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# Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Evaluation System 

## Explanation of Terms

Assessment - Measurement of student achievement.
CELLA - Comprehensive English Language Learners Assessment
Educational Support Personnel - Any Educational Support Personnel (ESP) in the school district. This includes not only school based educational support employees, but also bus drivers, maintenance employees, etc.
Effective - This is a final overall evaluation category just below "highly effective." This rating would qualify for level increase.

Florida Alternative Assessment (FAA) - This is the test used to measure learning gains with our most challenged ESE students. The results from this test will be used to measure our ESE Educational Support employees.

Florida Comprehensive Assessment Test (FCAT) - This is the major test used to measure student performance in the State of Florida core area classes. It is scheduled to be replaced by End of Course Exams by school year 2014-2015.

Highly Effective - This is the highest category on the overall teacher evaluation system. This rating would qualify the Education Support employee for level increase.
Needs Improvement - This is a final overall evaluation rating. It is above "unsatisfactory" but below "effective." It does not qualify for performance pay.
Performance Level - Refers to how a student does on the state assessment such as FCAT.
Proficiency Level (1) Student - This refers to student results on state wide assessments where learning gains are not measured. Example: FCAT Writes

Studer Group - Research group that supports the Santa Rosa School District in various ways including conducting and interpreting surveys and providing leadership training.
Tally - Refers to each recording by the administrator during evaluations with Education Support employees. The total of these tally marks is used along with the proficiency scale to determine an ESP's effectiveness.

TrueNorthLogic - This is a company the Santa Rosa School District works with to provide our evaluation system in an electronic format. This company will work with us to incorporate the student assessment data to complete Education Support evaluations.

Unsatisfactory - This is the lowest overall rating on the Education Support employee evaluation system. It does not qualify for a level increase. Any mark of Unsatisfactory on the evaluation instrument must have supporting comments to indicate ways for the employee to improve.


# Santa Rosa District Schools <br> Educational Support Evaluation System 

Adopted 2012-2013

## Overview of System

## Introduction

During school year 2012-2013, a District committee was established with the intent to construct a new Evaluation System for Educational Support employees in the Santa Rosa District School system. This revision of the evaluation system follows significant changes mandated by the Florida legislature and the Race To The Top grant in the Instructional and Administrative Evaluation systems.

We have decided to incorporate many of these changes into the new Educational Support Evaluation System.
The members of the committee are:
Kelly Allen, Assistant Principal, S.S. Dixon Primary School
Rhonda Chavers, SRPE President
Susan Crawford, Teacher on Special Assignment, Race to the Top Facilitator
Emily Donalson, Assistant Principal, Avalon Middle School
Diane Evans, Paraprofessional I, Pace High School
Diane Hardy, Internal Funds Bookkeeper, Berryhill Elementary School
Joey Harrell, Assistant Superintendent, Administrative Services
Lewis Lynn, Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources
Jeannette Miller, Teacher Assistant, Locklin Technical Center
Sandra Perdue, Paraprofessional IW (ESE), S.S. Dixon Primary
Terri Powell, Personal Assistant, Human Resources
Marianne Robey, Teacher on Special Assignment, Professional Development Specialist
Debra Sims, Curriculum Specialist (ESE), Berryhill Administrative Center
Floyd Smith, Assistant Principal, Milton High School
Pam Smith, Principal, Bennett C. Russell Elementary
The new evaluation system was developed during school year 2012-2013 to be implemented during school year 20132014. This new system will be housed in our Professional Growth System. All Educational Support employees will receive an orientation to the new evaluation system during preplanning of school year 2013-2014.

## Evaluation Overview

The Educational Support Evaluation instrument will consist of six sections: Section I - Verify Assignments, Section II -Self-Assessment, Section III - Supervisor Evaluation, Section IV -Surveys (Student and Parent), Section V - Student Performance, and Section VI - Overall Evaluation.

## Section I-Verify Assignments

In this section, the employee will verify demographic data and review the assessments assigned and confirm work location. The student performance scores for Educational Support Employees will count $10 \%$ of their total evaluation score.

PERCENT: This percentage is how much each test is worth according to the formula for your job code.
EXAM: This details the exam that will be used to determine your student performance score.
SUBJECT: This line tells what subject will be used from the exam listed.
LO: This line refers to the grade level of the exam to be used. If multiple grade levels are found in the formula of the job code, then this number will be the lowest grade level.
HI: This line refers to the grade level of the exam to be used. If multiple grade levels are found in the formula of the job code, then this number will be the highest grade level.


SCALE: The scale DP uses to figure out student performance to send to TNL.
SCORE: This is the score received on the identified exam.
WSCORE: This line will hold the final score for each exam listed.

The Educational Support employees at each work site will use the same formula of student assessments as the supervisor of that work site. These formulas will vary from one work site to another. Greater explanation of these formulas can be found in Section IV of the evaluation.

## Section II - Self-Assessment

During the first nine weeks of the school year, the Educational Support employee will complete a Self-Assessment of these 21 indicators. The Educational Support Employee's supervisor will also complete the Self-Assessment of the employee. When this Self-Assessment is complete, the employee will meet with their supervisor and review and discuss the Self-Assessment.

As part of the Self-Assessment discussion, an appraisal (face to face meeting) of this rubric should be reviewed by the supervisor and the employee.


| Evaluation Summary | HE (4.0) | E (3.0) | NI (2.0) | U (1.0) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Indicators Marked |  |  |  |  |

NUMBER OF INDICATORS MARKED: This section will show the number of tallies per rating.

## Section III - Supervisor Evaluation

The supervisor's evaluation consists of 21 indicators of employee performance. The supervisor rates the employee on each of these indicators using a 4.0 scale. 4.0 is Highly Effective, 3.0 is Effective, 2.0 is Needs Improvement, and 1.0 is Unsatisfactory. The supervisor will complete this section of the evaluation prior to the annual transfer period for Educational Support employees each school year. Any mark of Needs Improvement or Unsatisfactory on the evaluation instrument must have supporting comments to indicate ways for the employee to improve.

In order to provide consistency of evaluations across the district, a rubric has been developed for these 21 indicators. This rubric is included in this document as Attachment A.


## Section IV - Surveys (Student and Parent)

This section will include two school wide surveys - student and parent. These surveys will be conducted near the end of each school year. The school wide results from these surveys will be used on the employee's evaluation. In these surveys, the parents and the students answer questions concerning their school. In order to address the reading comprehension level of the students, the student surveys will be divided into two levels: elementary and middle high. A copy of each survey is included in Attachment B. Each survey will count 5\% of the employee's total evaluation making a total of $10 \%$ of their overall evaluation. These surveys were constructed with the help of the Studer Group. The Studer Group is also responsible for conducting the surveys and providing results. Information about the Studer Group can be found in Attachment C.

Head Start and PreK students will not complete a student survey. Employees at T.R. Jackson will count parent surveys as $10 \%$ of their evaluation. The parents of students at Santa Rosa Adult School and Locklin Technical Center will not complete surveys. Employees of these schools will count student surveys as $10 \%$ of their evaluation.

The Studer Group's research with surveys is based on a 5.0 scale: 1=Strongly Disagree, $2=$ Disagree, $3=$ Neutral, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly Agree. The Studer Group believes changing the scale would not support the research conducted on surveys. The state of Florida's evaluation system is based on a 4.0 scale: 1=Unsatisfactory, 2=Needs Improvement, $3=$ Effective, $4=$ Highly Effective. In order to use the results of the Studer surveys (student, parent, employee and principal) we will use the following conversion scale.

Studer Group School Wide Survey
4.00-5.00
2.75-3.99
2.00-2.74

Below 2.00

Results Evaluation Score
Highly Effective (4.0)
Effective (3.0)
Needs Improvement (2.0)
Unsatisfactory (1.0)

STUDENT SATISFACTION SURVEY and PARENT SATISFACTION SURVEY: These two boxes detail the current year's survey results for the school wide student and parent survey. These results are scored on the provided scale.

|  |  |  | Location ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location Name | Bennett Russell Elementary |  |  |
| Studer Score | 4.51 |  |  |
| Evaluation Score | Highly Effective |  |  |
| Score | 4 |  | Location ID |
|  |  |  |  |
| Location Name |  | Bennett Russell |  |
| Studer Score |  | 4.57 |  |
| Evaluation Score |  | 4 |  |
| Score |  |  |  |

## Section V - Student Performance

This section will count $10 \%$ of the total employee evaluation. The Educational Support employees at each work site will use the same formula of student assessments as the supervisor of that work site. These formulas will vary from one work site to another. Please see Attachment D for Departmental Organization of Educational Support Employees. In order to view the formulas used for any one Educational Support employee, please see Attachment E for a listing of assessments and percentages that are tied to each administrator.

Example: The bookkeeper at Holley Navarre Primary would use the same formula as the principal of Holley Navarre Primary. The difference would be this score will only count $10 \%$ of the Educational Support employee's evaluation.

A district maintenance worker or bus driver would use the same formula as the Assistant Superintendent for Administrative Services.


## Section VI-Overall Evaluation

This section totals the previous four sections and identifies an overall evaluation rating. To determine the overall evaluation, each section will be totaled and weighted using the assigned percentages. The total evaluation will use the following ratings to determine the overall evaluation:

| Highly Effective | $4.00-3.50$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Effective | $3.49-2.25$ |
| Needs Improvement | $2.24-1.75$ |
| Unsatisfactory | Below 1.75 |




Defaults
Many of our job titles have multiple assessments. There is a possibility some job titles could include an assessment with no students being assessed. The following is a list of defaults (school wide scores) to be used in case there are any scenarios when an educational support employee would have no scores for a particular assessment.

| Assessment | Default |
| :--- | :--- |
| Brigance-Yellow | School DEA Reading |
| VB-Mapp Pre-K | School DEA Reading |
| FAA Science | FAA Reading |
| FAA Writing | FAA Reading |
| Industry Certification | School FCAT Reading VAM Scores |
| GED | TABE |
| TABE | GED |
| ${ }^{*}$ ALL Remaining Tests | School FCAT Reading VAM Score |

The Santa Rosa District Schools will worked with TrueNorthLogic during the 2011-2012 school year to create an electronic version of this Education Support evaluation. More information concerning TrueNorthLogic is included as Attachment $\mathbf{F}$.

