - How and when those decisions will be made
- e. How, if the school district disagrees with the views of private school officials on the provision of services or the types of services (whether provided directly or through a contract), the school district will provide to such private school officials a written explanation of the reasons why the school district chose not to provide services directly or through a contract

#### 10. Written affirmation

When timely and meaningful consultation has occurred, the school district will obtain a written affirmation signed by the representatives of participating private schools. If the representatives do not provide the affirmation within a reasonable period of time, the school district will forward the documentation of the consultation process to FDOE.

#### 11. Compliance

A private school official has the right to submit a complaint to the FDOE that the school district did not engage in consultation that was meaningful and timely or did not give due consideration to the views of the private school official. If the private school official wishes to submit a complaint, the official will provide to FDOE the basis of the noncompliance by the school district with the applicable private school provisions, and the school district will forward the appropriate documentation to FDOE. If the private school official is dissatisfied with the decision of FDOE, the official may submit a complaint to the U.S. Secretary of Education by providing the information on noncompliance, and FDOE will forward the appropriate documentation to the U.S. Secretary of Education.

#### 12. Equitable services determined

- a. No parentally-placed private school student with a disability has an individual right to receive some or all of the special education and related services that the student would receive if enrolled in a public school.
- b. Decisions about the services that will be provided to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities will be made in accordance with the information in this section.
- c. The school district will make the final decisions with respect to the services to be provided to eligible parentally-placed private school students with disabilities.

#### 13. Services plan for each student served

- a. If a student with a disability is enrolled in a religious or other private school by the student's parents and will receive special education or related services from the school district, the school district will initiate and conduct meetings to develop, review, and revise a services plan for the student and ensure that a representative of the religious or other private school attends each meeting. If the representative cannot attend, the school district will use other methods to ensure participation by the religious or other private school, including individual or conference telephone calls.
- b. Each parentally-placed private school student with a disability who has been designated by the school district to receive services will have a services plan that describes the specific direct special education services that the school district will provide to the student in light of the services that the school district has determined it will make available to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities.

- c. The services plan will be developed, reviewed, and revised consistent with the requirements for IEP development, review, and revision.

#### 14. Equitable services provided

- a. The provision of equitable services will be by employees of the school district or through contract by the school district with an individual, association, agency, organization, or other entity.
- b. The services provided to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities will be provided by personnel meeting the same standards as personnel providing services in the public schools, except that private elementary and secondary school teachers who are providing equitable services to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities do not have to meet the highly qualified special education teacher requirements under Florida law.
- c. Parentally-placed private school students with disabilities may receive a different amount of services than students with disabilities in public schools.
- d. Special education and related services provided to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities, including materials and equipment, will be secular, neutral, and non-ideological.

#### 15. Location of services and transportation

- a. Equitable services to parentally-placed private school students with disabilities may be, but are not required to be, provided on the premises of private, including religious, schools.
- b. If necessary for the student to benefit from or participate in the services, a parentally-placed private school student with a disability will be provided transportation from the student's school or the student's home to a site other than the private school and from the service site to the private school, or to the student's home, depending on the timing of the services.
- c. The school district is not required to provide transportation from the student's home to the private school.
- d. The cost of any transportation provided under this section may be included in calculating whether the school district has expended its proportionate share.

#### 16. Due process hearings and procedural safeguards

- a. Except as provided herein, the procedures related to procedural safeguards, mediation, and due process hearings do not apply to complaints that the school district has failed to meet the requirements in this section, including the provision of services indicated on the student's services plan. However, such procedures do apply to complaints that the school district has failed to meet the requirements related to child find, including the requirements related to conducting appropriate evaluations of students with disabilities.
- b. Any request for a due process hearing regarding the child find requirements will be filed with the school district in which the private school is located and a copy will be forwarded to FDOE.

#### 17. State complaints

- a. Any complaint that the school district has failed to meet the requirements related to the provision of equitable services, services plans, expenditures, consultation with private school representatives, personnel, or equipment and supplies will be filed in accordance with the state complaint procedures described in rules 6A-6.03011 through 6A-6.0361, F.A.C.
- b. A complaint filed by a private school official under this section will be filed with FDOE in accordance with its state complaint procedures as prescribed in Rule 6A-6.03311, F.A.C.

#### 18. Requirement that funds not benefit a private school

- a. The school district will not use funds provided under IDEA to finance the existing level of instruction in a private school or to otherwise benefit the private school.
- b. The school district will use funds provided under Part B of IDEA to meet the special education and related services needs of parentally-placed private school students with disabilities, but not for the needs of a private school or the general needs of

the students enrolled in the private school.