## Notification of Unsatisfactory Performance

If for some reason, an employee has Unsatisfactory job performance, notice must be given prior to the transfer period.

## Employment

Florida Statute 10.12.40 Educational support employees.
(1) As used in this section:
(a) "Educational support employee" means any person employed by a district school system who is employed as a teacher assistant, an education paraprofessional, a member of the transportation department, a member of the operations department, a member of the maintenance department, a member of food service, a secretary, or a clerical employee, or any other person who by virtue of his or her position of employment is not required to be certified by the Department of Education or district school board pursuant to s. 1012.39. This section does not apply to persons employed in confidential or management positions. This section applies to all employees who are not temporary or casual and whose duties require 20 or more hours in each normal working week.
(b) "Employee means any person employed as an educational support employee.
(2) (a) Each educational support employee shall be employed on probationary status for a period to be determined through the appropriate collective bargaining agreement or by district school board rule in cases where a collective bargaining agreement does not exist.
(b) Upon successful completion of the probationary period by the employee, the employee's status shall continue from year to year unless the district school superintendent terminates the employee for reasons stated in the collective bargaining agreement, or in district school board rule in cases where a collective bargaining agreement does not exist, or reduces the number of employees on a districtwide basis for financial reasons.
(c) In the event a district school superintendent seeks termination of an employee, the district school board may suspend the employee with or without pay. The employee shall receive written notice and shall have the opportunity to formally appeal the termination. The appeals process shall be determined by the appropriate collective bargaining process or by district school board rule in the event there is no collective bargaining agreement.

## Training Requirement for Administrators and Employees

Each summer prior to preplanning, all administrators will be trained on all evaluation systems. This will include training on the evaluation process including practicing marking the evaluation instruments. Educational Support employees will be trained on the evaluation system during preplanning by the school site administrator.

References used in creating this Santa Rosa District Schools Evaluation System are included as Attachment G.

## ATTACHMENTS

A. Educational Support Evaluation Rubric
B. Parent and Student Surveys
C. Studer Group Information
D. Departmental Organization of Educational Support Employees
E. Assessments/Percentages for Student Performance
F. Truenorthlogic
G. References
H. Education Support Evaluation
I. Sample Evaluation

> Attachment A Educational Support Evaluation Rubric

Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Personnel Evaluation Rubric

| Dependability |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highly Effective (4.0) | Effective (3.0) | Needs Improvement (2.0) <br> (Comments Required) | Unsatisfactory (1.0) (Comments Required) | Comments |
| Punctuality | Always arrives to work location, meeting and other assigned duties in timely manner. | Consistently arrives to work location, meetings, and other assigned duties in a timely manner. | Consistently arrives late to work location, meetings, and other assigned duties. | Consistently arrives late to work location, meetings, and other assigned duties or does not arrive as assigned. |  |
| Attendance | Attendance surpasses the effective indicator; rarely uses leave (less than 3 days used). | Exhibits pattern of reasonable attendance without using unpaid leave. | Inconsistent pattern of attendance requiring the use of unpaid leave days. | Inconsistent pattern of attendance requiring unpaid and unapproved leave. |  |
| Schedule/Work Hours | Willingly works extended hours when necessary. | Works extended hours when necessary. | Reluctantly works extended hours when necessary. | Not available to work extended hours when necessary. |  |
| Rules and Regulations | Serves as a source of reference for district, state, and federal rules and regulations, and School Board policies. | Adheres to district, state, and federal rules and regulations, and School Board policies. | Is not familiar with district, state, and federal rules and regulations, and School Board policies. | Does not adhere to district, state, and federal rules and regulations, and School Board policies. |  |
| Competence and Judgment | Has outstanding analytical ability and judgment. Can be depended upon in all situations. | Displays sound judgment and does not require direction. | Normally uses good judgment but not on a consistent basis; requires some direction. | Lacks good judgment; requires considerable direction. |  |

Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Personnel Evaluation Rubric

| Quality/Quantity of Work |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Highly Effective <br> (4.0) | Effective <br> (3.0) | Needs Improvement <br> (2.0) <br> (Comments Required) | Unsatisfactory <br> (1.0) <br> (Comments Required) | Comments |  |
| Safe Work <br> Habits | Exercises safe working <br> habits and sets the <br> example for others. | Exercises safe working <br> habits. | Inconsistent in exercising <br> safe working habits. | Does not adhere to safe <br> working habits. |  |  |
| Accuracy | Performs tasks with <br> accuracy, neatness, and <br> thoroughness serving as a <br> resource for peers. | Performs tasks with <br> accuracy, neatness <br> and thoroughness. | Inconsistently performs tasks <br> with accuracy, neatness, and <br> thoroughness. | Work product lacks accuracy, <br> neatness, or thoroughness. |  |  |
| Responsibility | Consistently handles <br> designated level of <br> responsibility in an <br> appropriate and effective <br> manner. | Usually handles <br> designated level of <br> responsibility in an <br> appropriate and <br> effective manner. | Inconsistently handles <br> designated level of <br> responsibility. | Does not handle designated <br> level of responsibility in an <br> appropriate and effective <br> manner. |  |  |
| Time <br> Management | Always achieves <br> established priorities and <br> meets objectives and <br> deadlines. | Maintains efficient <br> use of time and <br> materials. | Inconsistent in use of time <br> and occasionally misses <br> deadlines. | Unable to coordinate or <br> organize workflow resulting <br> in consistently missing <br> deadlines. |  |  |

Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Personnel Evaluation Rubric

| Initiative |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highly Effective (4.0) | Effective (3.0) | Needs Improvement (2.0) <br> (Comments Required) | Unsatisfactory (1.0) (Comments Required) | Comments |
| Professional Growth and Knowledge | Continuously searches out opportunities to expand knowledge, skills, and abilities related to job responsibilities. Completes all required district in-service | Maintains mastery of new techniques and knowledge related to job responsibilities. Completes all required district in-service. | Fails to complete required district inservice in a timely manner and shows little interest in maintaining mastery of new techniques and knowledge related to job responsibilities. | Does not complete district in-service and is not aware of new techniques and knowledge related to job responsibilities. |  |
| Resourcefulness | Can always be relied on to take action on own judgment. Never needs to be prompted to act. | Takes action; is selfmotivated. | Occasionally acts on own judgment but frequently needs to be told to do so; needs reassurance. | Consistently waits for things to happen. Needs to be told what action is necessary. |  |
| Suggestions for Improvement | Pursues new ideas and resources and takes the lead in implementation. | Is open to new ideas and willing to implement new programs or strategies. | Is resistant to new ideas and slow to implement new programs or strategies. | Unwilling to implement new programs or strategies. |  |

Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Personnel Evaluation Rubric

| Interpersonal/Attitude |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highly Effective (4.0) | Effective (3.0) | Needs Improvement (2.0) <br> (Comments Required) | Unsatisfactory (1.0) (Comments Required) | Comments |
| Considerate | Consistently maintains a positive work relationship with staff members. | Is pleasant, courteous, and respects the rights of others. | Inconsistently maintains a positive working relationship with staff members. | Does not have a positive working relationship with staff members. |  |
| Communication with Supervisor | Consistently provides supervisor with accurate information in a timely and objective manner. | Provides supervisor with accurate information in a timely and objective manner. | Inconsistently provides supervisor with accurate information in a timely and objective manner. | Does not provide supervisor with accurate information in a timely and objective manner. |  |
| Handles Conflict | Group motivating; is a leader in establishing a positive attitude and resolving conflict | Maintains a positive attitude and works to resolve conflict | Inconsistently maintains a positive attitude and sometimes works to resolve conflict | Does not have a positive attitude and does not work to resolve conflict. |  |
| Self-Control | Is a role model for peers in personal conduct within the work environment | Maintains self-control within the work environment | Occasionally demonstrates a lack of self-control in the work environment | Does not demonstrate self-control in the work environment |  |
| Respect | Consistently interacts with supervisors in a respectful manner. | Interacts with supervisors in a respectful manner. | Inconsistently interacts with supervisors in a respectful manner. | Does not interact with supervisors in a respectful manner. |  |

Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Personnel Evaluation Rubric

| Personal |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Highly Effective (4.0) | Effective (3.0) | Needs Improvement (2.0) <br> (Comments Required) | Unsatisfactory (1.0) (Comments Required) | Comments |
| Dress | Consistently dresses appropriately for assigned responsibilities. | Dresses appropriately for assigned responsibilities. | Inconsistently dresses appropriately for assigned responsibilities. | Does not dress appropriately for assigned responsibilities. |  |
| Personal Habits | Consistently exhibits good personal habits. | Exhibits good personal habits. | Inconsistently exhibits good personal habits. | Does not exhibit good personal habits. |  |
| Personal Business | Personal business and affairs never interfere with job responsibilities | Personal business and affairs rarely interfere with job responsibilities. | Personal business and affairs interfere with job responsibilities. | Personal business and affairs consistently interfere with job responsibilities. |  |
| Accountability | Always accepts accountability for own actions. | Accepts accountability for own actions. | Generally accepts accountability for own actions. | Seldom accepts accountability for own actions. |  |

# Attachment B <br> Parent and Student Surveys 

## Santa Rosa District Schools

Student Surveys - Elementary School

This survey will count as $5 \%$ of the annual evaluation of educational support employees in this school.

Respond to each of the following statements using the following scale:
Strongly Agree (5)
Agree (4)
Neutral (3)
Disagree (2)
Strongly Disagree (1)


|  |  | Strongly <br> Agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly <br> Disagree |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Learning is fun at this school. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 2. | I like going to this school each day. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 3. | I enjoy art, music and P.E. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | The adults in the room care about me. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | I feel safe at this school. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 6. | The school rules are fair. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7. | My school is clean. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 8. | My principal is a good leader. | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |



## Santa Rosa District Schools

Student Surveys - Middle and High School

This survey will count as $5 \%$ of the annual evaluation of educational support employees in this school.

Respond to each of the following statements using the following scale:
Strongly Agree (5)
Agree (4)
Neutral (3)
Disagree (2)
Strongly Disagree (1)

1. My learning is important at my school.
2. School rules/disciplines plans are enforced consistently at my school.
3. I regularly receive feedback from school staff about my academic progress.
4. My family is treated with respect at my school.
5. I have opportunities to be successful at my school.
6. Special area classes such as art, music and P.E. add to my school experience.
7. I would recommend my school to others.
8. I feel safe at school.
9. I am recognized for good work and behavior at my school.
10. My school is clean and well maintained.
11. The teachers, staff, and administrators at my school demonstrate genuine concern for students.
12. The principal at my school is an effective leader.


## Santa Rosa District Schools <br> Parent Surveys

This survey will count as $5 \%$ of the annual evaluation of educational support employees in this school.
Respond to each of the following statements using the following scale:
Strongly Agree (5)
Agree (4)
Neutral (3)
Disagree (2)
Strongly Disagree (1)

1. My child's learning is a high priority at this school.
2. School rules/discipline plans are enforced consistently at this school.
3. I regularly receive feedback from school staff on how well my child is learning.
4. My family is treated with respect at this school.
5. My child has every opportunity to be successful at this school.
6. My child has the necessary classroom supplies and equipment for effective learning.
7. I would recommend this school to other parents.
8. This school provides a safe environment for my child to learn.
9. My child is recognized for good work and behavior at this school.
10. The school is clean and well maintained.
11. The teachers, staff, and administration at this school demonstrate a genuine concern for my child.
12. I receive positive phone calls or notes about my child from the school.
13. I am proud to say I have a student at this school.
14. The principal at this school is an effective leader.
15. The principal at this school is approachable and reachable.
16. The Superintendent of the Santa Rosa District Schools is an effective leader.
17. The Superintendent of Santa Rosa District Schools makes decisions that are in the best interest of children of the School District.
18. The school provides materials and training to help parents and guardians work with their children to improve their children's achievement.
19. I receive information about the quality of my child's teachers.
20. I receive information about my child's academic progress in school (report cards, FCAT results, progress reports, etc.)
21. I feel the special areas (art, music, and P.E.) available at my child's school help make my child's educational experience more well-rounded.
22. I receive information about the progress of my child's school (the yearly progress review including grade and Adequate Yearly Progress report, etc.).
23. I receive information about the school's parent/guardian involvement policy.
24. Information in the Santa Rosa District Schools Family Guide helps me understand my child's school work.
25. I have opportunities for involvement at my child's school.

## Elementary Schools and King Middle School only.

26. Signing a parent/guardian "compact" (agreement) helps me understand the responsibility that I accept as a parent/guardian to help educate my child.

## Open Ended Questions

1. What is working well at your child's school?
2. Is there anything that could be improved at your child's school?
3. Is there anyone at your child's school that you want to recognize for good work?

## Attachment C

 Studer Group Information
## Studer Group/EducatorReady

The Studer Group Education Division called EducatorReady is focused on assisting school district to achieve leadership and teaching excellence. The leaders, Dr. Robin Largue and Dr. Janet Pilcher, have spent their lifetimes researching, leading and developing leaders and teachers. In the past several years they have been piloting Evidence-Based Leadership in several school districts in the United States. Their work is affiliated with the Studer Group, a 2010 Malcolm Baldrige Award recipient. From the research they have gathered with teachers and leaders they have created proven practices with districts that align to Race to the Top Guidelines on developing effective leaders and teachers. A summary of their actions is provided below which has led to increased student engagement and achievement, improved employee engagement, and higher parent satisfaction. Because their work has made improvements in school districts, leaders and teachers have become more energized and results-driven. Their work focuses on schools becoming great places for students to learn, employees to work and parents to send their children to school.

The following summary provides the areas of work by Studer Group/EducatorReady.

| educatorready.com affiliated with Studer Group |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Leader Evaluation | Superintendents have implemented a measurable and aligned leader evaluation system for themselves, their senior team, district leaders, principals/assistant principals, and managers focusing on four major pillars of excellence: <br> - Quality - student achievement <br> - People - employee engagement <br> - Service - parent satisfaction with schools and leader satisfaction with district services provided <br> - Finance - financial efficiency ratio (cost reduction \& savings) |
| Teacher Evaluation | We have worked with school districts by using a report from a focus group study we implemented with high performing teachers to work with their teacher groups on creating teacher evaluations. The report is free to districts. The teacher evaluations include: <br> - Quality - student achievement <br> - People - student engagement <br> - Service - parent satisfaction <br> - Growth - competency demonstration aligned to Danielson's Framework |
| Leader Development | We offer districts online and face to face training in the following areas as a way to assist them with achieving goals and measures for student achievement, employee engagement and parent satisfaction: <br> - Professional Conversations: Making Rounds on Employees <br> - Professional Conversations: Recognizing the Five ALWAYS Teaching Actions <br> - Professional Conversations: Having High, Developing and Low Conversations with Employees <br> - Professional Conversations: Gaining Employee Input From Survey Results <br> - Professional Conversations: Answering Tough Questions <br> - Recognizing and Rewarding Employees <br> - Running Effective Meetings <br> - Keeping Employees Informed <br> - Keeping Parents Informed |
| Teacher Development | We offer districts online and face to face training in the following areas as a way to assist Teachers to engage students in the learning environment to achieve. <br> - PLC 1: Foundations of Five ALWAYS Teaching Actions <br> - PLC 2: Classroom Rules and Procedures <br> - PLC 3: Learning Targets (Targets and Tasks) <br> - PLC 4: Practice and Feedback (Formative Assessment) <br> - PLC 5: Summative Assessments (Grades) <br> - PLC 6: Planning for Students to Achieve |


| Measurement | We offer three tools to measure progress and outcomes that include an important roll out process for leaders to use to share the information with employees, parents and students and to gain their input to build excellence in the school district. <br> - Employee Engagement - the extent to which employees believe leaders do a good job creating an engaging work environment <br> - Parent Satisfaction - the extent to which parents are satisfied with their child's learning environment and school <br> - Student Engagement - the extent to which students believe teachers care about their learning and create environments that provide them with opportunities to succeed. |
| :---: | :---: |

> Attachment D Departmental Organization of Educational Support Employees

## DEPARTMENTAL ORGANIZATION OF EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT EMPLOYEES

## School Based Educational Support Employees

All School Board Educational Support Employees will be evaluated using the same student assessment formula as the Principal of their school. This formula totals $50 \%$ of the Administrative Evaluation and $10 \%$ of the Educational Support Employee Evaluation. The final total (4.0, 3.0, 2.0 or 1.0) is what will be used on the Educational Support Employee Evaluation for this section. Job titles that will be included in this area are: Secretaries, Bookkeepers, Clerical Data, Paraprofessionals, Teacher Assistants, and School Helpers.

## District Level Educational Support Employees

## Administrative Services/Finance/Human Resources

All employees in these three departments will be evaluated using the same student assessment formulas as the Assistant Superintendent for that department. This formula totals $50 \%$ of the Assistant Superintendent Evaluation and 10\% of the Educational Support Employee Evaluation. The final total (4.0, 3.0, 2.0 or 1.0) is what will be used on the Educational Support Employee Evaluation for this section. Examples of job titles that will be included, but not limited to: Secretaries, Bookkeepers, Clerical Data, Paraprofessionals, Certification Specialist, Personnel Assistant, Accountants, and Management Information Analyst, etc.

## Curriculum and Instruction

All employees for this department will be evaluated using the same formulas as their immediate supervisor. Example: Secretary for the Director of Elementary Schools will use the same formula as the Director of Elementary Schools. Bookkeepers or Paraprofessionals assigned to the Exceptional Student Education Department will use the same formula as the Director of Exceptional Student Education.