19. Use of personnel

- a. The school district may use funds available under IDEA to make public school personnel available in other than public facilities to the extent necessary to provide equitable services for parentally-placed private school students with disabilities if those services are not normally provided by the private school.
- b. The school district may use funds available under IDEA to pay for the services of an employee of a private school to provide equitable services if the employee performs the services outside of his or her regular hours of duty and the employee performs the services under public supervision and control.

20. Separate classes prohibited

The school district will not use funds available under IDEA for classes that are organized separately on the basis of school enrollment or religion of the students if the classes are at the same site and the classes include students enrolled in public schools and students enrolled in private schools.

21. Property, equipment, and supplies

- a. The school district will control and administer the funds used to provide special education and related services and hold title to and administer materials, equipment, and property purchased with those funds for the uses and purposes provided in this section.
- b. The school district may place equipment and supplies in a private school for the period of time needed for the provision of equitable services.
- c. The school district will ensure that the equipment and supplies placed in a private school are used only for IDEA purposes and can be removed from the private school without remodeling the private school facility.
- d. The school district will remove equipment and supplies from a private school if the equipment and supplies are no longer needed for IDEA purposes or removal is necessary to avoid unauthorized use of the equipment and supplies for other than IDEA purposes.
- e. No funds under IDEA will be used for repairs, minor remodeling, or construction of private school facilities.

## Section B: John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program

### Statutory and Regulatory Citations

Sections 1002.01, 1002.39, 1002.43 1002.66 and 1003.21, F.S.

### Definition

The John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program provides the option for students with an IEP or an accommodation plan issued under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act (excluding a temporary accommodation plan which is valid six months or less) to attend a public school other than the one to which the student is assigned or to receive a scholarship to a participating private school of choice.

### Eligibility Criteria

1. The parent of a student with a disability may request and receive from the state a McKay Scholarship for the student to enroll in and attend a private school if:
  - a. The student has received specialized instructional services under the Voluntary Prekindergarten Education Program during the previous school year and the student has a current IEP developed by the local school board in accordance with rules of the State Board of Education for the John M. McKay Scholarships for Students with Disabilities Program or a 504 accommodation plan has been issued
  - b. The student has spent the prior school year in attendance at a Florida public school or the Florida School for the Deaf and the Blind (FSDB). Prior school year in attendance means that the student was enrolled and reported by one of the following:
    - A school district for funding during the preceding October and February Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) surveys in kindergarten through Grade 12, which shall include time spent in a Department of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) commitment program if funded under the FEFP
    - The FSDB during the preceding October and February student membership surveys in kindergarten through Grade 12
    - A school district for funding during the preceding October and February FEFP surveys, was at least four years old when so enrolled and reported, and was eligible for services under s. 1003.21, F.S.

**Note:** A dependent child of a member of the United States Armed Forces who transfers to a school in this state from out of state or from a foreign country pursuant to a parent's permanent change of station orders is exempt from the previous requirements but must meet all other eligibility requirements to participate in the program.

Additionally a foster child is exempt from the previous requirements but must meet all other eligibility requirements to participate in the program.

- c. The parent has obtained acceptance for admission of the student to a private school that is eligible for the program and has requested a scholarship from the department at least 60 days prior to the date of the first scholarship payment. The request must be through a communication directly to the FDOE in a manner that creates a written or electronic record of the request and the date of receipt of the request. FDOE must notify the district of the parent's intent upon receipt of the parent's request.
2. A student is not eligible for a John M. McKay Scholarship while:
  - a. Enrolled in a school operating for the purpose of providing educational services to youth in DJJ commitment programs;
  - b. Receiving an educational scholarship in accordance with Chapter 1002, F.S.;
  - c. Participating in a home education program as defined in s. 1002.01, F.S.;
  - d. Participating in a virtual school, correspondence school, or distance learning program that receives state funding pursuant to the student's participation, unless the participation is limited to no more than two courses per school year;

- e. Participating in a private tutoring program in accordance with s. 1002.43, F.S.;
- f. Enrolled in the FSDB; or
- g. Not having regular and direct contact with his or her private school teachers at the school's physical location, unless that student is participating under the Transition to Work Program within the private school.