## Attachment E

Assessments-Percentages for Student Performance Based on Administrator Job Codes

This section refers to the job codes assigned to all administrators. Educational Support Personnel should find their administrator's job code and reference it for the Student Assessments applicable to their job.
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | continued from previous page |  | FCAT Reading (3rd) FCAT Math (3rd) | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-60\% Or Above ( 3,4 , or 5) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-50-59\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1- Below $40 \%$ Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment |  | 88 Pts. 115 Pts. 127 Pts. 150 Pts. |
|  |  |  | ```FCAT Reading (4) & 5 (h) FCAT Math (4 & & 5 (t)``` | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & 6 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number (,+- ) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (,-- ) |  |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Writing (4) | 3\% | 4-80\% or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment 1-Below $60 \%$ Proficiency |  |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Science ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ) | 3\% | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1- Below $40 \%$ Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment |  |  |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ ) | 1\% | Student Scale Teach | Teacher Scale |  |
|  |  |  | FAA Math ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ ) | 1\% | $4-6.00-9.00$ student proficiency Tea <br> $3-2.00-5.99$ student proficiency $4.0-3$ <br> $2-1.00-1.99$ student proficiency 3.49 <br> $1-0.00-0.99$ student proficiency 2.24 <br>  Below | ```Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores \(4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}\) (4.0) \(3.49-2.25=E\) (3.0) 2.24-1.75=NI (2.0) Below 1.75=U (1.0)``` |  |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading (4,5) | 2\% | Student Scale |  | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  | FAA Math (4,5) | 2\% | 4-Growth of 1 or more levels <br> 3- Maintain at current level or maintain proficiency level (with no more than 1 drop) 2- Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient level OR decrease of 2 or more levels in the proficient range OR drops 1 level that moves a student from proficient to non-proficient 1-In non-proficient range a decrease of 2 levels OR in proficient a decrease of 3 or more levels |  | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores $4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0)$ <br> 3.49-2.25=E (3.0) <br> 2.24-1.75=NI (2.0) <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Principal } \\ & \text { (K-6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline 15031 \\ \text { Eval } \end{array}$ |  |  | Teacher Scale Student Learning Gains on DEA scores will be <br> calculated as follows: | Student Learning Gains on DEA scores will be calculated as follows: |  |
|  |  | Formula | DEA Reading (K) | 2\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain $3-50-59 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to <br> 4), OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  |  | DEA Math (K) | 2\% |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading Lvl 1-84 pt gain Lvl 2-44 pt gain Lvl 3-20 pt gain Lvl 4-7 pt gain | Math Lvl 1-106 pt gain Lv1 2-74 pt gain Lvl 3-73 pt gain Lvl 4-75 pt gain |
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| Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| continued from previous page |  | DEA Reading ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$ <br> DEA Math ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} 3 \% \\ 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment (1 to $2,2 \text { to } 3,3 \text { to } 4), \underline{O R}$ <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-117 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-91 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-81 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-77 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-95 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-68 pt gain <br> Lvl $3-68$ pt gain <br> Lvl 4-46 pt gain |
|  |  | DEA Reading ( $\left.2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ <br> DEA Math ( $\left.2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ | 3\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3\% | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to $2,2 \text { to } 3,3 \text { to } 4 / 5), \underline{\mathbf{O R}}$ <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points |  |
|  |  | DEA Math ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ ) |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1 - 56 pt gain <br> Lvl 2 - 29 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-22 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-4 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-126 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-111 pt gain <br> Lvl 3 - 99 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-83 pt gain |
|  |  | FCAT Reading (3) FCAT Math (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 1- Below 40\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment |  |  |
|  |  | FCAT Reading (4-6) FCAT Math (4-6) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8 \% \\ & 6 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number (+,-) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive $(-,+)$ <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top 2/3 of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |  |  |
|  |  | FCAT Writing (4) | 3\% | 4-80\% or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1-Below 60\% Proficiency |  |  |
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|  |  |  |  | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading (4,5) FAA Math $(4,5)$ |  | Student Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-Growth of 1 or more levels <br> 3- Maintain at current level or maintain proficiency level (with no more than 1 drop) <br> 2- Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient level OR decrease of 2 or more levels in the proficient range OR drops 1 level that moves a student from proficient to non-proficient <br> 1-In non-proficient range a decrease of 2 levels $\mathbf{O R}$ in proficient a decrease of 3 or more levels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Principal ( $K-2^{\text {nd }}$ ) <br> Asst. Principal (K- $\left.2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ |  | DEA Reading (K)DEA Math (K) |  | Teacher Scale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8\% |  |  |  | 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-84 pt gain Lvl 2-44 pt gain Lvl 3-20 pt gain Lvl 4-7 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-106 pt gain Lvl 2-74 pt gain Lvl 3-73 pt gain Lvl 4-75 pt gain |
|  |  | DEA Reading ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$DEA Math $\left(1^{\text {st }}\right)$ |  | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-117 pt gain Lvl 2-91 pt gain Lvl 3-81 pt gain Lvl 4-77 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-95 pt gain <br> Lvl $2-68$ pt gain <br> Lvl 3-68 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-46 pt gain |
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| Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DEA Reading ( $\left.2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ <br> DEA Math ( $\left.2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ | 9\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain $3-50-59 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to $4 / 5$ ), OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, $\underline{\underline{\mathbf{R}}}$ <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points |  |
|  |  |  | 9\% | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-56 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-29 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-22 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-4 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1 - 126 pt gain Lvl 2 - 111 pt gain Lvl 3-99 pt gain Lvl 4-83 pt gain |
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal <br> (9-12) <br> Asst. <br> Principal <br> (9-12) | 15010 <br> 16010 <br> Eval <br> Formula <br> ID 111 | FCAT Reading $(9,10)$ <br> FCAT Math | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number ( + ,-) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top 2/3 of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |  |
|  | (9-12=GBHS, MHS, NHS, PHS) |  | Algebra EOC <br> Geometry EOC <br> Biology EOC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \% \\ & 6 \% \\ & 6 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 4-Above $60 \%$ proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessm 3-41-59\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 1-Below $30 \%$ proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessme <br> 4-80\% or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1-Below 60\% Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Writing (10) | 7\% |  |  |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading (9,10) | 2\% | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  | FAA Math (9,10) | 2\% | 4-Growth of 1 or more levels <br> 3- Maintain at current level or maintain proficiency level (with no more than 1 drop) <br> 2- Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient level OR decrease of 2 or more levels in the proficient range OR drops 1 level that moves a student from proficient to non-proficient <br> 1-In non-proficient range a decrease of 2 levels OR in proficient a decrease of 3 or more levels | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores <br> 4.0-3.50=HE (4.0) <br> 3.49-2.25=E (3.0) <br> 2.24-1.75=NI (2.0) <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |
|  |  |  | FAA Writing (10) | 1\% | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  | FAA Science (11) | 1\% | 4-6.00-9.00 student proficiency 3- 2.00-5.99 student proficiency 2-1.00-1.99 student proficiency 1-0.00-0.99 student proficiency | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores $\begin{aligned} & 4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0) \\ & 3.49-2.25=\mathrm{E}(3.0) \\ & 2.24-1.75=\mathrm{NI}(2.0) \end{aligned}$ <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |

Santa Rosa District Schools
Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014
Assessments-Percentages and Scales


Santa Rosa District Schools
Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014
Assessments-Percentages and Scales

|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal(K-12)Asst.Principal(K-12) | 1501216012EvalFormulaID 113 | DEA Reading (K) <br> DEA Math (K) | 1\% <br> 1\% | Teacher Scale | Student Learning G calculated as follow | scores will be |
|  |  |  |  |  | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing base $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | e scale score to end | score. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | . Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to <br> 4), OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain $3-50-59 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |
|  | (Central) |  |  |  |  | Reading Lvl 1-84 pt gain Lvl 2-44 pt gain Lvl 3-20 pt gain Lvl 4-7 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-106 pt gain Lvl 2-74 pt gain Lvl 3-73 pt gain Lvl 4-75 pt gain |
|  |  |  | DEA Reading ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$ <br> DEA Math $\left(1^{\text {st }}\right)$ | 1\%1\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baselin $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | e scale score to end | score. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment (1 to <br> 2, 2 to 3,3 to 4 ), OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1 - 117 pt gain Lvl 2-91 pt gain Lvl 3-81 pt gain Lvl 4-77 pt gain | Math Lvl 1-95 pt gain Lvl 2-68 pt gain Lvl 3-68 pt gain Lvl 4-46 pt gain |
|  |  |  | DEA Reading $\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ <br> DEA Math $\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ | 2\%2\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline <br> $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | ne scale score to end | score. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to $2,2$ to 3,3 to $4 / 5)$, OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-56 pt gain <br> Lvl $2-29$ pt gain <br> Lvl 3-22 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-4 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-126 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-111 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-99 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-83 pt gain |
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| Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale | Job Title |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| continued from previous page |  | FCAT Reading (3) FCAT Math (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \% \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-50-59\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1- Below 40\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment | Level 4=88 Pts. Level 3=115 Pts. Level $2=127$ Pts. Level $1=150$ Pts. |  |
|  |  | FCAT Reading (4-10) <br> FCAT Math (4-8) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 12 \% \\ & 8 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number (,+- ) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |  |  |
|  |  | FCAT Writing $(4,8,10)$ | 3\% | 4-80\% or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment 1-Below 60\% Proficiency |  |  |
|  |  | FCAT Science $(5,8)$ | 2\% | 4-60\% Or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make a Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make a Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make a Learning Gain 1- Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make a Learning Gain |  |  |
|  |  | Algebra EOC <br> Geometry EOC <br> Biology EOC | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \% \\ 1 \% \\ 1 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 4-Above 60\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 3-41-59\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 1-Below 30\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment |  |  |
|  |  | FAA Reading (3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ ) | 1\% | Student Scale |  | Teacher Scale |
|  |  | FAA Math ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ ) | 1\% | 4-6.00-9.00 student proficiency 3-2.00-5.99 student proficiency 2-1.00-1.99 student proficiency 1- 0.00-0.99 student proficiency |  | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores <br> 4.0-3.50=HE (4.0) <br> 3.49-2.25=E (3.0) <br> 2.24-1.75=NI (2.0) <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |
|  |  | FAA Reading (4-10) | 2\% | Student Scale |  | Teacher Scale |
|  |  | FAA Math (4-10) | 2\% | 4-Growth of 1 or more levels <br> 3- Maintain at current level or maintain proficiency level (with no more than 1 drop) <br> 2- Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient level OR decrease of 2 or more levels in the proficient range OR drops 1 level that moves a student from proficient to non-proficient 1-In non-proficient range a decrease of 2 levels OR in proficient a decrease of 3 or more levels |  | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores $\begin{aligned} & 4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0) \\ & 3.49-2.25=\mathrm{E}(3.0) \\ & 2.24-1.75=\mathrm{NI}(2.0) \end{aligned}$ $\text { Below } 1.75=\mathrm{U}(1.0)$ |
|  |  | FAA Writing (10) | 1\% | Student Scale |  | Teacher Scale |
|  |  | FAA Science (11) | 1\% | 4-6.00-9.00 student proficiency 3-2.00-5.99 student proficiency <br> 2-1.00-1.99 student proficiency <br> 1-0.00-0.99 student proficiency |  | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores $\begin{aligned} & 4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0) \\ & 3.49-2.25=\mathrm{E}(3.0) \\ & 2.24-1.75=\mathrm{NI}(2.0) \\ & \text { Below } 1.75=\mathrm{U}(1.0) \end{aligned}$ |

Santa Rosa District Schools
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Continued from previous page |  | Algebra EOC <br> Geometry EOC <br> Biology EOC | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 3 \% \\ 2 \% \\ 2 \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 4-Above 60\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 3-41-59\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 1-Below $30 \%$ proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment |
|  |  |  | TABE | 10\% | 4-50\% or more enrolled students earn an LCP $3-40-49 \%$ of enrolled students earn an LCP 2-30-39\% of enrolled students earn an LCP $1-29 \%$ or less of enrolled students earn an LCP |
|  |  |  | Earn a GED | 5\% | 4-50\% or more enrolled students earn a GED 3-40-49\% of enrolled students earn a GED 2-30-39\% of enrolled students earn a GED 1-29\% or less of enrolled students earn a GED |

Santa Rosa District Schools
Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014
Assessments-Percentages and Scales

|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Principal, Tech. Center | $15050$ | Assigned Industry certification | 30\% | 4-86-100\% passing rate of students attempting industry certification 3-67-85\% passing rate of students attempting industry certification 2-51-66\% passing rate of students attempting industry certification 1-50\% or less passing rate of students attempting industry certification |
|  | Asst. Principal, Tech. Center | 16050 <br> Eval <br> Formula ID <br> 116 | FCAT Reading | 10\% | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3 -Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number ( + ,-) OR negative <br> Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive ( $(-,+)$ <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (,-- ) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |
|  |  |  | FCAT Writing | 10\% | $4-80 \%$ or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1-Below 60\% Proficiency |
| .5 <br> $\stackrel{y}{0}$ <br> $\frac{0}{0}$ <br> 0 | Coord. Of <br> Virtual <br> Education | 13040 <br> Eval <br> Formula ID <br> 117 | FCAT Reading (6-10) <br> FCAT Math (6-8) | $25 \%$ $12 \%$ | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3 -Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number ( + ,-) OR negative <br> Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (,-- ) |
|  |  |  | Algebra EOC <br> Geometry EOC <br> Biology EOC | 5\% <br> 4\% <br> 4\% | 4-Above $60 \%$ proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 3-41-59\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessment 1-Below $30 \%$ proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment |

Santa Rosa District Schools
Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014
Assessments-Percentages and Scales

| Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coord. Of <br> Social Studies | 20144 <br> Eval <br> Formula ID <br> 140 | FCAT Reading (6-10) | 50\% | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3 -Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number ( + ,-) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (,-- ) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |  |
| Coord. of Literacy and Lang. Arts | $\begin{aligned} & 13030 \\ & \text { Eval } \\ & \text { Formula ID } \\ & 118 \end{aligned}$ | VPK Assessment Early Literacy | 2\% | Teacher Scale | Student Scale <br> Learning Gain=Any Increase in Performance Will Constitute a Gain |
|  |  |  |  | $4=60 \%$ or above of students tested demonstrated a learning gain $3=41-60 \%$ of students tested demonstrated a learning gain $2=25-40 \%$ of students tested demonstrated a learning gain $1=$ Less than $25 \%$ of students tested demonstrated a learning gain |  |
|  |  | VB-Mapp | 1\% | Teacher Scale | Student Scale |
|  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or above of students tested demonstrated a learning gain <br> $3-50-59 \%$ of students tested demonstrated a learning gain <br> $2-40-49 \%$ of students tested demonstrated a learning gain <br> 1- Less than $40 \%$ of students tested demonstrated a learning gain | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Learning Gain = Average Student Increase of } \\ & 4.00 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | DEA Reading (K) | 3\% | Teacher Scale | Student Learning Gains on DEA scores will be calculated as follows: |
|  |  |  |  | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |
|  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to <br> 2,2 to 3,3 to 4 ), OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, $\boldsymbol{O R}$ <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  |  |  | Reading Lvl 1-84 pt gain Lvl 2-44 pt gain Lvl 3-20 pt gain Lvl 4-7 pt gain |  |
|  |  | DEA Reading ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ) | 3\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |
|  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to 4 ), $\underline{\text { OR }}$ <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, $\underline{\underline{R}}$ <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing <br> in scale score points using the following |
|  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-117 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-91 pt gain Lvl 3-81 pt gain Lvl 4-77 pt gain |

Santa Rosa District Schools
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DEA Reading ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ ) |  | 4\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to $4 / 5$ ), OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points |
|  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-56 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-29 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-22 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-4 pt gain |
|  |  |  | FCAT Reading (3) |  |  | 4\% | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1- Below $40 \%$ Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment |  |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Reading (4-10) | 26\% | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3 -Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number ( + ,-) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (,-- ) |  |  |
|  |  |  | CELLA | 7\% | For K-5 <br> $18 \%$ or above proficient=4 <br> Between $13.0 \%$ and $17.9 \%$ proficient $=3.0$ <br> Between $10.0 \%$ and $12.9 \%$ proficient=2 <br> Below 10\% proficient=1 |  | bove proficient=4 <br> 7.0\% and 8.9\% proficient=3 <br> 5.0\% and 6.9\% proficient=2 <br> \% proficient=1 |
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coord. of Math and Science | $\begin{aligned} & 13023 \\ & \text { Eval } \\ & \text { Formula ID } \\ & 119 \end{aligned}$ | VPK Early Math | 2\% | Teacher Scale | Student Scale |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4=More Than 60\% of Students Demonstrated Learning Gains 3=Between 41-60\% of Students Demonstrated Learning Gains 2=Between $25-40 \%$ of Students Demonstrated Learning Gains 1=Less Than 25\% of Students Demonstrated Learning Gains | Learning Gain=Any Increase in Performance Will Constitute a Gain |
|  |  |  | VB-Mapp | 1\% | Teacher Scale | Student Scale |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or above of students tested demonstrated a learning gain <br> $3-50-59 \%$ of students tested demonstrated a learning gain <br> 2-40-49\% of students tested demonstrated a learning gain <br> 1- Less than $40 \%$ of students tested demonstrated a learning gain | Learning Gain = Average Student Increase of 4.00\% |
|  |  |  | DEA Math (K) | 3\% | Teacher Scale | Student Learning Gains on DEA scores will be calculated as follows: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment (1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to 4 ), $\underline{\mathbf{R}}$ <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, $\underline{\text { OR }}$ <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Math Lvl 1-106 pt gain Lvl 2-74 pt gain Lvl 3-73 pt gain Lvl 4-75 pt gain |
|  |  |  | DEA Math ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ) | 3\% | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | ine scale score to end of year scale score. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment (1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to 4 ), $\underline{\mathbf{O R}}$ <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, $\underline{\underline{R}}$ <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Math Lvl 1-95 pt gain Lvl 2-68 pt gain Lvl 3-68 pt gain Lvl 4-46 pt gain |
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DEA Math ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ ) | 4\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment (1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to $4 / 5$ ), OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Math <br> Lvl 1-126 pt gain Lvl 2 - 111 pt gain Lvl 3-99 pt gain Lvl 4-83 pt gain |
|  |  |  | FCAT Math (3rd) | 4\% | 4-60\% Or Above ( 3,4 , or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1- Below 40\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Math (4-8) | 18\% | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3 -Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number (,+- ) OR negative Value Added score <br> plus one half of standard error equals positive ( $(-,+)$ <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (,-- ) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Science (5,8) | 6\% | 4-60\% Or Above ( 3,4 , or 5) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-50-59\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1- Below 40\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment |  |
|  |  |  | Algebra EOC <br> Geometry EOC <br> Biology EOC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3 \% \\ & 3 \% \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Above $60 \%$ proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment $3-41-59 \%$ proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessment 1-Below $30 \%$ proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessment |  |
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Santa Rosa District Schools
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Assessments-Percentages and Scales