## Procedures

1. The amount of the scholarship is calculated based on the student's matrix of services document or the amount of the private school's tuition and fees, whichever is less.
2. For purposes of continuity of educational choice, a John M. McKay Scholarship shall remain in force until the student returns to a public school, graduates from high school, or reaches the age of 22, whichever occurs first.
3. A scholarship student who enrolls in a public school or public school program is considered to have returned to a public school for the purpose of determining the end of the scholarship's term. However, if a student enters a DJJ detention center for a period of no more than 21 days, the student is not considered to have returned to a public school for that purpose.
4. Upon reasonable notice to the FDOE and the school district, the student's parent may remove the student from the private school and place the student in a public school.
5. Upon reasonable notice to the FDOE, the student's parent may move the student from one participating private school to another participating private school.

## School District Obligations

1. Notifying parents of students with disabilities about the scholarship program by April 1 of each year and within 10 days after an IEP team meeting or a 504 accommodation plan is issued.
2. Informing parents of the availability of the Information Hotline and School Choice website.
3. Offering parents an opportunity to enroll their student in another public school within their district.
4. Keeping all district contact information up-to-date with the FDOE, which can be done through the <http://www.floridaschoolchoice.org> website when logged in as a district administrator.
5. Submitting an annual Parental Notification Verification Form to the FDOE in the spring of each school year.
6. Notifying parents, within 10 days of filing intent, if a matrix has not been completed, and informing parents that the required matrix completion date is 30 days after a filed intent.
7. For a student with a disability who does not have a matrix of services, completing a matrix of services within 30 days of the parent's filed intent date that assigns the student to one of the three levels of service.  
**Note:** Changes in a matrix of services document may be made only for a technical, typographical, or calculation error.
8. Providing locations and times, if requested, for any McKay Scholarship student attending a private school within the district to take statewide assessment exams.
9. Providing reevaluation notifications to parents of scholarship students at least once every three years.
10. Confirming that scholarship students have not enrolled in public school by completing the District Enrollment Verification files quarterly prior to scholarship.
11. Reporting students who receive McKay Scholarship funding as **3518**, which designates them as McKay private school students on the full-time equivalent (FTE) survey.
12. Notifying the FDOE if a student enrolls in public school, is registered as a home education student, or is committed to a DJJ commitment program for more than 21 days.
13. Providing transportation to the public school if the parent's choice is consistent with the district's school choice plan.



14. Accepting a McKay student from an adjacent district if there is space available and there is a program with the services agreed to in the individual educational plan or 504 accommodation plan that is already in place.

## Section C: Gardiner Scholarship Program

### Statutory and Regulatory Citation

Chapter 1005, Part III, F.S

Sections 393.063, 393.069, 456.001, 1002.01, 1002.21, 1002.385, 1002.395, 1002.66 and 1005.02, F.S.

### Definition

The Gardiner Scholarship provides the option for a parent to better meet the individual educational needs of an eligible child.

- The following are defined as they relate to the Gardiner Scholarship:
  - "Approved provider" means a provider who has been approved by the Agency for Persons with Disabilities, a health care practitioner pursuant to s. 456.001(4), F.S., or a provider approved by the department pursuant to s. 1002.66, F.S.
  - "Curriculum" means a complete course of study for a particular content area or grade-level, which includes any required supplemental materials.
  - "Department" means the FDOE.
  - "Disability" means, a three- or four- year old child or for a student in kindergarten to Grade 12, and any of the following:
    - Autism spectrum disorder, as defined in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition, published by the American Psychiatric Association, as defined in s. 393.069, F.S.;
    - Cerebral Palsy, as defined in 393.063, F.S.;
    - Down Syndrome, as defined in s.393.063, F.S.;
    - Intellectual Disability, as defined in s.393.063, F.S.;
    - Prader-Willi syndrome, as defined in s. 393.063 , F.S.;
    - Spina bifida, as defined in s. 393.063, F.S.;
    - For a student in kindergarten, being a high-risk child, as defined in 393.063, F.S.;
    - Muscular dystrophy;
    - Williams Syndrome;
    - Rare diseases which affect patient populations of fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States, as defined by the National Organization for Rare Disorders;
    - Anaphylaxis;
    - Deaf;
    - Visually impaired;
    - Traumatic brain injured;
    - Hospital or homebound as defined by Rule 6A-6.03020, F.A.C.; or
    - Identification as having a dual sensory impairment according to Rule 6A-6.03022, F.A.C., and evidenced by reports from the local school district.
  - "Eligible" to receive a Gardiner Scholarship means that the student:
    - Is a resident of this state;

- Is or will be three or four years on or before September 1 of the year in which the student applies for program participation, or is eligible to enroll in kindergarten through Grade 12 in a public school in the state;
- Is the subject of an IEP written in accordance with rules of the State Board of Education or has received a diagnosis of a disability as defined below from a physician who is licensed under Chapter 458 or Chapter 459 or a psychologist who is licensed in this state.
- Has a disability as defined above.
- "Eligible nonprofit scholarship-funding organization" as defined in 1002.395, F.S.
- "Eligible postsecondary institution" means any of the following:
  - Florida College System institution;
  - State university;
  - School district technical center;
  - School district adult general education center; or
  - An accredited nonpublic postsecondary educational institution, as defined in s. 1005.02, F.S., that is licensed to operate in the state pursuant to requirements specified in Part III of Chapter 1005, F.S.
- "Eligible private school" means a private school, pursuant to s. 1002.01, F.S. that is located in Florida and offers an education to students in any grade from kindergarten to Grade 12.
- "IEP" means an individual education plan.
- "Inactive" means that no eligible expenditures have been made from an account funded by the Gardiner Scholarship.
- "Parent" means a resident of this state who is a parent, as defined in s. 1002.21, F.S.
- "Program" means the Gardiner Scholarship Program.

### **School District's Obligations and Parental Options**

- Include the following:
  - The school district shall notify a parent who has made a request for an IEP that the district is required to complete the IEP and matrix of services within 30 days after receiving notice of the parent's request;
  - The school district shall conduct a meeting and develop an IEP and a matrix of services within 30 days after receiving notice of the parent's request in accordance with State Board of Education rules;
  - Provide for state assessments to students, upon parental request.

### **Part V. Appendices**

## Appendix A: General Policies and Procedures

One of the following must be selected:

### Procedural Safeguards for Students with Disabilities:

- ☒ The Florida Department of Education's Notice of Procedural Safeguards for Parents of Students with Disabilities, as posted on the department's website.
- ☐ A different notice of procedural safeguards for parents of students with disabilities, included as an attachment.

One of the following must be selected:

### Procedural Safeguards for Students Who Are Gifted:

- ☒ The Florida Department of Education's Procedural Safeguards for Exceptional Students Who Are Gifted, as posted on the department's website.
- ☐ A different notice of procedural safeguards for parents of students who are gifted, included as an attachment.
- ☐ This requirement is not applicable for the Department of Corrections.

## Appendix B: Unique Philosophical, Curricular, or Instructional Considerations

The school district has included as an attachment additional information related to evaluations; qualified evaluators; or philosophical, curricular, or instructional considerations for the exceptionalities identified below:

### II.B.1 Autism Spectrum Disorder

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☒ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

### II.B.2 Deaf or Hard-of-Hearing

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

### II.B.3 Developmentally Delayed

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

### II.B.4 Dual-Sensory Impaired

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

### II.B.5 Emotional or Behavioral Disabilities

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

### II.B.6 Established Conditions

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

### II.B.7 Homebound or Hospitalized

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

### II.B.8 Intellectual Disabilities

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.9 Orthopedic Impairment**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.10 Other Health Impairment**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.11 Traumatic Brain Injury**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.12 Specific Learning Disabilities**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.13 Speech Impairments**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.14 Language Impairments**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☒ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.15 Visually Impaired**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.16 Related Services – Occupational Therapy**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.B.17 Related Services – Physical Therapy**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**II.C. Individual Educational Plans (IEPs)****II.F. Prekindergarten Children with Disabilities**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

**III.A. Gifted**

- ☐ Evaluations
- ☐ Qualified Evaluators
- ☐ Unique Philosophical, Curricular or Instructional Considerations

## Appendix C: District Plan to Increase the Participation of Underrepresented Students in the Program for Students who are Gifted

☒ This section is not applicable for the district.

### Current Status

Provide the following data:

#### Total Student Population

#### Total Number of Students

|

#### Total Number of Gifted Students

|

#### Percent of All Gifted Students

|

**Limited English Proficient (Limited English proficient students are those who are coded as "LY," "LN," "LP," or "LF")**

#### Number of LEP Students

|

#### Number of LEP Gifted Students

|

#### Percent of LEP Gifted Students

|

#### Percentage of LEP Gifted Compared to Total Number of Gifted Students

|

\*In order to calculate the "Percentage of LEP Gifted Compared to Total Number of Gifted Students", divide the number of LEP gifted students by the total number of gifted students in the district and move the decimal point two numbers to the right.