|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment to be Used | Percentage (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | continued from previous page |  | FCAT Math (4-8) ESE <br> FCAT Reading (4-10) ESE | 6\% 9\% | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard err 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equ Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals pos 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard erro ) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard erro (-,-) | equals positive number (,++ ) s negative number (,+- ) OR negative ive (-,+) <br> equals negative (top 2/3 of scores) (-,- <br> equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) |
|  |  |  | FCAT Writing $(4,8,10)$ ESE | 3\% | 4-80\% or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1-Below 60\% Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Science $(5,8)$ ESE | 2\% | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessme 2-40-49\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessme <br> 1- Below 40\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Asses |  |
|  |  |  | Algebra I EOC ESE Biology EOC ESE Geometry EOC ESE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Above $60 \%$ proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the $3-41-59 \%$ proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the ass 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the ass 1-Below 30\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the | ssessment <br> sment <br> sment <br> ssessment |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading ( ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ ) | 1\% | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  | FAA Math ( $\left.3^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | 1\% | 4-6.00-9.00 student proficiency <br> 3- 2.00-5.99 student proficiency <br> 2-1.00-1.99 student proficiency <br> 1-0.00-0.99 student proficiency | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores $\begin{aligned} & 4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0) \\ & 3.49-2.25=\mathrm{E}(3.0) \\ & 2.24-1.75=\mathrm{NI}(2.0) \\ & \text { Below } 1.75=\mathrm{U}(1.0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading (4-10) | 6\% | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  |  | 6\% | 4-Growth of 1 or more levels <br> 3- Maintain at current level or maintain proficiency level (with no more than 1 drop) <br> 2- Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient level OR decrease of 2 or more levels in the proficient range OR drops 1 level that moves a student from proficient to non-proficient 1-In non-proficient range a decrease of 2 levels $\mathbf{O R}$ in proficient a decrease of 3 or more levels | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores $\begin{aligned} & 4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0) \\ & 3.49-2.25=\mathrm{E}(3.0) \\ & 2.24-1.75=\mathrm{NI}(2.0) \end{aligned}$ <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FAA Writing (10) } \\ & \text { FAA Science (11) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-6.00-9.00 student proficiency <br> 3- 2.00-5.99 student proficiency <br> 2-1.00-1.99 student proficiency <br> 1-0.00-0.99 student proficiency | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores $\begin{aligned} & 4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0) \\ & 3.49-2.25=\mathrm{E}(3.0) \\ & 2.24-1.75=\mathrm{NI}(2.0) \end{aligned}$ <br> Below $1.75=\mathrm{U}$ (1.0) |
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment to be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DEA Reading ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ ) <br> DEA Math $\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ | 1\%1\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | Reading <br> Lvl 1 - 56 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-29 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-22 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-4 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-126 pt gain <br> Lvl 2 - 111 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-99 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-83 pt gain |
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment to be Used | Percentage (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | continued from previous page | Eval Formula ID 121 | FCAT Math ( $\left.3^{\text {rd }}\right)$ FCAT Reading ( $\left.3^{\text {rd }}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 1- Below 40\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Math (4-8) FCAT Reading (4-10) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 5 \% \\ & 13 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number ( + ,+) <br> 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number (+,-) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top 2/3 of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Writing (4,8,10) | 3\% | $4-80 \%$ or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment 1-Below 60\% Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Science ( 5,8 ) | 2\% | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1- Below 40\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment |  |
|  |  |  | Algebra I EOC <br> Biology EOC <br> Geometry EOC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Above $60 \%$ proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 3-41-59\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessment 1-Below 30\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment |  |
|  |  |  | CELLA | 1\% | For K-5 <br> $18 \%$ or above proficient=4 <br> Between $13.0 \%$ and $17.9 \%$ proficient $=3.0$ <br> Between $10.0 \%$ and $12.9 \%$ proficient=2 <br> Below 10\% proficient=1 | For 6-12 <br> $9 \%$ or above proficient=4 <br> Between $7.0 \%$ and $8.9 \%$ proficient=3 <br> Between $5.0 \%$ and $6.9 \%$ proficient=2 <br> Below 5\% proficient=1 |
|  |  |  | TABE | 1\% | 4-50\% or more enrolled students earn an LCP <br> 3-40-49\% of enrolled students earn an LCP <br> 2-30-39\% of enrolled students earn an LCP <br> $1-29 \%$ or less of enrolled students earn an LCP |  |
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|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment to be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | continued from previous page |  | DEA Reading (14t)DEA Math ( $\left.1^{\text {st }}\right)$ | 2\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment (1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to 4) $\underline{\text { OR }}$ <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2\% | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-117 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-91 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-81 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-77 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-95 pt gain Lvl 2-68 pt gain Lvl 3-68 pt gain Lvl 4-46 pt gain |
|  |  |  |  |  | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing bas | cale score to end of | ar scale score. |
|  |  |  | DEA Reading $\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ | 2\% | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one lev assessment to the assessment (1 to 2 <br> 2. Maintain a level | from the fall baseline d of the year to 3,3 to $4 / 5$ ) $\mathbf{O R}$ or higher without |
|  |  |  | DEA Math ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ ) | 2\% | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | declining a level, 3. Demonstrate a y increasing in scale following scale: | r's growth by re points using the |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1 - 56 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-29 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-22 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-4 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-126 pt gain <br> Lvl 2 - 111 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-99 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-83 pt gain |
|  |  |  | Algebra EOC Geometry EOC | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Above 60\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assess 3-41-59\% proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessmen 1-Below 30\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assess |  |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Math <br> (4-8) <br> FCAT Reading <br> (4-10) | 14\% <br> 18\% | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equa 3 -Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals neg score plus one half of standard error equals positive ( $(-,+$ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equal | itive number (+,+) number (+,-) OR ne <br> tive (top $2 / 3$ of sco ative (lower $1 / 3$ of $s$ | tive Value Added $\begin{aligned} & \text { s) }(-,-) \\ & \text { ores) }(-,-) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | FCAT Math (3) FCAT Reading (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \% \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-60\% Or Above ( 3,4 , or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment 1- Below 40\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment |  |  |

Santa Rosa District Schools
Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014
Assessments-Percentages and Scales


Santa Rosa District Schools

## Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014

Assessments-Percentages and Scales

|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Director of Elem Education <br> Director of Federal Programs | 12020 | DEA Reading (K) <br> DEA Math (K) | 1\%1\% | Teacher Scale $\begin{array}{l}\text { Student Lea } \\ \text { as follows: }\end{array}$ | g Gains on DEA sco | will be calculated |
|  |  |  |  |  | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to 4 ) OR |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  | 12137 <br> Eval Formula <br> ID 124 |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-84 pt gain <br> Lvl 2-91 pt gain <br> Lvl 3-20 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-7 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-106 pt gain Lvl 2-74 pt gain Lvl 3-73 pt gain Lvl 4-75 pt gain |
|  |  |  | DEA Reading $\left(1^{\text {st }}\right)$DEA Math $\left(1^{\text {st }}\right)$ | 2\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to 4 ) OR |  |
|  |  |  | DEA Math ( $1^{\text {st }}$ ) | 2\% | 4-60\% or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, OR <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lvl 1-117 pt gain | Lvl 1-95 pt gain |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lvl 2 -91 pt gain | Lvl 2-68 pt gain |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lvl 3 -81 pt gain | Lvl 3-68 pt gain |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Lvl 4-77 pt gain | Lvl 4-46 pt gain |

Santa Rosa District Schools

## Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014

Assessments-Percentages and Scales

|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DEA Reading ( $\left.2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ <br> DEA Math ( $\left.2^{\text {nd }}\right)$ | 2\%2\% | Level is student's baseline level. Points are gained by comparing baseline scale score to end of year scale score. |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain $3-50-59 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain <br> 1-Below $40 \%$ of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain | 1. Improve one level from the fall baseline assessment to the end of the year assessment ( 1 to 2,2 to 3,3 to $4 / 5$ ) OR <br> 2. Maintain a level 3 or higher without declining a level, $\underline{O R}$ <br> 3. Demonstrate a year's growth by increasing in scale score points using the following scale: |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $4-60 \%$ or Above of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 3-50-59\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 2-40-49\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain 1-Below 40\% of Students Taking Assessment Make Learning Gain |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Reading <br> Lvl 1-56 pt gain <br> Lvl $2-29 \mathrm{pt}$ gain <br> Lvl 3-22 pt gain <br> Lvl 4-4 pt gain | Math <br> Lvl 1-126 pt gain Lvl 2 - 111 pt gain Lvl 3-99 pt gain Lvl 4-83 pt gain |
|  |  |  | FCAT Reading ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ ) FCAT Math ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \% \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment 1- Below 40\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment | Level 4=88 Pts. Level 3=115 Pts. Level $2=127$ Pts. Level $1=150$ Pts. |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Reading ( $4^{\text {th }}$ \& $5^{\text {th }}$ ) FCAT Math ( $\left.4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }}\right)$ FCAT Reading ( $6^{\text {th }}$ ) FCAT Math ( $6^{\text {th }}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 7 \% \\ & 7 \% \\ & 3 \% \\ & 3 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) <br> 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number ( + ,-) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (,--$)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Writing ( $4^{\text {th }}$ ) | 4\% | $4-80 \%$ or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1-Below $60 \%$ Proficiency |  |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Science ( $5^{\text {th }}$ ) | 2\% | 4-60\% Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment 1- Below $40 \%$ Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment |  |  |

Santa Rosa District Schools
Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014
Assessments-Percentages and Scales

|  | Job Title | Job Code | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading ( ${ }^{\text {rd }}$ ) | 1\% | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  | FAA Math ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ ) | 1\% | 4-6.00-9.00 student proficiency 3- 2.00-5.99 student proficiency 2-1.00-1.99 student proficiency 1-0.00-0.99 student proficiency | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores <br> $4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0)$ <br> 3.49-2.25=E (3.0) <br> 2.24-1.75=NI (2.0) <br> Below $1.75=\mathrm{U}(1.0)$ |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading (4,5) FAA Math (4,5) | 2\% | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4-Growth of 1 or more levels <br> 3- Maintain at current level or maintain proficiency level (with no more than 1 drop) <br> 2- Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient level OR decrease of 2 or more levels in the proficient range OR drops 1 level that moves a student from proficient to non-proficient 1-In non-proficient range a decrease of 2 levels $\mathbf{O R}$ in proficient a decrease of 3 or more levels | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores <br> 4.0-3.50=HE (4.0) <br> 3.49-2.25 $=$ E (3.0) <br> $2.24-1.75=\mathrm{NI}(2.0)$ <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |
|  | Director of <br> Middle <br> School <br> Education <br> (6-8=AMS, <br> GBM,HMS, <br> HNM,KMS, <br> SMS, <br> WBMS; 7- <br> 8=JHS,CS) | $12025$ <br> Eval Formula ID 125 | FCAT Reading (6-8) <br> FCAT Math <br> (6-8) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 18 \% \\ & 12 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (,++ ) 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number ( + ,-) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive ( $(-,+)$ <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |  |
|  |  |  | Algebra EOC | 4\% | $4-60 \%$ or above proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 3-41-59\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessment 1-Below 30\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Science | 4\% | $4-60 \%$ Or Above (3, 4, or 5) of Students Taking Assessment 3-50-59\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment 2-40-49\% Proficiency ( 3,4 or 5 ) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1- Below 40\% Proficiency (3, 4 or 5) of Students Taking Assessment |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Writes (8) My Access $(6,7)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4 \% \\ & 4 \% \end{aligned}$ | $4-80 \%$ or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment 1-Below 60\% Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading (6-8) FAA Math (6-8) | 2\% | Student Scale <br> 4-Growth of 1 or more levels <br> 3- Maintain at current level or maintain proficiency level (with no more than 1 drop) <br> 2- Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient level OR decrease of 2 or more levels in the proficient range OR drops 1 level that moves a student from proficient to non-proficient <br> 1-In non-proficient range a decrease of 2 levels OR in proficient a decrease of 3 or more levels | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores <br> $4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0)$ <br> $3.49-2.25=E$ (3.0) <br> 2.24-1.75=NI (2.0) <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |

Santa Rosa District Schools
Educational Support Evaluation 2013-2014
Assessments-Percentages and Scales

|  | Job Title |  | Assessment To Be Used | Percentage <br> (Must Total 50\%) | Scale |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Director of High School Education <br> (9- <br> 12=Central, GBHS, JHS,MHS, NHS, PHS) | 12030 <br> Eval Formula ID 126 | FCAT Reading $(9,10)$ | 18\% | 4-Positive Value Added score minus one half of standard error equals positive number (+,+) <br> 3-Positive Value Added minus one half of standard error equals negative number ( + ,-) OR negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals positive (,-+ ) <br> 2-Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (top $2 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) <br> 1- Negative Value Added score plus one half of standard error equals negative (lower $1 / 3$ of scores) (-,-) |  |
|  |  |  | Algebra EOC <br> Geometry EOC <br> Biology EOC | 8\% <br> 6\% <br> 6\% | 4-Above 60\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 3-41-59\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 2-31-40\% proficiency (Levels 3,4,5) of students taking the assessment 1-Below $30 \%$ proficiency (Levels $3,4,5$ ) of students taking the assessment |  |
|  |  |  | FCAT Writing | 6\% | 4-80\% or Above (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 3-70-79\% Proficiency (4.0) of Students Taking Assessment <br> 2-60-69\% Proficiency of Students Taking Assessment <br> 1-Below 60\% Proficiency |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  | FAA Reading $(9,10)$ <br> FAA Math $(9,10)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & 2 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-Growth of 1 or more levels <br> 3- Maintain at current level or maintain proficiency level (with no more than 1 drop) <br> 2- Decrease of 1 level in non-proficient level OR decrease of 2 or more levels in the proficient range OR drops 1 level that moves a student from proficient to non-proficient 1-In non-proficient range a decrease of 2 levels OR in proficient a decrease of 3 or more levels | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores <br> $4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}$ (4.0) <br> 3.49-2.25=E (3.0) <br> 2.24-1.75=NI (2.0) <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |
|  |  |  |  |  | Student Scale | Teacher Scale |
|  |  |  | FAA Writing (10) <br> FAA Science (11) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & 1 \% \end{aligned}$ | 4-6.00-9.00 student proficiency <br> 3-2.00-5.99 student proficiency <br> 2-1.00-1.99 student proficiency <br> 1-0.00-0.99 student proficiency | Teacher's score will be the average of the students' scores <br> $4.0-3.50=\mathrm{HE}(4.0)$ <br> 3.49-2.25=E (3.0) <br> 2.24-1.75=NI (2.0) <br> Below 1.75=U (1.0) |

## Attachment F TrueNorthLogic Information

Truenorthlogic is the leading provider of comprehensive human capital management systems to K-12 education agencies working to increase educator effectiveness in support of improved student achievement. Our mission is to ensure an effective educator for every student. We work with state and local education agencies to streamline organizational processes and provide a platform for continuous improvement in human capital practices.

Our experience in working with leading school districts on teacher and leader development has formed our product philosophy and approach to performance improvement for sustained teacher and leader effectiveness. As shown in Diagram 1 below, TNL has found that effective leader and educator development is based on an iterative development cycle designed to move teachers and leaders through a client-defined growth continuum. TNL's solutions are designed to support, service and track this growth continuum and the processes, workflows, and data that surround it.

Diagram 1: Continuous improvement of teacher effectiveness


We have found that educator performance improvement typically begins with an assessment of a teacher's strengths and weaknesses. Teachers gain an understanding of these by using multiple sources of information: assessments, observations, student assessment data, mentor/coach feedback, principal input, career goals and more. This information helps them to define a focused development plan with growth goals that include specific actions. Goal attainment is measured and monitored by standards the District sets, and often includes forward momentum based on a defined competency continuum, student performance growth or any set of measures. The information and results from this cycle of continuous improvement is available as part of an evaluation or appraisal process to assist the end user, his/her principals and the District overall in the next round of development planning. Moreover, the system supports and ensures compliance with the policies that underlay the educator effectiveness program.

Truenorthlogic's solution - the K-12 Human Capital Management Platform - is designed specifically for K-12 settings and is methodology-neutral, content-agnostic, and adaptable over time. The system provides the scaffolding for whatever methodology and process a district decides to implement. Diagram 2 provides a visual overview of how the Truenorthlogic system supports the Great Teachers and Leaders vision. Each item in the diagram is serviced through TNL's configurable product suite. Custom integrations feed certain parts of the process in order to leverage a District's current infrastructure investments to the fullest extent possible.

Santa Rosa's vision is for Truenorthlogic to provide the venue that allows the district to support, service and track the professional development needs of our administrators and teachers to truly provide a continuous growth cycle. The district portal has been named the Professional Growth System (PGS) to portray the intent of the system. As we are able to identify individual needs, based on multiple data forms, PGS allows us to recommend and document professional development and mentoring. We are excited about the potential growth for our administrators and teachers as we implement PGS in our district.
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## Santa Rosa District Schools

## Educational Support Evaluation Instrument

Adopted 2013

## Section I - Verify Assignments



These assessments and this formula accurately reflect how I am to be evaluated. I understand this data will be used to determine the student performance section of my evaluation which will count $10 \%$ of the total.

I verify the above information is correct.

## Employee’s Signature



## Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Evaluation Instrument

Adopted 2013

Section II: Self-Assessment - totals not to be included in the final evaluation
This Self-Assessment should be kept on file for the evaluation year for reference for supervisor or employee.
This section should be completed prior to the end of the first nine weeks.

| Dependability | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Punctuality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schedule/Work Hours |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rules and Regulations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Competence and Judgment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality/Quantity of Work | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Safe Work Habits |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accuracy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initiative | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Professional Growth and Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resourcefulness |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suggestions for Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interpersonal/Attitude | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Considerate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communication with Supervisor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Handles Conflict |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Control |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respect |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Dress |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Habits |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Business |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |


| Evaluation Summary | HE (4.0) | E (3.0) | NI (2.0) | U (1.0) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Indicators Marked |  |  |  |  |


| Educational Support Employee's Signature |  | Date |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Date |



## Santa Rosa District Schools

Educational Support Evaluation Instrument
Adopted 2013
Section III: Educational Support Evaluation - 80\% of Overall Evaluation
This section should be completed by June 2014

| Dependability | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Punctuality |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attendance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Schedule/Work Hours |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rules and Regulations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Competence and Judgment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Quality/Quantity of Work | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Safe Work Habits |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accuracy |  |  |  |  |  |
| Responsibility |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time Management |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initiative | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Professional Growth and Knowledge |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resourcefulness |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suggestions for Improvement |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interpersonal/ Attitude | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Considerate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Communication with Supervisor |  |  |  |  |  |
| Handles Conflict |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-Control |  |  |  |  |  |
| Respect |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Dress |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Habits |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personal Business |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accountability |  |  |  |  |  |


| Evaluation Summary | HE (4.0) | E (3.0) | NI (2.0) | U (1.0) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Indicators Marked |  |  |  |  |
| Overall Evaluation Score | 121 | Overall Evaluation Rating |  |  |
| Highly Effective | $4.00-3.50$ |  |  |  |
| Effective | $3.49-2.25$ |  |  |  |
| Needs Improvement | $2.24-1.75$ |  |  |  |
| Unsatisfactory | Below 1.75 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Educational Support Employee's Signature

Date
Supervisor's Signature
Date

## Santa Rosa District Schools

## Educational Support Evaluation Instrument

Adopted 2013

Section IV: Stakeholder Satisfaction Surveys - 5\% for each survey (10\% of Overall Evaluation) This section should be completed by June 2014. Parent and student satisfaction scores are used as part of the evaluation for all educational support employees.
The Studer Group's research with surveys is based on a 5.0 scale: $1=$ strongly disagree, $2=$ disagree, $3=$ neutral, $4=$ agree, and $5=$ strongly agree. The Studer group believes changing this scale would not support the research conducted on surveys. The State of Florida's Evaluation System is based on a 4.0 scale: $1=$ Unsatisfactory, $2=$ needs improvement, $3=$ effective and $4=$ highly effective. In order to use the results of the Studer surveys (students, parents, employees, and principals) will use the following conversion scale:

| Studer Group Survey Results | Evaluation Score |
| :--- | :--- |
| $4.00-5.00$ | Highly Effective (4.0) |
| $2.75-3.99$ | Effective (3.0) |
| $2.00-2.74$ | Needs Improvement (2.0) |
| Below 2.00 | Unsatisfactory (1.0) |



## Educational Support Employee's Comments

Educational Support Employee's Signature $\qquad$
Date $\qquad$
Supervisor's Comments
Supervisor's Signature $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$


# Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Evaluation Instrument <br> Adopted 2013 

## Section V: Student Performance Scores - 10\% of Overall Evaluation

## Student Assessment Data

This section will be completed within two weeks of the date the District receives the data from the state. See Attachment $\mathbf{D}$ for assessment and percentages. This information is recorded in Section V where it is calculated as part of the total score.


Educational Support Employee's Comments
Educational Support Employee's Signature $\qquad$ Date
Supervisor's Comments
Supervisor's Signature $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$

## Santa Rosa District Schools

## Educational Support Evaluation Instrument

Adopted 2013

Section VI: Overall Evaluation
This section should be complete within two weeks of the District receiving student performance scores.


# Attachment I Educational Support Sample Evaluation 

## Santa Rosa District Schools

## Educational Support Evaluation Instrument

Adopted 2013

## Section I - Verify Assignments

| Job, Location, and Grade level Assessments: |  | Row Label Job 1 | Job 2 | Job 3 | Job 4 | Job 5 | Job 6 | Job 07 | Job 08 | Job 09 | Job 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Job } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Job } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | Job 13 | Job 14 | Job 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 306 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee | JOB 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 |
|  |  | LOCATION 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 |
|  |  | * Of Day 200 t | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  |  | PERCENT 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 6\% | 5\% | $8 \%$ | 3\% | 3\% |
|  |  | EXAM DEA | DEA | DEA | DEA | DEA | DEA | م. Aternate Assess | if. Aternate Assess | FL. Aternate Assess | Fl. Aternate Assess | FCAT | FCAT | FCAT | FCAT | FCAT | FCAT |
|  |  | Subuect Math | Math | Math | Resing | Resding | Reading | Math | Math | Resbing | Reading | Math | Math | Reading | Reading | Science | Writing |
|  |  | to KG | 01 | 02 | KG | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 03 | 04 | 03 | 04 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 04 |
|  |  | HI |  |  |  |  |  |  | 05 |  | 05 |  | 05 |  | 05 |  |  |
|  |  | SCALE 013 | 013 | 013 | 014 | 014 | 014 | 016 | 006 | 016 | 006 | 004 | 004 | 003 | 004 | 003 | 005 |
|  |  | SCORE 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | WSCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Score: | Total Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Venfy <br> Assignments- <br> Acknowledgement: | I. The Above Information is Correct <br> $\Gamma^{-}$The Above information is Incorrect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

These assessments and this formula accurately reflect how I am to be evaluated. I understand this data will be used to determine the student performance section of my evaluation.

I verify the above information is correct.
Educational Support Employee's signature $\qquad$

## Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Evaluation Instrument <br> Adopted 2013

## Section II: Self-Assessment

This Self-Assessment should be kept on file for the evaluation year for reference for supervisor or employee. This section should be completed prior to the end of the first nine weeks.

| Dependability | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement <br> Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Punctuality |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Attendance |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| Schedule/Work Hours |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Rules and Regulations |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Competence and Judgment |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Quality/Quantity of Work | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Safe Work Habits |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Accuracy |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| Responsibility |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Time Management |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Initiative | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Professional Growth and Knowledge |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Resourcefulness |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Suggestions for Improvement |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| Interpersonal/Attitude | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Considerate |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Communication with Supervisor |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Handles Conflict |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Self-Control |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Respect |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Personal | Highly Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement <br> Comments Required | Unsatisfactory Comments Required | Comments |
| Dress |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Personal Habits |  | 12 |  |  |  |
| Personal Business |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Accountability |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |


| Evaluation Summary | HE (4.0) | E (3.0) | NI (2.0) | U(1.0) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Indicators Marked |  | 151 | 61 |  |


| Educational Support Employee's Signature | Educational Support Employee's signature | Date | $10 / 10 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Supervisor's Signature | Supervisor's signature | Date | $10 / 10 / 13$ |
|  |  |  |  |

## Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Evaluation Instrument <br> Adopted 2013

Section III: Educational Support Evaluation - 80\% of Overall Evaluation
This section should be completed by June 2014

| Dependability | Highly <br> Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement <br> Comments Required | Unsatisfactory <br> Comments Required | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Punctuality |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Attendance |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Schedule/Work Hours | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Rules and Regulations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Competence and Judgment |  |  | Requires direction |  |  |
| Quality/Quantity of Work | Highly <br> Effective | Effective | Needs <br> Improvement <br> Comments Required | Unsatisfactory <br> Comments Required | Comments |



## Santa Rosa District Schools <br> Educational Support Evaluation Instrument

Adopted 2013

Section IV: Stakeholder Satisfaction Surveys - 10\% of Overall Evaluation
This section should be completed by June 2014.
Parent and student satisfaction scores are used as part of the evaluation for all educational support employees. In completing parts A and B, the previous year's score and the current year's score are reported. This year's May score is used as part of the evaluation. (IA and IB score)
The Studer Group's research with surveys is based on a 5.0 scale: $1=$ strongly disagree, $2=$ disagree, $3=$ neutral, $4=$ agree, and $5=$ strongly agree. The Studer group believes changing this scale would not support the research conducted on surveys. The State of Florida's Evaluation System is based on a 4.0 scale: $1=$ Unsatisfactory, $2=$ needs improvement, $3=$ effective and $4=$ highly effective. In order to use the results of the Studer surveys (students, parents, employees, and principals) will use the following conversion scale:

| Studer Group Survey Results |  | Evaluation Score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.00-5.00 |  | Highly Effective (4.0) |  |  |
| $2.75-3.99$ |  | Effective (3.0) |  |  |
| $2.00-2.74$ |  | Needs Improvement (2.0) |  |  |
| Below 2.00 |  | Unsatisfactory (1.0) |  |  |
| IA Parent Satisfaction Surver 6/2014 |  | Location 10 |  |  |
|  | Location Name | Bennett Russell Eleme | ntary |  |
|  | Studer Score | 4.51 |  |  |
|  | Evaluation Score | Mighly Effective |  |  |
|  | Score | 4 |  |  |
| Ie Student Satisfaction Survey 6/2014 |  | Location 10 |  |  |
|  | tocation Name |  | Bennett Russell |  |
|  | Studer Score |  | 4.57 |  |
|  | Evaluation Score |  | Highly Effective |  |
|  | Score |  | 4 |  |

Educational Support Employee's Comments
Educational Support Employee's Signature
Supervisor's Comments
Supervisor's Signature

The survey results were very good for our school.
Educational Support Employee's signature
Date 06/10/14
Thank you for all the support you give our students and parents.
Supervisor's signature Date 06/10/14


## Santa Rosa District Schools <br> Educational Support Evaluation Instrument <br> Adopted 2013

## Section V: Student Performance Scores - 10\% of Overall Evaluation

## Student Assessment Data

This section will be completed within two weeks of the date the District receives the data from the state. See Attachment $\mathbf{D}$ for assessment and percentages based on Administrative job codes.

This information is recorded in Section V where it is calculated as part of the total score.

| Job, tocation, and Grade Level Assessments: |  | Row Label Job 1 | Job 2 | Job 3 | Job 4 | Job 5 | Job 6 | Job 07 | Job 08 | Job 09 | Job 10 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Job } \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Job } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | Job 13 | Job 14 | Job 15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Job } \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employee | J08 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 | 16030 |
|  |  | LOCATION 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 | 0312 |
|  |  | \% OF DaY 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  |  | PERCENT $2 \%$ | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 6\% | 5\% | 8\% | 3\% | 3\% |
|  |  | Exam dea | OEA | DEA | DEA | DEA | DEA | FL. Aternate Assess | FL Altemate Assess | FL Alternate Assess | FL Aternate Assess | FCAT | FCAT | FCAT | FCAT | FCAT | FCAT |
|  |  | SUBJECT Math | Math | Math | Reading | Reading | Reading | Math | Math | Reading | Reading | Math | Math | Reading | Reading | Science | Writing |
|  |  | 10 KG | 01 | 02 | KG | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 03 | 04 | 03 | 04 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 04 |
|  |  | HI |  |  |  |  |  |  | OS |  | 05 |  | 05 |  | 05 |  |  |
|  |  | Scale 013 | 013 | 013 | 014 | 014 | 014 | 016 | 006 | 016 | 006 | 004 | 004 | 003 | 004 | 003 | 005 |
|  |  | SCORE 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | WSCORE 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.2 | 0.24 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| Total Score: | Total Score 1.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| verify Assignments- <br> Acknowledgement: | I The Above Information is Correct <br> I The Above information is incorrect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Educational Support Employee's Comments
Educational Support Employee's Signature
Supervisor's Comments
Supervisor's Signature

I agree with the above information.
Educational Support Employee's signature $\quad$ Date o6/10/14
Thank you for all your help this school year.
Supervisor's signature Date 06/10/14

Santa Rosa District Schools Educational Support Evaluation Instrument

Adopted 2013

Section VI: Overall Evaluation
This section should be complete within two weeks of the District receiving student performance scores.


| Highly Effective | $4.00-3.50$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Effective | $3.49-2.25$ |
| Needs Improvement | $2.24-1.75$ |
| Unsatisfactory | Below 1.75 |

Educational Support Employee's Comments
Educational Support Employee's Signature
Supervisor's Comments
Supervisor's Signature

## Administrator's Signature

Thank you for the great year.

| Educational Support Employee's signature | Date | o8/10/14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thank you for a great year. |  |  |
| Supervisor's signature | Date | $08 / 10 / 14$ |
| $\mathcal{A} d m i n i s t r a t o r ' s ~ s i g n a t u r e ~$ | Date | $08 / 10 / 14$ |