### Low Socio-Economic Status (SES) Family

#### Number of Low SES Students

|

#### Number of Low SES Gifted Students

|

#### Percent of Low SES Gifted Students

|



## Percentage of Low SES Gifted Compared to Total Number of Gifted Students

\*In order to calculate the "Percentage of Low SES Gifted Compared to Total Number of Gifted Students", divide the number of Low SES gifted students by the total number of gifted students in the district and move the decimal point two numbers to the right.

\*\* Percentage of students who are gifted equals the number of students who are gifted within a category divided by the total number of students within that category

### District Goal

**Provide the district's goal to increase the participation of students from underrepresented groups in programs for students who are gifted, including the targeted category(ies).**

#### 1. Screening and Referral Procedures

- o A description of the screening and referral procedures that will be used to increase the number of students referred for evaluation

#### 2. Student Evaluation Procedures

- o A description of the evaluation procedures and measurement instruments that will be used

#### 3. Eligibility Criteria

- o A description of the criteria, based on the student's demonstrated ability or potential in the specific areas of leadership, motivation, academic performance, and creativity, that will be applied to determine the student's eligibility; if a matrix is used when determining eligibility, a copy is included as an attachment

#### 4. Instructional Program Modifications or Adaptations

- o A description of the instructional program modifications or adaptations that will be implemented to ensure successful and continued participation of students from underrepresented groups in the existing instructional program for students who are gifted

#### 5. District Evaluation Plan

- o A description of the district's plan used to evaluate its progress toward increasing participation by students from underrepresented groups in the program for students who are gifted

## Appendix D: District Policies Regarding the Allowable Use or Prohibition of Physical Restraint and Seclusion

☒ This section is not applicable for the district.

Select from the following:

- ☐ The school district's policy regarding the allowable use or prohibition of physical restraint of students with disabilities is included as an attachment.
- ☐ The school district's policy regarding the allowable use or prohibition of seclusion of students with disabilities is included as an attachment.

## Appendix E: Policies and Procedures Unique to Developmental Research (Laboratory) Schools

- ☒ This section is not applicable for the district.

Section 1002.32, Florida Statutes (F.S.), establishes the category of public schools known as developmental research (laboratory) schools (lab schools). In accordance with s. 1002.32(3), F.S., "The mission of a lab school shall be the provision of a vehicle for the conduct of research, demonstration, and evaluation regarding management, teaching, and learning." Each lab school shall emphasize mathematics, science, computer science, and foreign languages. The primary goal of a lab school is to enhance instruction and research in such specialized subjects by using the resources available on a state university campus, while also providing an education in nonspecialized subjects. The exceptional education programs offered shall be determined by the research and evaluation goals and the availability of students for efficiently sized programs (s. 1002.32(3)(e), F.S.).

**Describe the exceptional education services available within the lab school:**

## Appendix F: Best Practices in Inclusive Education (BPIE) Assessment

Section 1003.57(1)(f), Florida Statutes, establishes the following requirement for school districts, "Once every three years, each school district and school shall complete a Best Practices in Inclusive Education (BPIE) assessment with a Florida Inclusion Network facilitator and include the results of the BPIE assessment and all planned short-term and long-term improvement efforts in the school district's exceptional student education policies and procedures. BPIE is an internal assessment process designed to facilitate the analysis, implementation, and improvement of inclusive educational practices at the district and school team levels."

- ☒ The district's completed BPIE Indicator Rating Tally Sheet is attached.
- ☒ The district's plan to address the prioritized BPIE Indicators is attached.

## **Appendix G: District Procedures During a Declared State of Emergency**

**In the box below, please provide a narrative response describing your district's procedures during a declared state of emergency impacting the provision of special instruction and services for exceptional students.**

This MTSS evaluation method and re-entry into schools would be based upon following components: Multiple tiers of instruction, intervention, and support - ESE service providers in the Tiered II and III intervention process to ensure fidelity with interventions as well as collaboration with school counselors for awareness of evaluation exclusionary factors (Attendance, ELL, Intellectual Disability, Mobility, Hearing and Vision); 1. Evaluate potential trauma that students, families, and staff may have experienced -Regression, academically and behaviorally -Nightmares, sleeping problems, and for older students -Worry about the future -Consider loss of loved ones -Financial hardships -Suffer child abuse 2. Data evaluation - School Psychologists and ESE program evaluators will resume initial evaluations —60 Day timeline that was extended by Commissioner of Education and Florida Governor; School Counselors, School Psychologists, and ESE District Staff can assist with the MTSS process to determine students demonstrating regression/loss of key academic concepts, possibly due to lack of internet access or just unable to learn as well as with face-to-face instruction 3. Interpretation of data to determine student progress and action steps 4. Communication and collaboration - Teamwork focused on building relationships and using data to improve those relationships; District ESE Staff will work collaboratively to assist schools meet the needs of all students 5. Capacity building infrastructure -Professional development and coaching along with written plans – Resume the Mental Health and First Aid training that was initiated in 19-20 school year; Assist in the training of FOCUS for ESE District Staff, Administrators, ESE Teachers, School Counselors; Provide virtual training and support for schools, parents, and community stakeholders 6. Leadership - Active involvement and administration of practices – Visit school sites to verify needs of the staff and school families; Serve on Re-entry Task Forces to assist schools develop plans Specialized instruction and related services will be delivered as practicable as possible through a combination of published assignments, recorded and live lessons. Students will be provided with assignments, through the virtual platform, that address specially designed instruction and if applicable, related services. It is the priority of the Santa Rosa County ESE Department to promote the least complicated path in providing students with instruction during this time. This is accomplished through providing resources that are easy to use and access to meet the needs of students. Families will have options to include digital and/or paper-based resources.

**\*\*Teams through Office 365 will be utilized for instruction to communicate, assign instructional lessons, provide curricula access and educational resources; Teams should include collaborative teachers as well as service providers (support facilitators, Speech Language Pathologists, Occupational Therapists, Physical Therapists, Adaptive PE, Program Facilitators if applicable, ESE Liaisons, Interpreters, Vision and Deaf and Hard of Hearing Teachers). \*\* All instructional activities (web learning, written assignments, on-line therapies) should be ready for implementation as soon as directed by SRC Instructional Division. \*\* Documentation of parents' access to assignments should be maintained in a logbook, gradebook, Focus SIS, teacher planbook, etc. \*\* District Teachers on Special Assignment (TSAs) can establish frequent communication with teachers in their programs, offer collaborative support for students, develop on-line instructional support as well as virtual coaching for teachers participating in their programs. Specific Plan for Students with Disabilities: - A specific plan divided by programs and services was developed and is attached - Training Sessions were held for Pre-K, Elementary, Middle and High School Teachers to discuss the details of the plan, the role of the ESE teachers to support District Remote Learning Plan, as well as Virtual Platform for the facilitation of IEPs - Parents were contacted to verify their preference of meeting (phone, TEAMS/ZOOM), as well as documentation of attendance - Child Find procedures are also recorded in school closures memorandum to inform parents that the evaluation process would continue during the remote learning environment - Parents received a notification that the Memorandum for Santa Rosa County School District ESE Provision of Services was posted on District Distance Learning Plan Section of District Site as well as embedded in the ESE Site Re-Entry Remediation Plan for Students with ESE Services: Students were identified through Progress Monitoring Assessments to determine who met the criteria for Summer School through CARES ACT (K-5) - Parents were notified if their student fell below proficiency as performance documented from January 2020 District-Wide Assessments -The summer school program was established for those identified students who had not demonstrated proficiency on most recent assessments in the areas of mathematics and English Language Arts, were determined behind grade level, as well as did not do as well in the quarantine environment. Students had support services during summer school to ensure accommodations are provided with fidelity (small group instruction, Deaf/Hard of Hearing/Vision Services, Behavior Technicians, collaborative teaching models) MTSS Approach for Remediation: - District will provide a Tier I approach for all students to determine potential gaps in the areas of core content areas - Diagnostic Assessments will be given to all students to determine individual needs - Tier 2 interventions will be provided for students demonstrating academic gaps and will have supplemental curriculum to assist with meeting those identified needs Tier 3 - Students with ESE Services - who have not demonstrated proficiency as determined through diagnostics and supplemental curriculum, IEP team will meet to review the data and discuss need to initiate ESY services throughout the school year. Protect Students, Staff, and Families with Medical Vulnerabilities: --A. Medically vulnerable students should develop a plan for returning to school with their family doctor. For medically vulnerable students who come to school, school health staff should develop school health comprehensive care plans in consultation with the county health department. Schools could consider accommodations on a case-by-case basis, and consider clustering these students away from other students, in smaller settings, with a teacher who is wearing a cloth face covering. B. Medically vulnerable staff should also work with their doctors to create a return-to-work plan. --Whenever feasible for medically vulnerable staff, steps should be taken to**

minimize the number of people they interact with, and districts and institutions should consider flexible leave policies. C. The same guidelines apply for students and staff who live with medically vulnerable family members. --Parents, grandparents, caregivers, etc.